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## What happens at a corner?



## Extrapolative approach

Recall:

$$
I_{0}:=\int_{\Gamma} v(\mathbf{y}) d S(\mathbf{y})
$$

Assume
(1) $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \mapsto \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ : Lipschitz function
(2) $\Gamma_{\eta}:=\{\mathbf{x}: \phi(\mathbf{x})=\eta\}$
(3) $\tilde{v}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ : Lipschitz function

Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
S:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tilde{v}(\mathbf{x}) \delta_{\epsilon}(\phi(\mathbf{x}))|\nabla \phi(\mathbf{x})| d \mathbf{x} \\
I[\tilde{v}, \phi](\eta):=\int_{\Gamma_{\eta}} \tilde{v}(\mathbf{x}) d S(\mathbf{x}) .
\end{gathered}
$$

In general

$$
S:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} v\left(\mathbf{y}^{*}\right) \delta_{\epsilon}(d(\mathbf{y})) d S(\mathbf{y}) \neq I_{0}!!!!
$$

Theorem (K., Tsai (2018))
Suppose
(1) $d$ is the signed distance function to $\Gamma$
(2) $\tilde{v}$ is constant along the normals of $\Gamma$
(3) $\Gamma_{\eta}$ are closed $C^{2}$ hypersurfaces for $-\epsilon \leq \eta \leq \epsilon$.

Then for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$
I[\tilde{v}, d](\eta)=I_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i} \eta^{i}
$$

where $A_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are constants that depend on $\tilde{v}$ and $d$.

## Theorem (K., Tsai (2018))

Assume the previousTheorem holds and assume $\delta_{\epsilon}$ is compactly supported in $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ with $n-1$ vanishing moments, namely

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{\epsilon}(\eta) \eta^{p} d \eta= \begin{cases}1 & p=0 \\ 0 & 0<p \leq n-1\end{cases}
$$

then

$$
I_{0}=\int_{\Gamma} v(\mathbf{x}) d S(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tilde{v}(\mathbf{x}) \delta_{\epsilon}(d(\mathbf{x})) d \mathbf{x}=S
$$

## Curves with corners and cusps

(1) Corner: $I(\eta)=I_{0}+O(\eta)$
(2) Cusp: $I(\eta)=I_{0}+O\left(\eta^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)$ where $p$ quantifies the degree of the cusp.

## Theorem (K.,Tsai (2018))

Consider a curve $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with a corner at ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ) modeled locally by $g \in C^{2}([0, \infty),[0, \infty))$ with $g(0)=0$ and for $p \in \mathbb{N}, g^{(\nu)}(0)=0$ for $0 \leq \nu<p$ and $g^{(p)}(0)>0$. Suppose also that $\delta_{\epsilon}$ is compactly supported in $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ with $m$ vanishing moments such that then for small $\epsilon>0$

$$
\left|S-I_{0}\right|=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
O\left(\epsilon^{1+m}\right) & p=1 \text { (corner) } \\
O\left(\epsilon^{2+\frac{1}{p}}\right) & p \geq 2(\text { cusp })
\end{array} .\right.
$$

## Integrating a Lipschitz continuous function on a circle

Integrand:

$$
f(x, y)=\min (|\theta-0.3|,|\theta-2 \pi-0.3|), \quad 0 \leq \theta=\arg (x, y)<2 \pi .
$$

with the signed distance function to the circle. Use a $C^{\infty}$ kernel with two vanishing moments.


Figure: In blue: relative errors. In red: graph of $0.997^{N} 10^{-7}$.

Surface area of $\phi(x, y, z):=|x|+|y|+|z|=r_{0}$ with $r_{0}=0.65\left(\ell_{1}\right.$-ball) Use a $C^{\infty}$ kernel with two vanishing moments.

Table: Relative error in computing the surface area of an $\ell_{1}$-ball.

|  | $\mathrm{N}=100$ | 200 | 400 | 800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rel. error | $5.87232 \mathrm{e}-1$ | $2.63126 \mathrm{e}-2$ | $8.19894 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $5.23091 \mathrm{e}-6$ |
| Order |  | 4.5 | 5.0 | 7.3 |

## THANK YOU!

