

# Linear Bicategories: Quantaes and Quantaloid

Susan Niefeld

Union College  
Schenectady, NY

Joint work with Rick Blute

# Motivating Example: The Tropical and Arctic Semirings

These are the two semiring structures on  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$

$$(\mathbb{Z}^+, \max, +_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{Z}^+, \min, +_2)$$

where  $-\infty +_1 \infty = -\infty$  and  $-\infty +_2 \infty = \infty$ .

The bicategory  $\mathbb{Z}^+\text{-Rel}$  of sets and  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ -valued relations  $X \xrightarrow{R} Y$  is a locally ordered linear bicategory, where  $X \times Y \xrightarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Plan:

- ▶ Characterize quantales  $Q$  such that  $Q\text{-Rel}$  is linear, where  $Q\text{-Rel}$  is the bicategory of  $Q$ -valued relations  $X \xrightarrow{\bullet} Y$
- ▶ Give non-locally ordered examples via Girard bicategories

# Linear Bicategories

Introduced by Cockett, Koslowski, and Seely:

A linear bicategory  $\mathcal{B}$  has two bicategory structures

$$(\otimes, \top) \quad \text{and} \quad (\oplus, \perp)$$

related via

$$A \otimes (B \oplus C) \rightarrow (A \otimes B) \oplus C$$

$$(A \oplus B) \otimes C \rightarrow A \oplus (B \otimes C)$$

with naturality and coherence conditions.

## LD-quantales

Recall: A quantale  $Q$  is a monoid in the category  $\text{Sup}$  of complete lattices and sup-preserving maps, and  $Q\text{-Rel}$  is a quantaloid, i.e., a  $\text{Sup}$ -enriched category.

An **LD-quantale** is a suplattice  $Q$  with operations  $*$ ,  $+$  and elements  $\top$ ,  $\perp$  such that

- $(Q, *, \top)$  and  $(Q^{op}, +, \perp)$  are quantales
- $a * (b + c) \leq (a * b) + c$  and  $(a + b) * c \leq a + (b * c)$

Example:  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $+_1, +_2$

If  $Q$  is an LD-quantale and  $X \xrightarrow{R} Y \xrightarrow{S} Z$  in  $Q\text{-Rel}$ , define

$$R \otimes S(x, z) = \sup_y (R(x, y) * S(y, z))$$

$$R \oplus S(x, z) = \inf_y (R(x, y) + S(y, z))$$

**Theorem**  $(Q, *, +)$  is an LD-quantale  $\iff (Q\text{-Rel}, \otimes, \oplus)$  is a linear bicategory

**Proof.**  $(\implies)$   $R \otimes (S \oplus T) \leq (R \otimes S) \oplus T$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} R(w, x) * \inf_y [S(x, y) + T(y, z)] &\leq R(w, x) * [S(x, y) + T(y, z)] \\ &\leq [R(w, x) * S(x, y)] + T(y, z) \\ &\leq \sup_x [R(w, x) * S(x, y)] + T(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

$(\impliedby)$  Elements  $a, b, c$  of  $Q$  induce  $1 \xrightarrow{R_a} 1 \xrightarrow{R_b} 1 \xrightarrow{R_c} 1$  in  $Q\text{-Rel}$ . Since

$$R_a \otimes (R_b \oplus R_c) \leq (R_a \otimes R_b) \oplus R_c$$

it follows that  $a * (b + c) \leq (a * b) + c$ . □

Note: The other inequalities are similar.

## A Non-Posetal Example

$\mathcal{L}oc$  locales,  $(X, Y)$ -bimodules  $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$ , homomorphisms

Recall (Joyal/Tierney) If  $X \xrightarrow{A} Y \xrightarrow{B} Z$ , then  $Y \xrightarrow{A^\circ} X$  is a bimodule, since  $A^\circ \cong \text{Mod } Y(A, Y^\circ) \cong X \text{Mod}(A, X^\circ)$ , and

$$(A \otimes B)^\circ \cong \text{Mod } Y(A, B^\circ) \cong Y \text{Mod}(B, A^\circ)$$

Defining  $B \oplus C = Z \text{Mod}(B^\circ, C) \cong (C^\circ \otimes B^\circ)^\circ$ , we get  $\oplus$  is associative with left and right units  $Y^\circ$  and  $Z^\circ$ .

Claim:  $\mathcal{L}oc, \otimes, \oplus$  is a linear bicategory

To define  $A \otimes (B \oplus C) \rightarrow (A \otimes B) \oplus C$ , or equivalently

$$A \otimes Z \text{Mod}(B^\circ, C) \rightarrow Z \text{Mod}(\text{Mod } Y(A, B^\circ), C)$$

use the transpose of

$$\text{Mod } Y(A, B^\circ) \otimes A \otimes Z \text{Mod}(B^\circ, C) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}} B^\circ \otimes Z \text{Mod}(B^\circ, C) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C$$

# Biclosed Bicategories

Recall  $\mathcal{B}$  is biclosed if it has right extensions and right liftings

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{A} & Y \\
 B \downarrow & \swarrow & \nearrow \\
 & & X\text{Mod}(A, B) \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 & & Z
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & X \\
 \text{Mod}Y(A, C) \nearrow & & \downarrow A \\
 & & Y \\
 Z \xrightarrow{C} & \searrow & \\
 & & 
 \end{array}$$

Note:  $X\text{Mod}(A, B) = A \multimap B$  and  $\text{Mod}Y(A, C) = C \multimap A$

Given  $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$  and a family  $\mathcal{D} = \{X \xrightarrow{D_X} X \mid X \in \mathcal{B}\}$ , we get

$$A \xrightarrow{\delta_{X,A}} \text{Mod}X(X\text{Mod}(A, D_X), D_X)$$

and

$$A \xrightarrow{\delta_{A,Y}} Y\text{Mod}(\text{Mod}Y(A, D_Y), D_Y)$$

## Key Properties of $A^\circ$ in $\mathcal{L}oc$

Used  $A^\circ \cong \text{Mod} Y(A, Y^\circ) \cong X\text{Mod}(A, X^\circ)$

To generalize the  $\mathcal{L}oc$  construction, consider  $\mathcal{B}$  with a family

$$\mathcal{D} = \{X \xrightarrow{D_X} X \mid X \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

such that

- $\delta_{X,A}$  is invertible, for all  $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$  (dualizing)
- $\text{Mod} Y(A, D_Y) \cong X\text{Mod}(A, D_X)$  relative  $\delta_{A,Y}, \delta_{X,A}$  (cyclic)

and define

$$A^\perp = X\text{Mod}(A, D_X)$$



# Girard Bicategories

A **Girard bicategory**  $\mathcal{B}$  is biclosed and has a **cyclic dualizing** family

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ X \xrightarrow{D_X} X \mid X \in \mathcal{B} \}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is called **dualizing** if  $\delta_{X,A}$  is invertible, for all  $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$ ;  
and **cyclic** if there are invertible cells

$$\text{Mod } Y(A, D_Y) \cong X\text{Mod}(A, D_X)$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{Mod } X(X\text{Mod}(A, D_X), D_X) \\ & \nearrow \delta_{X,A} & \downarrow \cong \\ A & & \text{Mod } X(\text{Mod } Y(A, D_Y), D_X) \\ & \searrow \delta_{A,Y} & \downarrow \cong \\ & & Y\text{Mod}(\text{Mod } Y(A, D_Y), D_Y) \end{array}$$

**Lemma**  $Z\text{Mod}(B^\perp, C) \cong (C^\perp \otimes B^\perp)^\perp$

Define  $B \oplus C = Z\text{Mod}(B^\perp, C)$ . As in  $\mathcal{L}oc$ , we get:

**Theorem** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Girard bicategory, then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linear bicategory.

Examples:

- (1)  $\text{Quant}$  quantales, bimodules, homomorphisms
- (2)  $\text{Qtld}$  quantaloids, profunctors, transformations

Note:  $\text{Quant}$  and  $\text{Qtld}$  are bicategories of the form  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{B})$ , i.e., monads and bimodules in a bicategory  $\mathcal{B}$ , namely, the one object bicategory  $\text{Sup}$  and the bicategory  $\text{Mat}$  of matrices in  $\text{Sup}$ , respectively. To establish these examples we show:

**Theorem** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Girard bicategory with local equalizers and coequalizers stable under composition, then so is  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{B})$ .

## References

- ▶ R. Blute and S. Niefield, Linear bicategories, Girard quantales and quantaloids, in preparation.
- ▶ R. Cockett, J. Koslowski, R. Seely, Introduction to linear bicategories, *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 10, pp. 165-203, (2000).
- ▶ D. Hofmann, G. Seal, W. Tholen (eds.), *Monoidal Topology*, Cambridge University Press, (2014).
- ▶ A. Joyal and M. Tierney, *An Extension of the Galois Theory of Grothendieck*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Memoirs* 309, (1984).
- ▶ K. Rosenthal, *The Theory of Quantaloids*, Longman Scientific & Technical, (1996).
- ▶ R. Street, Pointwise extensions and sketches in bicategories, arXiv:1409.6427, (2014).