

Towards an operator algebraic Breedeen–Litzenberger formula

Sarah Reznikoff, Kansas State University

Lauren C. Ruth, Mercy College

Lydia de Wolf, Kansas State University

WOA3@BIRS

June 26, 2023

Motivation for Breeden–Litzenberger (1978)

- ▶ Let K be a random variable representing the strike price of an option.
- ▶ Let X be a random variable representing the stock value after one year.
- ▶ Your payoff is $\max\{X - K, 0\}$.

Suppose you know the expected value of your payoff for every value k of the strike price.

Can you then determine the density function of the stock value, $f_X(x)$?

Breeden–Litzenberger (1978) formula

Define $C(k) = E[\max\{X - k, 0\}]$. Then

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2}C(k) = f_X(k).$$

Their paper uses calculus of finite differences. (Trading data is discrete.)

The continuous proof uses differentiation under the integral with variable limits.

Continuous proof of Breeden–Litzenberger, part 1

$$\begin{aligned}C(k) &= E[\max\{X - k, 0\}] \\&= \int_0^{\infty} \max\{x - k, 0\} f_X(x) dx \\&= \int_k^{\infty} (x - k) f_X(x) dx\end{aligned}$$

How to differentiate this with respect to k ?

Differentiation under the integral, fixed limits

Suppose $g : X \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, with $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, and that $g(\cdot, t) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is integrable for each $t \in [a, b]$. Let $G(t) = \int_X g(x, t) d\mu(x)$.

- (1) Suppose there exists $h \in L^1(\mu)$ s.t. $|g(x, t)| \leq h(x)$ for all x, t . If $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} g(x, t) = g(x, t_0)$ for every x , then $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} G(t) = G(t_0)$; in particular, if $g(x, \cdot)$ is continuous for each x , then $G(t)$ is continuous.
- (2) Suppose that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}$ exists and there is a $h \in L^1(\mu)$ s.t. $|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(x, t)| \leq h(x)$ for all x, t . Then G is differentiable and $G'(t) = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(x, t) d\mu(x)$.

Proof uses dominated convergence theorem and mean value theorem. (See e.g. Folland *Real Analysis* Theorem 2.27.)

Differentiation under the integral, variable limits

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} g(x, t) dx = \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(x, t) dx + g(b(t), t) \frac{db}{dt} - g(a(t), t) \frac{da}{dt}$$

Proof uses multivariable chain rule and fundamental theorem of calculus to extend the fixed-limits version.

Continuous proof of Breeden–Litzenberger, part 2

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dk}C(k) &= \frac{d}{dk} \int_k^\infty (x - k)f_X(x)dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dk} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_k^b (x - k)f_X(x)dx \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d}{dk} \int_k^b (x - k)f_X(x)dx \right) \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_k^b \frac{\partial}{\partial k} (x - k)f_X(x)dx + ((b) - k) \frac{d(b)}{dk} - ((k) - k) \frac{d(k)}{dk} \right) \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_k^b -f_X(x)dx + (b - k) \frac{db}{dk} - 0 \right) \\ &= - \int_k^\infty f_X(x)dx + 0 - 0 = - \left(1 - \int_{-\infty}^k f_X(x)dx \right) \\ &= -(1 - F_X(k)) = F_X(k) - 1\end{aligned}$$

Continuous proof of Breeden–Litzenberger, part 3

Now differentiate again.

$$\frac{d}{dk} (F_X(k) - 1) = f_X(k)$$

So, yes, you can recover the density function of X by differentiating the expectation of $\max\{X - k, 0\}$ twice with respect to k .

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2} E[\max\{X - k, 0\}] = f_X(k) \tag{1}$$

What is the operator algebraic interpretation?

- ▶ expectation = trace, as we know.
- ▶ random variables X and K = operators in von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} ?
- ▶ $\max\{X - k, 0\}$ = some kind of pairing between \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} ?
- ▶ recovering a density function = recovering an element in the predual of \mathcal{M} ?
- ▶ What is “differentiation under the integral?” Possibly related to closable derivations?
- ▶ What's the cumulative distribution function?

Thank you!