

# Orbital L-functions for $GL(3)$

James Arthur

BIRS, Wed. Nov. 17, 2021, 1:00 PM

Conference for 80<sup>th</sup> birthday of W. Casselman.

2

$$\underline{G = G(m) = GL(m+1) \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}}$$

## I. FOREWORD

Two kinds of L-functions for G

Spectral: (Standard) Automorphic L-func<sup>s</sup>

$L(2, \pi)$ ,  $\pi$  cusp. aut rep. (Tate  $GL(1)$  - 1950,

Godement-Jacquet  $GL(m+1)$  - 1972)

Geometric: Orbital L-func<sup>s</sup>  $L(2, R)$ ,

$R$  an order in field  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $(m+1)$ ,

Z. Yun (2013)

---

Play parallel roles on 2 sides of trace formulae.

---

Problem: Unlike  $L(2, \pi)$ , local factors of

$L_p(2, R)$  of  $L(2, R)$  are not explicit (except for  $GL(2)$ ).

3

They are closely related to  $p$ -adic orb. integrals

$$O(\chi, f_p) = \int_{G_\chi(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f_p(x_p^{-1} \chi x_p) dx_p,$$

$f_p = \mathbb{1}_p$ , char.  $f^n$  of  $G(\mathbb{O}_p)$ .

Our goal: For  $G = GL(3)$ , describe explicit formulas for  $O(\chi, f_p)$  + related local factors

$L_p(\chi, R)$

Our conclusion: The orbital integrals  $O_{\text{orb}}(\chi, f_p)$

have unexpected hidden structure, for  $G = GL(3)$ ,

+ perhaps  $G = GL(n+1)$ , and possibly for

any (quasisplit) group  $G$ .

④ II. TRACE FORMULA (approximation)

Test  $f^m$ :  $f \in C_c^\omega(Z_+ \setminus G(A))$ ,  $Z_+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} : r > 0 \right\} \subset G(\mathbb{R}) \subset G(A)$ .

$$I_{\text{geom}}(f) \text{ (geom exp}^m) = I_{\text{spec}}(f) \text{ (spectral exp}^m)$$

$$\underbrace{I_{\text{ell,reg}}(f)}_{\parallel} + \text{suppl. geom terms} = \underbrace{I_{\text{cusp}}(f)}_{\parallel} + \text{suppl. spectral terms}$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell,reg}}(G)} \text{vol}(\sigma) \mathcal{O}(\sigma, f) \quad \leftarrow \text{vol } Z_+ G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$$

$$\int_{G(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} f(x^{-1} \gamma x) dx$$

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\text{cusp}}(G)} \text{mult}(\pi) \cdot \text{tr}(\pi(f))$$

multiplicity in  $L^2_{\text{disc}}(\cdot)$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\Pi_{\text{ired}}, \subset L^2_{\text{disc}}(Z_+ G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$$

cuspidal

ired characters

all. reg. cong classes in  $G(\mathbb{Q})$

We write

$$I_{\text{ell,reg}}(f) \sim I_{\text{cusp}}(f) \quad \text{"pretend primary geometric + spectral terms are equal"}$$

5

### III. BEYOND ENDOSCOPY (Langlands; dream / strategy)

Establish Principle of Functoriality by combining trace formula with general automorphic L-functions  $L(s, \pi, r)$ ,

$$r : \hat{G} = GL(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL(N, \mathbb{C}), \text{ finite dim rep.}$$

#### Langlands' proposed refinement of trace formula

Given finite dim. rep  $r$ , replace spectral side by

$$I_{\text{cusp}}^r(f) = \sum_{\pi} m_{\pi}(r) \cdot \text{mult}(\pi) \cdot \text{tr}(\pi(f)),$$

where

$$m_{\pi}(r) = -\text{res}_{s=1} \frac{d}{ds} (\log L(s, \pi, r)).$$

Would include subtle information about  $\pi$  as a "functorial image".

Fundamental question: Is there a geom. sup<sup>n</sup>  $I_{\text{geom}}^r(f)$

$\rightarrow I_{\text{geom}}^r(f) = I_{\text{spec}}^r(f) ?$  - r-trace formula

Many hard things would have to be solved first.

(6)

### IV. EARLY PROGRESS

Fronkel, Langlands, Ngo (2010): "Replace  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(G)$

by its char. polynomial.

$$P_\gamma(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - \gamma) = \lambda^{m+1} - a_1 \lambda^m + \dots + (-1)^{m+1} a_{m+1} = P_a(\lambda)$$

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1}) = (b, a_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^m \times \mathbb{Q}^*$$

Thus

$$\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(G) \iff a \in \mathbb{Q}^m \times \mathbb{Q}^* \rightarrow P_a(\lambda) \text{ irred} / \mathbb{Q}.$$

Simplification: Langlands (2004), Ali Altug (2005).

$$\text{Set } f = f^\infty f_\infty, \quad f_\infty \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus G(\mathbb{R})), \quad f^\infty = \mathbb{1}^\infty = \prod_p \mathbb{1}_p,$$

+ considers only those  $\gamma \rightarrow$

Unit  $f^\infty$  on  $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$

$$O(\gamma, f) = O(\gamma, f^\infty) = \prod_p O(\gamma, \mathbb{1}_p) \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\gamma \iff a = (b, \epsilon), \quad b \in \mathbb{Z}^m, \quad \epsilon = \det(\gamma) = \pm 1,$$

so terms in  $\Gamma_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(f)$  then corresp. to irred

monic poly<sup>s</sup> with integral coeff<sup>s</sup> +  $a_{m+1} = \epsilon = \pm 1$ .

(7) This is a fundamental charge of outlook

Philosophically: 2 sides of trace indexed by 2 classifications of Galois extensions  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  (like abelian class field theory)

{splitting fields of mod  
{polynomials}-geometric

← {irred rep<sup>s</sup> of their Galois group}-spectral.

Suppose  $\gamma \rightarrow a = (b, \varepsilon)$ , +  $E = E_a = \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]/(P_a(\lambda))$ .  $\dim$

$$I_{\text{ell, reg}}(f) = \sum_{\gamma} \text{vol}(\gamma) \cdot O(\gamma, f^{\infty}) \cdot O(\gamma, f_0)$$

We can then write

$$\textcircled{+}^E(b, f_0) = O(\gamma, f_0) |D(\gamma)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = O(\gamma, f_0) |D_E|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \prod_P P^{s_P} \right),$$

and

$$\text{vol}(\gamma) = |D_E|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (S_E(r) / S_{\mathbb{Q}}(r)) = |D_E|^{\frac{1}{2}} (S_E / S_{\mathbb{Q}})(1).$$

regulator of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$

class number formula for  $E/\mathbb{Q}$

We get

$$I_{\text{ell, reg}}(f) = \sum_{E=\mathbb{Q}(\pm 1)} \sum'_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \underbrace{\left( (S_E / S_{\mathbb{Q}})(1) \cdot \prod_P (O(\gamma, f_P) P^{-s_P}) \right)}_{\text{global coeff}^2} \cdot \underbrace{\textcircled{+}^E(b, f_0)}_{\text{local test } f \cap \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^m}$$

where  $\sum'$  means sum only over those  $b \rightarrow$

$P_a(\lambda) = P_{b, \varepsilon}(\lambda)$  is irred.

## ⑧ V. ON POISSON SUMMATION

Question (FLM): Can we modify this so we can apply Poisson summation formula to the lattice

$$\{b \in \mathbb{Z}^m\} \subset \{u \in \mathbb{R}^m\} ?$$

Answer for  $GL(2)$ : (Altmag). Yes!

(Uses remarkable techniques, using explicit orbital  $L$ - $f^m$  for  $GL(2)$  of Zagier (1976))

Obstructions to Poisson summation: (Solved by Altmag for  $GL(2)$ , open in general)

(i) Function:  $\Theta^\varepsilon(b, f)$ : Does not extend to smooth  $f^m$  of  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  - singular hyperplanes

Sol<sup>n</sup>: Multiplies it by small power  $|D(\gamma)|^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$

(ii) Coeff<sup>s</sup>:  $\Theta^\varepsilon(b) = (\mathcal{S}_E / \mathcal{S}_Q)(1) \cdot \prod_P (\text{Orb}(\gamma, \mathbb{I}_P) P^{-\mathcal{S}_P})$

$\gamma \leftrightarrow a = (b, \varepsilon)$ . Could use approx.  $f^m$  eq <sup>$\pm m$</sup>  for Dirichlet

$$L\text{-}f^m : L(\rho, E) = \mathcal{S}_E(\rho) / \mathcal{S}_Q(\rho)$$

to express value at  $\rho=1$  as rest<sup>n</sup> to  $\{b\}$  of a

smooth  $f^m$  of  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .  $\mathbb{B}$

But what about  $p$ -adic orb. integrals?



(a)

Remarkable fact:  $c^\varepsilon(b) = c(a)$  equals the value at  $z=1$  of the orbital L-function

$$L(z, R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\zeta_R(z)}{\zeta_Q(z)}$$

$\uparrow$   
orbital L-fn
 $\uparrow$   
Dirichlet beta f^n

Since it has analytic cont. +  $f^n \log^{+m}$ , it also has an approx.  $f^{\text{real}} \log^{+m}$ : we get  $c^\varepsilon(b)$  as the rest<sup>m</sup> to  $b$  of a smooth, tempered  $f^n$  of  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Recall:  $\gamma \leftrightarrow \text{---} a = (b, \varepsilon)$

$$E = E_a = \mathbb{Q}[\lambda] / (P_a(\lambda)) - \text{ext}^m \text{ with } \deg(E/\mathbb{Q}) = (m+1)$$

$$R = R_a = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda] / (P_a(\lambda)) - \text{an order in } \mathcal{O}_E$$

Altug required further analysis of resulting exp<sup>m</sup> for  $GL(2)$ , but he eventually obtains Poisson summation over  $b$ .

He then showed that the Fourier transform term with  $\xi=0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  gives the contribution of the nontempered 1-dim. rep. of  $G(A)$  to  $I_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(f)$ , with strong estimate for the difference

Original Answer in FLN: Poisson summation for any  $G(!)$ , and proof that term with  $\xi=0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  gives contrib. of 1-dim. rep<sup>s</sup> to  $I_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(f)$ . But the abstract techniques give only weak control over the remainder term.

(10) VI. ON  $GL(3)$ :  $G = G(2) = GL(3)$ , over nonarch. local field  $F$  of char 0, res. char.  $q$ ;  $f = \mathbb{1}_F = CF(G(O_F))$ .

Local orbital integrals

Theorem 1: Suppose  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{ell, reg}(G)$  is unram., so that

$$|\text{D}(\gamma)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = q^{\delta}, \quad \delta = 3m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $O(\gamma, f)$  equals

contrib. of reg. Shalika germ

$$L(1, E)^{-1} \left[ 1 + (1 + q^{-1} + q^{-2}) \left[ (q^{3m} + 2q^{3m-1} + 3q^{3m-2} + \dots + (3m-1)q^2) - 3(q+1) \left( q^{2m-2} + 2q^{2m-4} + 3q^{2m-6} + \dots + (m-1)q^2 \right) \right] \right]$$

contrib. of subreg. Shalika germ

Remarks: (i) Solution of a difference eq<sup>tn</sup> for  $m_0 = X^{\gamma}$  in Kottwitz (Duke Math J, 48, 1981, p. 660) function

(ii) Very similar formula if  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{ell, reg}(G)$  is ramified. (Ibid, for  $m_0 = X^{\gamma}$  on p. 661).

(iii) If  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{reg}(G)$  is not elliptic, the problems reduce to simpler formulas for proper Levi sub  $\mathbb{R}^s$  MCG

Example:  $m=3, \delta=9$ . It then follows easily from th<sup>m</sup> that

$$O(\gamma, f) = 1 + (1 + q^{-1} + q^{-2}) (q^9 + 2q^8 + 3q^7 + 4q^6 + 2q^5 + 3q^4 + 1q^3 + 2q^2) \\ = q^9 + 2q^8 + 3q^7 + 4q^6 + 4q^5 + 3q^4 + 3q^3 + 2q^2 + 2q + 1$$

This pattern is clear. It is exactly the same for any  $m$ .

Local orbital L-functions: Use Th<sup>m</sup> 1 and the general analogue of the example above to write

$$O(\delta, f) = 1 + L_{q(1, E)}^{-1} (q^{3m} + c_1 q^{3m-1} + c_2 q^{3m-2} + \dots + c_{m-2} q^3 + c_{m-1} q^2)$$

for explicit pos. integers  $c_1, \dots, c_{m-2}$ . Then inflate this expression to a f<sup>m</sup> of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by 4 operations:

- (i) (Translation) Multiply the exp<sup>m</sup> by  $q^{\delta(1-\mathbb{Z})}$ .
- (ii) (Dirichlet L-f<sup>m</sup>) Replace  $L_{q(1, E)}^{-1}$  by  $L_{q(\mathbb{Z}, E)}^{-1}$ .
- (iii) (Scaling) Inflate each monomial  $q^k$  to  $q^{k(2\mathbb{Z}-1)}$
- (iv) (Desingularization). Replace each coefficient  $c_n \in \mathbb{N}$  by  $\sum_{i=0}^{c_n-1} q^{(1-\mathbb{Z})i}$ .

Write  $\widehat{L}(\mathbb{Z}, R)$  for the resulting function of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $R = R_a$  (local order),  $E = E_a$  (local field ext<sup>m</sup>)

Theorem 2: (i)  $\widehat{L}(1, R) = \widehat{L}(0, R) = O(\delta, f)$   
(ii) (Functional Eq<sup>t<sup>m</sup></sup>)  $\widehat{L}(\mathbb{Z}, R) = \widehat{L}(1-\mathbb{Z}, R)$

(12)

(i) is trivial, by construction and (ii)

(ii) uses elementary but tricky combinatorics. To

simplify notation one writes  $x = q^{1-2}$ ,  $y = q^2$ ,

so that  $q^{2z-1} = yx^z$ . Must then <sup>(show)</sup> resulting exp<sup>m</sup>

is symmetric under  $x \leftrightarrow y$ .

Example:  $m=3, \delta=9$ . One sees that  $\hat{L}(z, R)$  equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& y^9 + y^8(x^2 + x + 1) + y^7(x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1) \\
& + y^6(x^6 + x^5 + 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + x) + y^5(x^8 + 2x^5 + 3x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2) \\
& + y^4(x^7 + 2x^6 + 3x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3) + y^3(x^7 + 2x^6 + 2x^5 + x^4) \\
& + y^2(x^5 + 2x^7 + 2x^6 + x^5) + y^1(x^8 + x^7 + x^6) + y^0(x^8 + x^7)
\end{aligned}$$

and then verifies symmetry under  $x \leftrightarrow y$ .

• Same constructions, ~~the~~ theorem + proof if  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{ell, reg}(G)$  is ramified

• If  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{reg}(G)$  is not elliptic, the results reduce to proper Levi subgrps  $M \subset G$

For each local case for  $GL(3)$ , define

$$L(z, R) = L(z, E) \hat{L}(z, R) q^{-\delta z} \text{ - local orbital } L\text{-fct}$$

(with  $E$  a product of fields if  $\gamma$  is not elliptic.)

(13)

Global orbital L-functions: Now suppose (for  $G(\mathbb{Z})$ )

that  $F$  is global,  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{ell, reg}}(G)$ ,  $\bar{E} = \bar{E}_a$ ,  $R = R_a$ ,  $\delta \leftrightarrow a$ .

Define

$$L(\alpha, R) = L_\omega(\alpha, \bar{E}) \cdot \prod_p L_p(\alpha, R) \text{ - global orbital L-function}$$

Corollary 3:  $L(\alpha, R) = L(1-\alpha, R)$

Follows from Theorem 2(ii) +  $f^m$  equation

for  $L(\alpha, \bar{E})$ .



## (14) VII. ON THE FUTURE

- Expect to use Theorem 2 to prove Poisson summation (à la Artin) for  $G = GL(3)$ .
- Problem: Prove that  $\zeta_R(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) L(s, R)$  equals Yun's local zeta function  $\zeta_R(s)$  for  $GL(3)$ .  
(Done for  $GL(2)$  by M. Espinosa Lara)
- Problem: Extend Artin's global results to  $GL(3)/F$ , for any number field  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ .  
(Done for  $GL(2)/F$  by Espinosa-Lara, Emery, Kundlich, Tian)
- The local formulas of Theorem 1 and the general version of the example <sup>(there)</sup> were not hard to prove, but they turned out to be simpler than <sup>(I)</sup> expected. To me, they suggest possibility of manageable formulas for  $GL(n+1)$ .  
(See Rogawski, Contemp. Math. 53, 1986, for making guesses, and the two papers of Waldspurger on germs for  $GL(n+1)$  to try to prove them.)

(15) VIII. CONJECTURE / SPECULATION:  $G$  arb. quasi-split  $g, p$

- Perhaps we can hope for manageable local formulas extending Theorem 1 + the example there for any  $G$ , despite Hales ("Why p-adic harmonic analysis is not elementary")
- It is likely there is a rich, hidden structure on  $I_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(f)$ , given by duality between local Shalika germs and the <sup>global</sup> parametrization of nontempered rep<sup>s</sup> in the automorphic discrete spectrum

geometric

{ stable unip class in  $G$ ,  
{ base of S.H. fibration }

spectral

{ unip classes in  $\hat{G}$ ,  
{ Dynkin class<sup>n</sup> + diagram,  
Bala Carter class<sup>3</sup> }



duality of unip classes,  
Poisson summation,  
 $G \leftrightarrow \hat{G}$  etc.