# Tight Binding Models for Longitudinally Driven Linear/Nonlinear Lattices

Mark J. Ablowitz

Department of Applied Mathematics University of Colorado, Boulder September 2017



# Outline

- Introduction
- Study light propagation in longitudinal (z) direction with an optical lattice in transverse (x-y) plane
- Systematic method to obtain tight binding approximations for linear/NL lattices with detailed longitudinal structure
- Prototypes: honeycomb (HC) and staggered square (SS) lattices
- Examples of longitudinal structure: helical periodic variation with same rotation/different radii on sublattices, out of phase rotation between sublattices, different frequencies between sublattices,...

# Outline – con't

- Obtain Floquet bands indicates edge waves; typically two types
  - Unidirectional traveling edge waves: topological: no backscatter, stable w/r defects
  - Non-unidirectional/non-topological waves
- Further asymptotic models can be constructed; yields analytical insight: rapid and slow variation
- In nonlinear problem can find envelope edge solitons satisfying classical 1d-NLS eq; the solitons are stable, they persist over long distances; remain intact around defects, corners
- NL modes-solitons inherit underlying topological properties
- Conclusion

Refs: MJA, C. Curtis, YP Ma (2014-15); MJA, J. Cole (2017)

# Introduction

- Investigations of optical lattices extensive
- Paradigm HC lattice: 'Photonic Graphene' (PG)



Left: Uniform HC lattice: *z* direc'n; Right: x-y plane : HC lattice

 Segev group 2007-conical diffrct'n; MJA, Y. Zhu, C. Curtis constructed/studied TB models; found conical diffrct'n & various interesting new NL nonlocal eqn's in certain limits (2009-13)

# Introduction-con't

- Topological edge waves were theoretically proposed/observed in magneto-optics, Wang et al 2008-09
- Such waves were found in photonics: HC lattice with longitudinal helical variation, Rechtsman et al 2013
- MJA, YP Ma, C. Curtis (2014), studied TB model, developed asymptotic description linear/NL under assumption of rapid helical variation
- Leykam et al (2016) studied staggered square lattice with helical variation and phase sh'fts between sublattices
- MJA & J. Cole (2017): systematic method to find TB models in lattices with longitudinal structure
- Topological edge/interface/surface waves in physics very active field of research

## Lattice NLS Equation

Maxwell's eq with paraxial approx. = NLS eq with ext pot'l

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{2k_0}\nabla^2\psi + k_0\frac{\Delta n(x,y,z)}{n_0}\psi - \gamma|\psi|^2\psi$$

where:  $k_0$  is input wavenumber

 $n_0$  is the bulk refractive index

 $\Delta n/n_0$  is the change of index change relative to  $n_0$ 

 $\gamma$  is NL index

## Non-dimensional NLS Equation

Rescale to non-dimensional form

$$x = \ell x'$$
,  $y = \ell y'$ ,  $z = z_* z'$ ,  $\psi = \sqrt{P_*} \psi'$ 

where:  $\ell$  is the lattice scale;  $P_*$ : peak input power Find non-dim NLS eq, ': dimensionless:

$$i\frac{\partial\psi'}{\partial z'} + (\nabla')^2\psi' - V(x', y', z')\psi' + \sigma|\psi'|^2\psi' = 0$$
  
where  $z_* = 2k_0\ell^2$ ,  $V = 2k_0^2\ell^2(\Delta n/n_0)$ ,  $\sigma = 2\gamma k_0\ell^2 P_*$   
Drop ' => normalized lattice NLS eq

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z} + \nabla^2\psi - V(x, y, z)\psi + \sigma|\psi|^2\psi = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

# TB Limit

When  $|V| \gg 1$ , the tight binding (TB) limit, approx the potential by

$$V(\mathbf{r}) pprox \sum_{\mathbf{v}} V_j(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}), \ \ j = 1,2$$
 (nonsimple HC or SS lattice)

where  $V_j(\mathbf{r})$ , denotes the approximating potential with minima at site  $S_{\mathbf{v}}$ ;  $V_j(\mathbf{r})$  typically gaussian

Associated localized functions near the potential minima, termed orbitals, are used to approx  $\psi$ 

TB approx used widely in physics to study lattice systems: uniform linear HC lattices ('Graphene'): Wallace 1947

## Longitudinal Variation in Potentials

Typical case nonsimple lattice with two sublattices

$$V_1 = V_1(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{h}_1(z)), \ \ V_2 = V_2(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{h}_2(z))$$

in nb'hd of sublattices 1,2 and  $\mathbf{h}_j(z)$ , j = 1, 2 are prescribed (smooth) functions

Simple case, helical variation

$$\mathbf{h}_j(z) = \eta_j \left( \cos \left( \frac{z}{\varepsilon_j} + \chi_j \right), \ \sin \left( \frac{z}{\varepsilon_j} + \tilde{\chi}_j \right) \right), \ j = 1, 2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Rotating frame

Move to coordinate frame co-moving with with the  $V_1(\mathbf{r}, z)$  sublattice,

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{h}_1(z)$$
 ,  $z' = z$ 

which after the phase transformation

$$\psi = \psi' \exp\left[-i \int_0^z |\mathbf{A}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\right]$$
 with  $\mathbf{A}(z) = -\mathbf{h_1}'(z)$ 

find lattice NLS with a pseudo-field  $\mathbf{A}(z)$  -dropping ':

$$i\partial_z \psi + (\nabla + i\mathbf{A}(z))^2 \psi - V(\mathbf{r}, z)\psi + \sigma |\psi|^2 \psi = 0$$
  
 $V_1(r, z) = V_1(\mathbf{r}), \quad V_2(r, z) = V_2(\mathbf{r} - \Delta \mathbf{h}_{21}(z)), \text{ near sites } 1, 2 \text{ with}$   
 $\Delta \mathbf{h}_{21}(z) = \mathbf{h}_2(z) - \mathbf{h}_1(z)$ 

## NL HC Representation

In non-dim NLS eq using HC lattice with  $\left|V\right|>>1$  substitute

$$\psi(\mathbf{r},z) \sim \sum_{\mathbf{v}} \left[ a_{\mathbf{v}}(z)\phi_{1,\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r},z) + b_{\mathbf{v}}(z)\phi_{2,\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r},z) \right]$$

where

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \tilde{V}_j(\mathbf{r}, z)\right)\phi_{j,\nu}(\mathbf{r}, z) = -E_j\phi_{j,\nu}(\mathbf{r}, z); \ \ j = 1,2$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\phi_{j,v}$  are termed orbitals

Substitute  $\psi$  into NLS eq. with pseudo-field, multiply  $\phi_j(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{p})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}}; \quad j = 1, 2$  and integrate

## Discrete HC System

$$i\frac{da_{mn}}{dz} + e^{i\varphi(z)} \left(\mathcal{L}_{-}(z)b\right)_{mn} + \sigma|a_{mn}|^{2}a_{mn} = 0$$
$$i\frac{db_{mn}}{dz} + e^{-i\varphi(z)} \left(\mathcal{L}_{+}(z)a\right)_{mn} + \sigma|b_{mn}|^{2}b_{mn} = 0$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_{-}(z)b)_{mn} = L_{0}(z)b_{mn} + L_{1}(z)b_{m-1,n-1}e^{-i\theta_{1}(z)} + L_{2}(z)b_{m+1,n-1}e^{-i\theta_{2}(z)}$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_{+}(z)a)_{mn} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{0}(z)a_{mn} + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{1}(z)a_{m+1,n+1}e^{i\theta_{1}(z)} + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{2}(z)a_{m-1,n+1}e^{i\theta_{2}(z)},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

where  $arphi(z), heta_j(z), L_j(z), \widetilde{L}_j(z) \in \mathbb{R}, \; j=1,2,3$  known

### Typical Rotation Patterns for Sublattices

• Same rotation, same or different radii:

$$\mathbf{h}_2(z) = R_a \mathbf{h}_1(z) = R_a \eta \left( \cos \left( \frac{z}{\varepsilon} \right), \sin \left( \frac{z}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) ,$$

•  $\pi$ -Phase offset rotation

$$\mathbf{h}_2(z) = \mathbf{h}_1(z + \varepsilon \pi) = -\eta \left( \cos \left( \frac{z}{\varepsilon} \right), \sin \left( \frac{z}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) ,$$

• Different frequencies

$$\mathbf{h}_j(z) = \eta \left( \cos \left( rac{z}{arepsilon_j} 
ight), \ \sin \left( rac{z}{arepsilon_j} 
ight) 
ight), \ j = 1, 2,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### BCs – Linear Floquet Bands

Consider e.g. Zig-Zag; left end BCs

$$\begin{aligned} a_{mn} &= 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n < 1 \;, \qquad b_{mn} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n < 0 \;, \\ a_{mn} &\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \;, \quad b_{mn} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \;. \end{aligned}$$

Look for solutions of the form

$$a_{mn}(z) = a_n(z;\omega)e^{im\omega} , \quad b_{mn}(z) = b_n(z;\omega)e^{im\omega} ,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Find linear difference eq with periodic coef; use Floquet thy:

$$f(z+L)=e^{-i\alpha(\omega)z}f(z)$$

## HC Floquet Bands

#### HC lattice linear band structure-typical parameters



A B C

Fig A: same freq, same radii Fig B: same freq, different radii ( $R_2 = R_1/2$ ) Fig C: diff freq ( $1/\varepsilon_2 = \omega_2 = 2\omega_1 = 1/\varepsilon_1$ ), same radii

## HC Floquet Bands -con't

HC lattice linear band structure-typical parameters



А

В

(日)、

э.

Figs A & B:  $\pi$  offset, same rotation Fig B vs Fig A: radius  $\eta_2 > \eta_1$ 

## Linear HC Edge Mode Dynamics



Fig Above: Same rotation, same radii



Fig Above:  $\pi$  offset, different radii

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

# Staggered Square lattice



Analysis to find TB system and Floquet system similar to HC

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

# Staggered Square (SS) Floquet bands

Staggered Square (SS) lattice linear band structure-typical parameters



A B A & B: Same rotation A: same radii (simple lattice) B: different radii ( $R_a = 0.6$ )

C D D C & D:  $\pi$  offset, different radii

## Linear SS Edge Mode Dynamics



Fig Above: Same rotation, different radii ( $R_a = 0.6$ )

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ = 臣 = の Q @



Fig Above:  $\pi$  offset, different radii:

# Asymptotics: Rapidly Varying Helical HC Lattice

HC lattice, when each sublattice has same rotation/radius, eqs simplify

Let: 
$$a_{mn} = a_n e^{im\omega}, b_{mn} = b_n e^{im\omega}$$
 find

$$i\partial_z a_n + e^{i\mathbf{d}\cdot\mathbf{A}} \left(b_n + \rho\gamma^* b_{n-1}\right) + \sigma |a_n|^2 a_n = 0$$
  
$$i\partial_z b_n + e^{-i\mathbf{d}\cdot\mathbf{A}} \left(a_n + \rho\gamma a_{n+1}\right) + \sigma |b_n|^2 b_n = 0$$

where  $\gamma = \gamma(\omega, \mathbf{A}(z)), \ \rho$ : geometric deformation parameter

A(z) periodic & rapidly varying in z:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\zeta), \ \zeta = \frac{z}{\varepsilon}, |\varepsilon| \ll 1; \quad \text{expt's:} \quad \varepsilon = 0.24$$
  
e.g. 
$$\mathbf{A} = \kappa(\sin\zeta, -\cos\zeta): \text{ 'helical waveguides'}$$

Expt's: Rechtsman et al (2013); Theory: MJA, Curtis, Ma, Cole (2014, 2017)

## Edge Modes: Zig-Zag (ZZ)

Multiple scales:  $a_n = a_n(z, \zeta); \ b_n = b_n(z, \zeta); \ \zeta = \frac{z}{\varepsilon}$ Expand  $a_n, b_n$  in powers of  $\varepsilon$ 

Find at leading order: Edge Modes (ZZ), exp decay, left end:

$$a_n \sim 0, \ b_n \sim C(Z, \omega)r^n, \ |r| = |r(\omega, \rho; \mathbf{A})| < 1, \ n \ge 0$$

Linear problem (first order):

$$C(Z,\omega) = C_0 \exp(-i\alpha(\omega)Z), Z = \varepsilon z$$

 $C_0$  const.  $\alpha(\omega) \equiv \alpha(\omega, \rho; \mathbf{A}) \in \mathbb{R}$ : 'edge dispersion relation' Obtain explicit formulae (Floquet coef)

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲画▶ ▲画▶ ▲回▶

### Linear Problem–Edge Dispersion Relation

Dispersion relations (helical waveguides):  $\alpha(\omega)$ : thin curves are 'bulk' modes; lines in the gap are edge modes ( $\rho = 1$ ):



$$ho = 1$$
  $ho = 0.4$ 

Left: : 'Topolgical Floquet Insulator' (I = 1) Right  $\rho = 0.4$ : Nontopological mode (I = 2)

## Nonlinear Edge Wave Envelope Evolution Eq

Discrete Zig-Zag edge mode:

 $a_{mn} \sim 0$ 

$$b_{mn} \sim C(Z, y) e^{i\omega_0 m} r^n, \ |r| < 1$$

where slowly varying  $(|\nu| \ll 1)$  edge mode envelope C satisfies:

$$i\partial_Z C = \alpha_0 C - i\alpha'_0 \nu C_y - \frac{\alpha''_0}{2} \nu^2 C_{yy} + \frac{i\alpha''_0}{6} \nu^3 C_{yyy} - \alpha_{nl,0} |C|^2 C + \cdots$$

where  $\alpha_0 = \alpha(\omega_0)$  etc

If  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\alpha = \mathbf{0}$ : stationary mode

Linear/NL mode evolution discrete eq agrees with LS/NLS eq NL topological mode: unidirectional, stable

# Typical Linear Edge Wave Evolution–Defects



#### Fig: propagation across defect: left to right

Top fig: Topological mode – wave propagates unidirectionally without losing significant power ( $\rho = 1, \omega = \pi/2, \alpha_{nl} = 0$ ) Bottom fig: Nontopological mode – wave reflects, broadens/loses significant power ( $\rho = 0.4, \omega = \pi/2, \alpha_{nl} = 0$ )

# NL Edge Wave Propagation Around Defects



Fig: NL propagation across defect: left to right

NL topological edge wave ( $\rho = 1, \alpha_0'' > 0, \alpha_{nl} \neq 0$ )) propagates without losing significant power

NL edge solitons: unidirectional, propagates across defects

### Bounded Graphene: Zig-Zag, Arm Chair Edges



#### Zig-Zag (ZZ): Left Right; Armchair: Top, Bottom

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

#### Mode Propagation–Linear

Linear propagation  $\ \rho = 1$  : topological case; different points on the dispersion curve



## Mode Propagation-NL

NL propagation  $\rho = 1$  : topological case:; different points on the dispersion curve



### Adiabatic HC Lattice

Take HC lattice, uniform rotation, and  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(Z)$ , where  $Z = \varepsilon z$ In lattice system:  $a_n = a_n(z, Z)$ ,  $b_n = b_n(z, Z)$ Multiple scales asymptotics:

 $a_n \sim 0; \quad b_n \sim C(Z, \omega) b_n^S(Z)$ where  $b_n^S(Z) = \{r^n(Z); \quad |r| < 1; \quad r = r(\omega, \rho; \mathbf{A}(Z)), \quad n \ge 0\}$ In general edge mode existence (|r| < 1) depends on  $\omega, \rho, Z$ Modes can 'disintegrate' under evolution

### Adiabatic HC Lattice-con't

For  $b_n \sim C(Z, \omega) b_n^S(Z)$ , find find at leading order:

 $\partial_Z C + i\alpha_p(Z;\omega)C = 0,$ 

where  $\alpha_p(Z; \omega)$  may be calculated explicitly Typical case take:  $\mathbf{A}(Z) = \kappa(\sin Z, -\cos Z)$  $\alpha_p(Z; \omega)$  is a periodic fcn in Z $\alpha_p(Z; \omega)$  is termed the 'edge dispersion relation'

#### Linear Edge Modes–Under Evolution

Typical linear edge mode evolution–via discrete eq:  $\varepsilon = 0.1, \kappa = 0.3, \rho = 1$ 



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

-

## Edge Mode Region–Under Evolution

Typical Edge Mode Region Under Evolution:  $\varepsilon = 0.1, \kappa = 0.3, \rho = 1$ 



Mode exists in region in gray; If begin inside  $\omega : \omega_{-} < \omega < \omega_{+}$ , then remain. Mode (a) exists for entire period; mode (b) disintegrates at some  $Z_{*}$ 

#### Adiabatic NL Edge Wave Envelope Evolution Eq

Discrete edge mode:  $a_{mn} \sim 0$ 

$$b_{mn} \sim C(Z, y) e^{i\omega_0 m} b_n^S(Z), \ |r| < 1$$

slowly varying ( $|\nu| \ll 1$ ) edge mode envelope C NLS-type eq:

$$i\partial_{Z}C = \alpha_{0}(Z)C - i\alpha'_{0}(Z)\nu C_{y} - \frac{\alpha''_{0}(Z)}{2}\nu^{2}C_{yy} + \frac{i\alpha'''_{0}(Z)}{6}\nu^{3}C_{yyy} - \alpha_{nl,0}(Z)|C|^{2}C + \cdots$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\alpha_0(Z) = \alpha_p(\omega_0, Z), \alpha'_0(Z) = (\partial_\omega \alpha_p)(\omega_0, Z)$  etc.

## Adiabatic: Linear Discrete vs. Envelope Eq

Linear discrete system vs. linear envelope eq – at edge: n = 0



Left: Discrete eq. evolution; Right: Envelope evolution: Stopped at  $z \approx 12$  edge state 'disintegrates'

 $\varepsilon = 0.1, \kappa = 0.3, \rho = 1, \nu = 0.1, \omega_0 = 2\pi/3$ 

# Conclusion

Photonic lattices with longitudinal variation

- Systematic method to find tight binding equations for complex longitudinally driven lattices
- Special case periodically driven -helical-lattices: Honeycomb and staggered square lattices
- In tight binding (TB) limit find/study discrete linear and NL systems governing wave propagation

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Find Floquet bands; study evolution of edge waves for different sublattice structures: different radii/different frequency/phase offsets...
- Find topological/nontopological edge waves

# Conclusion: HC Edge States

Helical Variation I:

Rapid (fast) helical variation:

- Construct asymptotic theory
- Envelope of edge modes satisfy standard NLS eq
- NLS solitons topological case: unidirectional, propagate stably around defects
- Bounded Domain:
  - Linear modes affected by dispersion; integrity of pulses deteriorate
  - NL solitons propagate long distances with little degradation

# Conclusion: HC Edge States

Helical Variation II:

Adiabatic helical variation:

- Construct asymptotic theory
- Edge states depend on slow longitudinal coordinate: Z
- Find envelope satisfies NLS-type eq: coefficients depend on Z
- Modes can propagate over entire period or 'disintegrate' over partial period

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Solitons can propagate intact over long distances

Ref.: MJA, C. Curtis, Y-P Ma, J. Cole: 2014 - 2017