### The negative Pell equation and applications

#### Peter Koymans University of Michigan



Specialisation and Effectiveness in Number Theory

Banff, 30 August 2022

## History of Pell's equation

For a fixed squarefree integer d > 0, the equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$
 to be solved in  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

has been studied since at least the ancient Greeks.

For a fixed squarefree integer d > 0, the equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$
 to be solved in  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

has been studied since at least the ancient Greeks.

Bhaskara II (12th century) gave an algorithm to find non-trivial solutions of this equation.

For a fixed squarefree integer d > 0, the equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$
 to be solved in  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

has been studied since at least the ancient Greeks.

Bhaskara II (12th century) gave an algorithm to find non-trivial solutions of this equation.

Unbeknownst of Bhaskara's work, Fermat challenged English mathematicians Brouncker and Wallis to solve the notorious case d = 61. The smallest non-trivial solution is

$$1766319049^2 - 61 \cdot 226153980^2 = 1.$$

The equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$

is known as the negative Pell equation and is not always soluble.

The equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$

is known as the negative Pell equation and is not always soluble.

Question: as we vary d, how often is the negative Pell equation soluble?

The equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$

is known as the negative Pell equation and is not always soluble.

Question: as we vary d, how often is the negative Pell equation soluble?

Define  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the set of squarefree integers having as odd prime divisors only primes  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and define  $\mathcal{D}^-$  to be the set of squarefree integers for which the negative Pell equation is soluble.

The equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$

is known as the negative Pell equation and is not always soluble.

Question: as we vary d, how often is the negative Pell equation soluble?

Define  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the set of squarefree integers having as odd prime divisors only primes  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and define  $\mathcal{D}^-$  to be the set of squarefree integers for which the negative Pell equation is soluble.

By the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem we have for all squarefree d

$$d \in \mathcal{D} \iff x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$
 is soluble with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,

so in particular  $\mathcal{D}^{-} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .

The equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$

is known as the negative Pell equation and is not always soluble.

Question: as we vary d, how often is the negative Pell equation soluble?

Define  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the set of squarefree integers having as odd prime divisors only primes  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and define  $\mathcal{D}^-$  to be the set of squarefree integers for which the negative Pell equation is soluble.

By the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem we have for all squarefree d

$$d \in \mathcal{D} \iff x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$
 is soluble with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,

so in particular  $\mathcal{D}^- \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . Classical techniques in analytic number theory give a constant C > 0 such that

$$\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}\} \sim C \cdot \frac{X}{\sqrt{\log X}}.$$

The equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$

is known as the negative Pell equation and is not always soluble.

Question: as we vary d, how often is the negative Pell equation soluble?

Define  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the set of squarefree integers having as odd prime divisors only primes  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and define  $\mathcal{D}^-$  to be the set of squarefree integers for which the negative Pell equation is soluble.

By the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem we have for all squarefree d

$$d \in \mathcal{D} \iff x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$
 is soluble with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,

so in particular  $\mathcal{D}^- \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . Classical techniques in analytic number theory give a constant C > 0 such that

$$\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}\} \sim C \cdot \frac{X}{\sqrt{\log X}}.$$

Refined question: what is the density of  $\mathcal{D}^-$  inside  $\mathcal{D}?$ 

### Conjectures on the negative Pell equation

Nagell (1930s) conjectured that

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}}$$

exists and lies in (0, 1).

### Conjectures on the negative Pell equation

Nagell (1930s) conjectured that

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}}$$

exists and lies in (0, 1).

Stevenhagen (1995) conjectured that

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} = 1 - \alpha,$$

where

$$\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2^{-j})^{-1} \approx 0.41942.$$

#### Progress towards Stevenhagen's conjecture

Fouvry and Klüners (2010) proved that

$$\frac{5\alpha}{4} \leq \liminf_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} \leq \limsup_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} \leq \frac{2}{3}.$$

#### Progress towards Stevenhagen's conjecture

Fouvry and Klüners (2010) proved that

$$\frac{5\alpha}{4} \leq \liminf_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} \leq \limsup_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} \leq \frac{2}{3}.$$

Together with Stephanie Chan, Djordjo Milovic and Carlo Pagano, I improved the lower bound to

$$\alpha \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n(n+3)/2} \approx \alpha \cdot 1.28325$$

#### Progress towards Stevenhagen's conjecture

Fouvry and Klüners (2010) proved that

$$\frac{5\alpha}{4} \leq \liminf_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} \leq \limsup_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \leq X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} \leq \frac{2}{3}.$$

Together with Stephanie Chan, Djordjo Milovic and Carlo Pagano, I improved the lower bound to

$$\alpha \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n(n+3)/2} \approx \alpha \cdot 1.28325.$$

Theorem (K., Pagano (2021))

We have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} = 1 - \alpha$$

in accordance with Stevenhagen's conjecture.

We have

 $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$  is soluble  $\Leftrightarrow$  fundamental unit  $\epsilon$  has negative norm  $\Leftrightarrow (\sqrt{d})$  is trivial in  $\operatorname{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})).$  We have

 $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$  is soluble  $\Leftrightarrow$  fundamental unit  $\epsilon$  has negative norm  $\Leftrightarrow (\sqrt{d})$  is trivial in  $\operatorname{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})).$ 

There is a basic exact sequence

$$1 
ightarrow rac{P_{K}}{P_{K}^{+}} 
ightarrow \mathsf{Cl}^{+}(K) 
ightarrow \mathsf{Cl}(K) 
ightarrow 1$$

with  $\# \frac{P_{\mathcal{K}}}{P_{\mathcal{K}}^+} \in \{1,2\}$  and  $\frac{P_{\mathcal{K}}}{P_{\mathcal{K}}^+}$  generated by  $(\sqrt{d})$ .

We have

 $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$  is soluble  $\Leftrightarrow$  fundamental unit  $\epsilon$  has negative norm  $\Leftrightarrow (\sqrt{d})$  is trivial in  $\operatorname{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})).$ 

There is a basic exact sequence

$$1 
ightarrow rac{P_{K}}{P_{K}^{+}} 
ightarrow \mathsf{Cl}^{+}(K) 
ightarrow \mathsf{Cl}(K) 
ightarrow 1$$

with  $\# \frac{P_{\mathcal{K}}}{P_{\mathcal{K}}^+} \in \{1,2\}$  and  $\frac{P_{\mathcal{K}}}{P_{\mathcal{K}}^+}$  generated by  $(\sqrt{d})$ .

Goal: study joint distribution of  $(Cl^+(K)[2^{\infty}], Cl(K)[2^{\infty}])$ .

The group  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  has a very predictable behavior unlike  $Cl^+(K)[p]$  for p odd.

The group  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  has a very predictable behavior unlike  $Cl^+(K)[p]$  for p odd.

The description of  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  is due to Gauss and is known as genus theory. We have that

$$\# \mathsf{Cl}^+(K)[2] = 2^{\omega(D_K)-1}$$

and  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  is generated by the ramified prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

The group  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  has a very predictable behavior unlike  $Cl^+(K)[p]$  for p odd.

The description of  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  is due to Gauss and is known as genus theory. We have that

$$\# Cl^+(K)[2] = 2^{\omega(D_K)-1}$$

and  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  is generated by the ramified prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

There is precisely one relation between the ramified primes.

Gerth adapted the Cohen–Lenstra conjectures to p = 2, i.e. we have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\# \{ K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X, 2\mathsf{CI}(\mathcal{K})[2^{\infty}] \cong A \}}{\# \{ K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X \}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i}}\right)}{\#\mathsf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})}$$

for every finite, abelian 2-group A.

Gerth adapted the Cohen–Lenstra conjectures to p = 2, i.e. we have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\# \{ K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X, 2\mathsf{CI}(\mathcal{K})[2^{\infty}] \cong A \}}{\# \{ K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X \}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i}}\right)}{\#\mathsf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})}$$

for every finite, abelian 2-group A.

Theorem (Alexander Smith (2017))

Gerth's conjecture is true.

Gerth adapted the Cohen–Lenstra conjectures to p = 2, i.e. we have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\# \{ K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X, 2\mathsf{CI}(\mathcal{K})[2^{\infty}] \cong A \}}{\# \{ K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X \}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i}}\right)}{\#\mathsf{Aut}(\mathcal{A})}$$

for every finite, abelian 2-group A.

Theorem (Alexander Smith (2017))

Gerth's conjecture is true.

Idea: adapt Smith's method to the family  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Two difficulties:  ${\cal D}$  has density 0 in the set of squarefree integers, and  ${\cal D}$  naturally ends up in the error term in Smith's proof!

Find for every integer  $m \geq 1$ , the density of  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{rk}_{2^k}\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) &= \mathsf{rk}_{2^k}\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m \text{ and} \\ \mathsf{rk}_{2^{m+1}}\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives better and better lower bounds for negative Pell.

Find for every integer  $m \geq 1$ , the density of  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  for which

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{rk}_{2^k}\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) &= \mathsf{rk}_{2^k}\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m \text{ and} \\ \mathsf{rk}_{2^{m+1}}\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) &= 0. \end{split}$$

This gives better and better lower bounds for negative Pell. Similarly, find for every integer  $m \ge 1$ , the density of  $d \in D$  for which

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{rk}_{2^k}\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) &= \mathsf{rk}_{2^k}\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m \text{ and} \\ \mathsf{rk}_{2^{m+1}}\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) &= \mathsf{rk}_{2^{m+1}}\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) + 1. \end{split}$$

This gives better and better upper bounds for negative Pell.

For a finite abelian group A, define

$$A^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*).$$

There is a natural pairing

$$\operatorname{Art}_1: A[2] \times A^{\vee}[2] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (a, \chi) \mapsto \chi(a).$$

Left kernel of Art<sub>1</sub> is 2A[4] and right kernel is  $2A^{\vee}[4]$ .

For a finite abelian group A, define

$$A^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*).$$

There is a natural pairing

$$\mathsf{Art}_1: A[2] \times A^{\vee}[2] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (a, \chi) \mapsto \chi(a).$$

Left kernel of Art<sub>1</sub> is 2A[4] and right kernel is  $2A^{\vee}[4]$ .

Goal: in order to compute 4-rank, understand Art<sub>1</sub>.

By class field theory we get a bijection

 $\mathsf{Cl}^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2] \leftrightarrow \{ \mathsf{quadratic unramified characters of } \mathsf{Gal}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}/\mathcal{K}) \}.$ 

By class field theory we get a bijection

 $Cl^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2] \leftrightarrow \{$ quadratic unramified characters of  $Gal(\overline{\mathcal{K}}/\mathcal{K})\}.$ 

Under the earlier identifications, we have that

 $\operatorname{Art}_1: \operatorname{Cl}^+({\mathcal K})[2] \times \operatorname{Cl}^{+,\vee}({\mathcal K})[2] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (\mathfrak{p},\chi) \mapsto \chi(\operatorname{Art}\, \mathfrak{p}).$ 

By class field theory we get a bijection

 $\mathsf{Cl}^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2] \leftrightarrow \{ \mathsf{quadratic} \text{ unramified characters of } \mathsf{Gal}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}/\mathcal{K}) \}.$ 

Under the earlier identifications, we have that

 $\mathsf{Art}_1:\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathcal{K})[2]\times\mathsf{Cl}^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2]\to\{\pm1\},\quad (\mathfrak{p},\chi)\mapsto\chi(\mathsf{Art}\ \mathfrak{p}).$ 

Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_t$  be the prime divisors of d. The Rédei matrix is

By class field theory we get a bijection

 $\mathsf{Cl}^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2] \leftrightarrow \{ \mathsf{quadratic} \text{ unramified characters of } \mathsf{Gal}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}/\mathcal{K}) \}.$ 

Under the earlier identifications, we have that

 $\mathsf{Art}_1:\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathcal{K})[2]\times\mathsf{Cl}^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2]\to\{\pm1\},\quad (\mathfrak{p},\chi)\mapsto\chi(\mathsf{Art}\ \mathfrak{p}).$ 

Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_t$  be the prime divisors of d. The Rédei matrix is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \chi_{P_1} & \chi_{P_2} & \cdots & \chi_{P_t} \\ p_1 & * & \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right) & \cdots & \left(\frac{p_t}{p_1}\right) \\ p_2 & \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) & * & \cdots & \left(\frac{p_t}{p_2}\right). \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_t & \left(\frac{p_1}{p_t}\right) & \left(\frac{p_2}{p_t}\right) & \cdots & * \end{array}$$

Left kernel gives a generating set for  $2CI^+(K)[4]$ .

Fact: for  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $(\sqrt{d}) \in 2\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$ .

Fact: for  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $(\sqrt{d}) \in 2\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$ .

Heuristic assumption: every non-zero element in the generating set of  $2Cl^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$  is equally likely to be trivial.

Fact: for  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $(\sqrt{d}) \in 2\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$ .

Heuristic assumption: every non-zero element in the generating set of  $2Cl^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$  is equally likely to be trivial.

Conjecture (Stevenhagen's conjecture)

We have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(4\text{-rank of } d \in \mathcal{D} \text{ equals } j)}{2^{j+1} - 1}$$

Fact: for  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $(\sqrt{d}) \in 2\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$ .

Heuristic assumption: every non-zero element in the generating set of  $2Cl^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$  is equally likely to be trivial.

Conjecture (Stevenhagen's conjecture)

We have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}^-\}}{\#\{d \le X : d \in \mathcal{D}\}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(4\text{-rank of } d \in \mathcal{D} \text{ equals } j)}{2^{j+1} - 1}$$

Furthermore,

 $\mathbb{P}(4\text{-rank of } d \in \mathcal{D} \text{ equals } j) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(t \times t \text{ sym. matrix has ker. of dim. } j).$ 

There is a natural pairing

 $\mathsf{Art}_2: \mathsf{2}\mathsf{A}[\mathsf{4}] \times \mathsf{2}\mathsf{A}^{\vee}[\mathsf{4}] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (\mathsf{a}, \chi) \mapsto \psi(\mathsf{a}), \ \mathsf{2}\psi = \chi.$ 

Left kernel is 4A[8] and right kernel is  $4A^{\vee}[8]$ .

There is a natural pairing

 $\mathsf{Art}_2: \mathsf{2}\mathsf{A}[\mathsf{4}] \times \mathsf{2}\mathsf{A}^{\vee}[\mathsf{4}] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (\mathsf{a}, \chi) \mapsto \psi(\mathsf{a}), \ \mathsf{2}\psi = \chi.$ 

Left kernel is 4A[8] and right kernel is  $4A^{\vee}[8]$ .

As before, class field theory gives that this pairing becomes

$$(\mathfrak{p},\chi)\mapsto\psi(\operatorname{Art}\,\mathfrak{p}),\ 2\psi=\chi.$$

Goal: understand cyclic degree 4 unramified extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ .

There is a natural pairing

 $\mathsf{Art}_2: 2A[4] \times 2A^{\vee}[4] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (a, \chi) \mapsto \psi(a), \ 2\psi = \chi.$ 

Left kernel is 4A[8] and right kernel is  $4A^{\vee}[8]$ .

As before, class field theory gives that this pairing becomes

$$(\mathfrak{p},\chi)\mapsto\psi(\operatorname{Art}\,\mathfrak{p}),\ 2\psi=\chi.$$

Goal: understand cyclic degree 4 unramified extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ .

Fact: a degree 4 unramified, abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with Galois group  $D_4$ .

There is a natural pairing

 $\operatorname{Art}_2: 2A[4] \times 2A^{\vee}[4] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (a, \chi) \mapsto \psi(a), \ 2\psi = \chi.$ 

Left kernel is 4A[8] and right kernel is  $4A^{\vee}[8]$ .

As before, class field theory gives that this pairing becomes

$$(\mathfrak{p},\chi)\mapsto\psi(\operatorname{Art}\,\mathfrak{p}),\ 2\psi=\chi.$$

Goal: understand cyclic degree 4 unramified extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ .

Fact: a degree 4 unramified, abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with Galois group  $D_4$ .

Such extensions are of the shape  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\alpha})$ , where

$$x^2 = ay^2 + \frac{d}{a}z^2$$
 with  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $gcd(x, y, z) = 1$ ,  $\alpha := x + y\sqrt{a}$ .

$$\mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) \leq \mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3d})) \leq 1 + \mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})),$$

which is known as Scholz's reflection principle.

$$\mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) \leq \mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3d})) \leq 1 + \mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})),$$

which is known as Scholz's reflection principle.

The main algebraic result in Smith's work is in fact a reflection principle that compares  $Art_m$  of  $2^m$  quadratic fields.

$$\mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) \leq \mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3d})) \leq 1 + \mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})),$$

which is known as Scholz's reflection principle.

The main algebraic result in Smith's work is in fact a reflection principle that compares  $Art_m$  of  $2^m$  quadratic fields.

How can we find such reflection principles?

$$\mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) \leq \mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3d})) \leq 1 + \mathsf{rk}_3\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})),$$

which is known as Scholz's reflection principle.

The main algebraic result in Smith's work is in fact a reflection principle that compares  $Art_m$  of  $2^m$  quadratic fields.

How can we find such reflection principles?

Smith's idea is to look for situations where the compositum of various Hilbert class fields is in some sense *small*.

Take primes  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$ . Now suppose that we have a degree 4 unramified, abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_i})$  each lifting the character  $\chi_a$ .

Take primes  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$ . Now suppose that we have a degree 4 unramified, abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_j})$  each lifting the character  $\chi_a$ .

Recall that we then get  $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  with

$$\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_{i,j}) = \frac{dp_i q_j}{a} z_{i,j}^2.$$

Take primes  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$ . Now suppose that we have a degree 4 unramified, abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_i})$  each lifting the character  $\chi_a$ .

Recall that we then get  $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  with

$$\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_{i,j}) = \frac{dp_i q_j}{a} z_{i,j}^2.$$

Then we see that the norm of  $\alpha_{1,1}\alpha_{1,2}\alpha_{2,1}\alpha_{2,2}$  is a square.

Take primes  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$ . Now suppose that we have a degree 4 unramified, abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_i})$  each lifting the character  $\chi_a$ .

Recall that we then get  $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  with

$$\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_{i,j}) = \frac{dp_i q_j}{a} z_{i,j}^2.$$

Then we see that the norm of  $\alpha_{1,1}\alpha_{1,2}\alpha_{2,1}\alpha_{2,2}$  is a square.

In other words, part of  $H_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_2q_2}))$  is contained in the other  $H_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_j}))$ .

Take primes  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$ . Now suppose that we have a degree 4 unramified, abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_j})$  each lifting the character  $\chi_a$ .

Recall that we then get  $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  with

$$\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_{i,j}) = \frac{dp_i q_j}{a} z_{i,j}^2.$$

Then we see that the norm of  $\alpha_{1,1}\alpha_{1,2}\alpha_{2,1}\alpha_{2,2}$  is a square.

In other words, part of  $H_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_2q_2}))$  is contained in the other  $H_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_j}))$ . This implies

 $\operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_1q_1}(b,\chi_a) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_1q_2}(b,\chi_a) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_2q_1}(b,\chi_a) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_2q_2}(b,\chi_a) = 0$ 

for  $b \in 2Cl(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_j}))[4]$  a fixed divisor of d.

Take primes  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$ . Now suppose that we have a degree 4 unramified, abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_j})$  each lifting the character  $\chi_a$ .

Recall that we then get  $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  with

$$\operatorname{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_{i,j}) = \frac{dp_i q_j}{a} z_{i,j}^2.$$

Then we see that the norm of  $\alpha_{1,1}\alpha_{1,2}\alpha_{2,1}\alpha_{2,2}$  is a square.

In other words, part of  $H_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_2q_2}))$  is contained in the other  $H_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_j}))$ . This implies

 $\operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_1q_1}(b,\chi_{\mathfrak{a}}) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_1q_2}(b,\chi_{\mathfrak{a}}) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_2q_1}(b,\chi_{\mathfrak{a}}) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_2q_2}(b,\chi_{\mathfrak{a}}) = 0$ 

for  $b \in 2\operatorname{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp_iq_j}))[4]$  a fixed divisor of d.

We develop two new reflection principles. Unlike Smith's work, they make essential use of Hilbert reciprocity in multiquadratic fields.

For the Artin pairing with  $dp_iq_j$  we have (following Smith's ideas)

 $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{1}q_{1}}(dp_{1}q_{1},\chi_{ap_{1}}) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{1}q_{2}}(dp_{1}q_{2},\chi_{ap_{1}}) + \\ & \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{2}q_{1}}(dp_{2}q_{1},\chi_{ap_{2}}) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{2}q_{2}}(dp_{2}q_{2},\chi_{ap_{2}}) = \operatorname{Frob}_{K_{p_{1}p_{2},q_{1}q_{2}}/\mathbb{Q}}(\infty). \end{aligned}$ 

For the Artin pairing with  $dp_iq_j$  we have (following Smith's ideas)

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{1}q_{1}}(dp_{1}q_{1},\chi_{ap_{1}}) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{1}q_{2}}(dp_{1}q_{2},\chi_{ap_{1}}) + \\ & \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{2}q_{1}}(dp_{2}q_{1},\chi_{ap_{2}}) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{2}q_{2}}(dp_{2}q_{2},\chi_{ap_{2}}) = \operatorname{Frob}_{K_{p_{1}p_{2},q_{1}q_{2}}/\mathbb{Q}}(\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Our reciprocity law shows that

$$\mathsf{Frob}_{\mathcal{K}_{p_1p_2,q_1q_2}/\mathbb{Q}}(\infty) = \mathsf{Frob}_{\mathcal{K}_{p_1p_2,-1}/\mathbb{Q}}(q_1) + \mathsf{Frob}_{\mathcal{K}_{p_1p_2,-1}/\mathbb{Q}}(q_2).$$

For the Artin pairing with  $dp_iq_j$  we have (following Smith's ideas)

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{1}q_{1}}(dp_{1}q_{1},\chi_{ap_{1}}) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{1}q_{2}}(dp_{1}q_{2},\chi_{ap_{1}}) + \\ & \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{2}q_{1}}(dp_{2}q_{1},\chi_{ap_{2}}) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp_{2}q_{2}}(dp_{2}q_{2},\chi_{ap_{2}}) = \operatorname{Frob}_{K_{p_{1}p_{2},q_{1}q_{2}}/\mathbb{Q}}(\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Our reciprocity law shows that

$$\mathsf{Frob}_{\mathcal{K}_{p_1p_2,q_1q_2}/\mathbb{Q}}(\infty) = \mathsf{Frob}_{\mathcal{K}_{p_1p_2,-1}/\mathbb{Q}}(q_1) + \mathsf{Frob}_{\mathcal{K}_{p_1p_2,-1}/\mathbb{Q}}(q_2).$$

For the pairing between a and  $\chi_a$  we also develop a new reflection principle.

▶ non-vanishing of  $L(1/2, \chi)$  for 100% of the quadratic characters  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ ;

- non-vanishing of  $L(1/2, \chi)$  for 100% of the quadratic characters  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ ;
- ▶ prove Greenberg's conjecture for the cyclotomic Z<sub>2</sub>-extension for 100% of the real quadratic fields;

- non-vanishing of  $L(1/2, \chi)$  for 100% of the quadratic characters  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ ;
- ▶ prove Greenberg's conjecture for the cyclotomic Z<sub>2</sub>-extension for 100% of the real quadratic fields;
- many new cases of the strong form of Malle's conjecture;

- non-vanishing of  $L(1/2, \chi)$  for 100% of the quadratic characters  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ ;
- ▶ prove Greenberg's conjecture for the cyclotomic Z<sub>2</sub>-extension for 100% of the real quadratic fields;
- many new cases of the strong form of Malle's conjecture;
- extend Smith's result (on the distribution of 2<sup>k</sup>-Selmer groups) to elliptic curves with a rational 4-torsion point.

- non-vanishing of  $L(1/2, \chi)$  for 100% of the quadratic characters  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ ;
- ▶ prove Greenberg's conjecture for the cyclotomic Z<sub>2</sub>-extension for 100% of the real quadratic fields;
- many new cases of the strong form of Malle's conjecture;
- extend Smith's result (on the distribution of 2<sup>k</sup>-Selmer groups) to elliptic curves with a rational 4-torsion point.

# Thank you for your attention!