Universality classes for weighted lattice paths Where probability and ACSV meet

Marni Mishna

with: Julien Courtiel (Paris 13), Stephen Melczer (Waterloo/Lyon) and Kilian Raschel (CNRS; Tours)



Department of Mathematics Simon Fraser University

BIRS Workshop in Analytic and Probabilistic Combinatorics Wednesday October 26, 2016

Announcement



Lattice walks at the Interface of Algebra, Analysis and Combinatorics September 17 – September 22, 2017

Organizers

- Mireille Bousquet-Mélou (Bordeaux/ CNRS)
- Stephen Melczer (University of Waterloo & ENS Lyon)
- Marni Mishna (Mathematics, Simon Fraser University)
- Michael Singer (North Carolina State University)

The Gouyou-Beauchamps model

Let $\mathcal W$ be the set of walks in the first quadrant with steps:





Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{W}}$ be the set of walks in the first quadrant with steps:





THEOREM

If w_n is the number of walks in \mathcal{W} of length n, then

$$w_n \sim \frac{8}{\pi} 4^n n^{-2}$$

Proof: Direct formula; Bostan Kauers 09; Melczer Wilson 16

Let $\mathcal{W}_{a,b}$ be the set of weighted walks in the first quadrant with steps:











NEW THEOREM Courtiel, Melczer, M., Raschel 16+

Let $w_n(a, b)$ be the number of walks in $W_{a,b}$ of length n. Then

 $w_n(a, b) \sim \dots$

Proof: Kernel method + Analytic Combinatorics on Several Variables (ACSV)

GB Walks with 800 steps

Weighted, biased out of the first quadrant Unweighted

Probability version: Exit times

Unweighted model generating function

$$W(t) = 1 + t + 3t^{2} + 6t^{3} + 20t^{4} + 50t^{5} + 175t^{6} + \dots$$

Probability of staying in the quadrant after 6 steps:

$$\frac{w_6}{4^6} = \frac{175}{4^6} \sim 0.04$$

Probability version: Exit times

Weighted model generating function

$$1 + at + (1 + b + a^2)t^2 + (2ab + a^3 + 3a)t^3 + \dots$$

Probability of staying in the quadrant after 3 steps:

$$\frac{w_3(a,b)}{S(1,1)^3} = \frac{2ab+a^3+3a}{(a+a^{-1}+ab^{-1}+b^{-1}a)^3}$$
nventory: $S(x,y) = ax + \frac{1}{ax} + \frac{ax}{by} + \frac{by}{ax}$

The weightings must be central: The probability of a given walk depends only on its length and its endpoint. We give explicit conditions for this in our work.

Probability version: Exit times

Weighted model generating function

$$1 + at + (1 + b + a^2)t^2 + (2ab + a^3 + 3a)t^3 + \dots$$

Probability of staying in the quadrant after 3 steps:

$$\frac{w_3(a,b)}{S(1,1)^3} = \frac{2ab+a^3+3a}{(a+a^{-1}+ab^{-1}+b^{-1}a)^3}$$
nventory: $S(x,y) = ax + \frac{1}{ax} + \frac{ax}{by} + \frac{by}{ax}$

The weightings must be central: The probability of a given walk depends only on its length and its endpoint. We give explicit conditions for this in our work.

Natural Questions

$$w_n(a,b)\sim C
ho^{-n}n^lpha$$

- How do the weights intervene?
- What is the range of possible asymptotic behaviour?
- What affects the exponential growth ρ ? the critical exponent α?
- How do parameters like the choice of cone, starting point, and drift affect the formula?
- What is the best way to study this?

Our contribution

Use weighted models to understand the source and nature of combinatorial factors.

Asymptotic enumeration formula

THEOREM Courtiel Melczer M. Raschel 16⁺

As $n \to \infty$, the number $w_n(a, b)$ of weighted GB walks of length n, and ending anywhere while staying in \mathbb{R}^2_+ , satisfies, as $n \to \infty$,

$$w_n(a, b) = \kappa \cdot \qquad \rho^{-n} \cdot n^{-\alpha} \cdot (1 + o(1))$$

Condition	$ ho^{-1}$	α
a = b = 1	4	2
$\sqrt{b} < a < b$	$(1+b)(a^2+b)(ab)^{-1}$	0
a < 1 and $b < 1$	4	5
$b>1$ and $\sqrt{b}>a$	$2(b+1)\sqrt{b}^{-1}$	3/2
a > 1 and $a > b$	$(1+a)^2 a^{-1}$	3/2
$b = a^2 > 1$	$2(b+1)\sqrt{b}^{-1}$	1/2
a = b > 1	$(1+a)^2 a^{-1}$	1/2
a = 1, $b < 1$ or $b = 1$, $a < 1$	4	3

Asymptotic enumeration formula deluxe

THEOREM Courtiel Melczer M. Raschel 16⁺

As $n \to \infty$, the number $w_n(a, b)$ of weighted GB walks of length n, starting from (i, j) and ending anywhere while staying in \mathbb{R}^2_+ , satisfies, as $n \to \infty$,

$$w_n(a,b) = \kappa \cdot V^{[n]}(i,j) \cdot \rho^{-n} \cdot n^{-\alpha} \cdot (1+o(1))$$

Condition	$ ho^{-1}$	α
a=b=1	4	2
$\sqrt{b} < a < b$	$(1+b)(a^2+b)(ab)^{-1}$	0
a < 1 and $b < 1$	4	5
$b>1$ and $\sqrt{b}>a$	$2(b+1)\sqrt{b}^{-1}$	3/2
a > 1 and $a > b$	$(1+a)^2 a^{-1}$	3/2
$b = a^2 > 1$	$2(b+1)\sqrt{b}^{-1}$	1/2
a = b > 1	$(1+a)^2 a^{-1}$	1/2
a = 1, b < 1 or $ b = 1, a < 1$	4	3

Values for the harmonic function $V^{[n]}(i, j)$ a = b = 1: $\frac{(i+1)(j+1)(i+j+2)(i+2j+3)}{6}$

 $\sqrt{b} < a < b$:

$$\begin{split} a^{-(4+2i+2j)}b^{-(2+2j)}\left(\left(a^{1+j}-1\right)\left(a^{1+j}+1\right)\left(a^{2+i+j}-b^{2+i+j}\right)\left(a^{2+i+j}+b^{2+i+j}\right)b^{-i-1}\right.\\ &\left.-\left(a^{2+i+j}-1\right)\left(a^{2+i+j}+1\right)\left(a^{1+j}-b^{1+j}\right)\left(a^{1+j}+b^{1+j}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

a < 1, *b* < 1:

$$\frac{(1+j)(1+i)(3+i+2j)(2+i+j)}{a^i b^j} \left(\frac{a^2b^2+a^2b-4ab+b+1}{(a-1)^4} + (-1)^{n+j}\frac{a^2b^2+a^2b+4ab+b+1}{(a+1)^4}\right)$$

$$b > 1, \sqrt{b} > a:$$

$$\left(\frac{b^{3+i+2j}(1+i) + \left(b^{1+j} - b^{2+i+j}\right)(3+i+2j) - i - 1}{a^{i}b^{j/2+2j}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{b}-a)^{2}} + (-1)^{j+n}\frac{1}{(\sqrt{b}+a)^{2}}\right).$$

a > 1, *a* > *b*

$$(2+i+j)(a^{-2-j}-a^{j})b^{-j}a^{-1-i}+(1+j)(1-a^{-4-2i-2j})b^{-j}a^{j}$$

Visualize the asymptotic formula

We can plot the different regions of the formula.



Condition	$ ho^{-1}$	α
a = b = 1	4	2
$\sqrt{b} < a < b$	$(1+b)(a^2+b)/(ab)$	0
a < 1 and $b < 1$	4	5
$b>1$ and $\sqrt{b}>a$	$2(b+1)/\sqrt{b}$	3/2
a > 1 and $a > b$	$(1 + a)^2/a$	3/2
$b = a^2 > 1$	$2(b+1)/\sqrt{b}$	1/2
a = b > 1	$(1+a)^2 a^{-1}$	1/2
a = 1, b < 1 or	4	3
$b = 1 \ a < 1$		

Visualize the asymptotic formula



b

a

Universality classes

A universality class is a family of objects with the same critical exponent.



Universality classes... as a function of the drift

The drift is the vector sum of the steps: $(a - a^{-1} + \frac{a}{b} - \frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a} - \frac{a}{b})$



Condition	α
a=b=1	2
$\sqrt{b} < a < b$	0
a < 1 and $b < 1$	5
$b>1$ and $\sqrt{b}>a$	3/2
a > 1 and $a > b$	3/2
$b = a^2 > 1$	1/2
a = b > 1	1/2
a = 1, b < 1 or $b = 1, a < 1$	3

Universality classes... as a function of the drift

The drift is the vector sum of the steps: $(a - a^{-1} + \frac{a}{b} - \frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a} - \frac{a}{b})$



- Is there a diagram like this for any model?
- Are the regions always cones?
- What can be proved at a general level?

TECHNIQUE: ANALYTIC COMBINATORICS IN SEVERAL VARIABLES (ACSV)

Strategy

GOAL:
$$w_n(a, b) \sim C \rho^{-n} n^{-\alpha}$$

1 $W_{a,b}(t)$ as a diagonal of a rational function

$$[t^n]W_{a,b}(t) = [x^n y^n z^n] \frac{P(x,y)}{(1 - zxyS(x^{-1}, y^{-1}))(x - 1)(y - 1)}.$$

- 2 Express $[t^n]W_{a,b}(t)$ as a generalized Cauchy integral.
- Sescale the integral by identifying contributing critical points.
- Apply fancy theorems to get asymptotic estimates.

Spoiler alert: The inventory of the step set S(x, y) tells almost the whole story.

Diagonal Expressions

 Δ : The (complete) diagonal operator

$$\Delta \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} f_{\mathbf{i}}(n) z_1^{i_1}\cdots z_d^{i_d} \right) t^n := \sum_{n\geq 0} f_{n,\dots,n}(n) t^n$$

Bousquet-Mélou, Mishna 10; Kauers Yatchak 15, Melczer, Wilson 16

$$W(t) = [x^{\geq}y^{\geq}] \frac{(1-\overline{x})(1+\overline{x})(1-\overline{y})(1-\overline{x}^{2}y)(1-x\overline{y})(1+x\overline{y})}{1-t(x+\overline{x}+x\overline{y}+\overline{x}y)}.$$

Diagonal Expressions

 Δ : The (complete) diagonal operator

$$\Delta \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} f_{\mathbf{i}}(n) z_1^{i_1}\cdots z_d^{i_d} \right) t^n := \sum_{n\geq 0} f_{n,\dots,n}(n) t^n$$

Bousquet-Mélou, Mishna 10; Kauers Yatchak 15, Melczer, Wilson 16

$$W(t) = [x^{\geq}y^{\geq}] \frac{(1-\overline{x})(1+\overline{x})(1-\overline{y})(1-\overline{x}^{2}y)(1-x\overline{y})(1+x\overline{y})}{1-t(x+\overline{x}+x\overline{y}+\overline{x}y)}.$$

$$R(x,y) = \frac{yz^{2}(y-b)(a-x)(a^{2}y-bx^{2})(ay-bx)(ay+bx)}{(1-xyzS(x^{-1},y^{-1}))}.$$

$$W_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{a^{4}b^{3}z^{2}} \cdot \Delta\left(\frac{R(x,y)}{(1-x)(1-y)}\right).$$

Diagonal Expressions

 Δ : The (complete) diagonal operator

$$\Delta \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} f_{\mathbf{i}}(n) z_1^{i_1}\cdots z_d^{i_d} \right) t^n := \sum_{n\geq 0} f_{n,\dots,n}(n) t^n$$

Bousquet-Mélou, Mishna 10; Kauers Yatchak 15, Melczer, Wilson 16

$$W(t) = [x^{\geq}y^{\geq}] \frac{(1-\overline{x})(1+\overline{x})(1-\overline{y})(1-\overline{x}^{2}y)(1-x\overline{y})(1+x\overline{y})}{1-t(x+\overline{x}+x\overline{y}+\overline{x}y)}.$$
$$R(x,y) = \frac{yz^{2}(y-b)(a-x)(a^{2}y-bx^{2})(ay-bx)(ay+bx)}{(1-xyzS(x^{-1},y^{-1}))}.$$
$$W_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{a^{4}b^{3}z^{2}} \cdot \Delta\left(\frac{R(x,y)}{(1-x)(1-y)}\right)$$

For free: Excursion generating function

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{a^4 b^3 z^2} \cdot \Delta R(x, y)$$

A diagonal extraction is a contour integral computation

THEOREM: Multivariate Cauchy Integral Formula

Suppose that $F(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{Q}(x, y, t)$ is analytic at (0, 0, 0) with a power series expansion $F(x, y, t) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3 \ge 0} a_{i_1, i_2, i_3} x^{i_1} y^{i_2} t^{i_3}$ at the origin. Then for all $n \ge 0$,

$$a_{n,n,n} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \int_T \frac{F(x, y, t)}{(xyt)^n} \cdot \frac{dx \, dy \, dt}{xyt},$$

where T is a poly-disk defined by $\{|x| = \epsilon_1, |y| = \epsilon_2, |z| = \epsilon_3\}$, for the ϵ_j sufficiently small.

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum a_{i,j,k} x^i y^j z^k$$

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum a_{i,j,k} x^i y^j z^k$$

↑
Valid for points in the disk of convergence \mathcal{D}

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum a_{i,j,k} x^i y^j z^k$$

$$\uparrow$$
Valid for points in the disk of convergence \mathcal{D}

Absolute convergence \implies $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$, the sum converges... so does subseries $\sum a_{nnn}(|xyz|)^n$

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum a_{i,j,k} x^i y^j z^k$$

$$\uparrow$$
Valid for points in the disk of convergence \mathcal{D}

Absolute convergence \implies $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$, the sum converges... so does subseries $\sum a_{nnn}(|xyz|)^n$

That is, $\Delta F = \sum a_{nnn} t^n$ converges for t = |xyz| when $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$.

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum a_{i,j,k} x^i y^j z^k$$

↑
Valid for points in the disk of convergence \mathcal{D}

Absolute convergence $\implies (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$, the sum converges... so does subseries $\sum a_{nnn}(|xyz|)^n$

That is, $\Delta F = \sum a_{nnn} t^n$ converges for t = |xyz| when $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$. ΔF converges for $\sup_{(x,y,z)\in\overline{\mathcal{D}}} |xyz|$.

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum a_{i,j,k} x^{i} y^{j} z^{k}$$

$$\uparrow$$
Valid for points in the disk of convergence \mathcal{D}

Absolute convergence \implies $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$, the sum converges... so does subseries $\sum a_{nnn}(|xyz|)^n$

That is, $\Delta F = \sum a_{nnn} t^n$ converges for t = |xyz| when $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$. ΔF converges for $\sup_{(x, y, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}} |xyz|$. \implies a bound for the radius of convergence of ΔF .

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum a_{i,j,k} x^i y^j z^k$$

$$\uparrow$$
Valid for points in the disk of convergence

Absolute convergence \implies $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$, the sum converges... so does subseries $\sum a_{nnn}(|xyz|)^n$

D

That is, $\Delta F = \sum a_{nnn}t^n$ converges for t = |xyz| when $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$. ΔF converges for $\sup_{(x,y,z)\in\overline{\mathcal{D}}} |xyz|$. \implies a bound for the radius of convergence of ΔF . Here, the bound is provably tight.

TL;DR

$$\rho = \sup_{(x,y,z)\in\overline{\mathcal{D}}} |xyz|$$

In this story, the critical points of $\frac{G(x,y,z)}{H(x,y,z)}$ satisfy

H(x, y, z) = 0; $H_x(x, y, z) = H_y(x, y, z),$ $H_x(x, y, z) = H_z(x, y, z)$

In this story, the critical points of $\frac{G(x,y,z)}{H(x,y,z)}$ satisfy

H(x, y, z) = 0; $H_x(x, y, z) = H_y(x, y, z),$ $H_x(x, y, z) = H_z(x, y, z)$

For our lattice path models,

 $H(x, y, z) = (1 - xyzS(x^{-1}, y^{-1}))(x - 1)(y - 1)$

In this story, the critical points of $\frac{G(x,y,z)}{H(x,y,z)}$ satisfy

H(x, y, z) = 0; $H_x(x, y, z) = H_y(x, y, z),$ $H_x(x, y, z) = H_z(x, y, z)$

For our lattice path models,

$$H(x, y, z) = (1 - xyzS(x^{-1}, y^{-1}))(x - 1)(y - 1)$$

The equations imply that a critical point is of the form

$$\left(x, y, \frac{1}{xyS(x^{-1}, y^{-1})}\right)$$

In this story, the critical points of $\frac{G(x,y,z)}{H(x,y,z)}$ satisfy

H(x, y, z) = 0; $H_x(x, y, z) = H_y(x, y, z),$ $H_x(x, y, z) = H_z(x, y, z)$

For our lattice path models,

$$H(x, y, z) = (1 - xyzS(x^{-1}, y^{-1}))(x - 1)(y - 1)$$

The equations imply that a critical point is of the form

$$\left(x, y, \frac{1}{xyS(x^{-1}, y^{-1})}\right)$$

where $(x, y) = (x_s, y_s)$ satisfies

$$(x_s, y_s) = \underset{x \ge 1, y \ge 1}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} S(x, y).$$

In this story, the critical points of $\frac{G(x,y,z)}{H(x,y,z)}$ satisfy

H(x, y, z) = 0; $H_x(x, y, z) = H_y(x, y, z),$ $H_x(x, y, z) = H_z(x, y, z)$

For our lattice path models,

$$H(x, y, z) = (1 - xyzS(x^{-1}, y^{-1}))(x - 1)(y - 1)$$

The equations imply that a critical point is of the form

$$\left(x, y, \frac{1}{xyS(x^{-1}, y^{-1})}\right)$$

where $(x, y) = (x_s, y_s)$ satisfies

$$(x_s, y_s) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x \ge 1, y \ge 1} S(x, y).$$

Punchline

$$\rho = \sup_{(x,y,z)\in\overline{\mathcal{D}}} |xyz| = \frac{1}{S(x_s,y_s)}$$

Critical points as a function of *a* and *b* Inventory: Critical point:

$$S(x,y) = ax + \frac{1}{ax} + \frac{ax}{by} + \frac{by}{ax}$$

Global minimum of S(x, y):

$$\left(\frac{1}{a},\frac{1}{b}\right)$$

$$(x_s, y_s) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x \ge 1, y \ge 1} S(x, y).$$

Exponential growth:

$$\rho = \sup_{(x,y,z)\in\overline{\mathcal{D}}} |xyz| = \frac{1}{S(x_{s}, y_{s})}$$

Critical points as a function of *a* and *b* Inventory: Critical point:

$$S(x, y) = ax + \frac{1}{ax} + \frac{ax}{by} + \frac{by}{ax}$$

$$(x_s, y_s) = \underset{x \ge 1, y \ge 1}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} S(x, y).$$

-1

Global minimum of S(x, y):

Exponential growth:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a},\frac{1}{b}\right) \qquad \qquad \rho = \sup_{(x,y,z)\in\overline{\mathcal{D}}} |xyz| = \frac{1}{S(x_s,y_s)}$$

a > 1?

•
$$a = b = 1 \implies \rho^{-1} = S(1, 1) = 4$$

• $a < 1 \text{ and } b < 1 \implies \rho^{-1} = S(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}) = 4$
• $a > 1 \text{ and } a > b \implies \rho^{-1} = S(1, \frac{b}{a}) = 2(a + \frac{1}{a})$

Critical points as a function of *a* and *b* Inventory: Critical point:

$$S(x, y) = ax + \frac{1}{ax} + \frac{ax}{by} + \frac{by}{ax}$$

$$(x_s, y_s) = \underset{x \ge 1, y \ge 1}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} S(x, y).$$

-1

Global minimum of S(x, y):

 $\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)$

Exponential growth:

$$\frac{1}{b} \qquad \qquad \rho = \sup_{(x,y,z)\in\overline{\mathcal{D}}} |xyz| = \frac{1}{S(x_s, y_s)}$$

a > 1?

•
$$a = b = 1 \implies \rho^{-1} = S(1, 1) = 4$$

$$a < 1 \text{ and } b < 1 \implies p^{-1} = S(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{b}{b}) = 4$$

$$a > 1 \text{ and } a > b \implies \rho^{-1} = S(1, \frac{b}{a}) = 2(a + \frac{1}{a})$$

COROLLARY

The exponential growth changes smoothly, as the evaluation of a Laurent polynomial.

The constant and the critical exponent

THEOREM Hörmander; Pemantle, Wilson

Suppose that the functions $A(\theta)$ and $\phi(\theta)$ in d variables are smooth in a neighbourhood \mathcal{N} of the origin and that ϕ has a critical point at $\theta = \mathbf{0}$ plus some technical conditions. Then for any integer M > 0 there exist effective constants C_0, \ldots, C_M such that

$$\int_{X} A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) e^{-n\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)^{d/2} \mathrm{det}(\mathcal{H})^{-1/2} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{M} C_{k} n^{-k} + O\left(n^{-M-1}\right)$$

 $C_0 = \phi(\mathbf{0})$; If $A(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ vanishes to order L at the origin then (at least) the constants $C_0, \ldots, C_{\lfloor \frac{L}{2} \rfloor}$ are all zero.

The constant and the critical exponent

THEOREM Hörmander; Pemantle, Wilson

Suppose that the functions $A(\theta)$ and $\phi(\theta)$ in d variables are smooth in a neighbourhood \mathcal{N} of the origin and that ϕ has a critical point at $\theta = \mathbf{0}$ plus some technical conditions. Then for any integer M > 0 there exist effective constants C_0, \ldots, C_M such that

$$\int_{X} A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) e^{-n\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)^{d/2} \mathrm{det}(\mathcal{H})^{-1/2} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{M} C_{k} n^{-k} + O\left(n^{-M-1}\right)$$

 $C_0 = \phi(\mathbf{0})$; If $A(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ vanishes to order L at the origin then (at least) the constants $C_0, \ldots, C_{\lfloor \frac{L}{2} \rfloor}$ are all zero.

$$R(x, y) = \frac{yz^2(y-b)(a-x)(a^2y-bx^2)(ay-bx)(ay+bx)}{(1-xyzS(x^{-1},y^{-1}))}$$

A WORD OR TWO ON CENTRAL WEIGHTS

Central weights are ideal for generating functions

Central weights: the weight depends only on the endpoint: equiprobable

- PROP: The complete generating function of a weighted model is an algebraic substitution of the unweighted model.
- The finiteness of the group of a model is unchanged by central weights.

Generating function connections

$$Q_a(x, y; t) = \sum_n t^n \sum_{\substack{w \text{ walk ending} \\ \text{at } (k, \ell) \text{ with } n \text{ steps}}} \left(\prod_{s \in \mathcal{S}} a_i^{n_s(w)} \right) x^k y^\ell a_0^{-n}.$$

PROPOSITION

Let $Q_a(x, y; z)$ be the generating function of walks with a central weighting $a_s = \beta \prod_{k=1}^d \alpha_k^{\pi_k(s)}$ and Q(x, y; z) the generating function of unweighted walks with the same set of steps. Then

$$Q_a(x, y; z) = Q(a_1 x, a_2 y; a_0 z).$$
(1)

COR: This generates an infinite colletion of non-D-finite models.

A Wider Picture

Context: Small step 2D lattice models

Walks with small steps in the quarter plane

Mireille Bousquet-Mélou and Marni Mishna

ABSTRACT. Let $S \subset \{-1, 0, 1\}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. We address the enumeration of plane lattice walks with steps in S, that start from (0, 0) and remain in the first quadrant $\{(i, j) : i \ge 0, j \ge 0\}$. A priori, there are 2^8 models of this type, but some are trivial. Some others are equivalent to models of walks confined to a half-plane, and can therefore be treated systematically using the kernel method, which leads to a generating function that is algebraic.

OEIS Tag	Steps	Equ	ation siz	tes	Asymptotics	OEIS Tag	Steps	Equ	ation siz	tes	Asymptotics
A000012	:: :	1,0	1,1	1,1	1	A000079	: •••	1,0	1, 1	1,1	2^n
A001405	•	2,1	2,3	2,2	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{n}}$	A000244	:••	1,0	1, 1	1,1	3^n
A001006	•	2,1	2,3	2,2	$\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{3^n}{n^{3/2}}$	A005773	:.:	2, 1	2,3	2,2	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\frac{3^n}{\sqrt{n}}$
A126087	•	3,1	2,5	2,2	$\frac{12\sqrt{2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{2^{3n/2}}{n^{3/2}}$	A151255	•	6,8	4,16	-	$\frac{24\sqrt{2}}{\pi}\frac{2^{3n/2}}{n^2}$
A151265	•••	6,4	4,9	6,8	$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})}\frac{3^n}{n^{3/4}}$	A151266	•	7,10	5,16	-	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}\frac{3^n}{\sqrt{n}}$
A151278		7,4	4, 12	6,8	$\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})}\frac{3^{n}}{n^{3/4}}$	A151281	:::	3, 1	2,5	2,2	$\frac{1}{2}3^{n}$
A005558	••••	2,3	3,5	-	$\frac{8}{\pi} \frac{4^n}{n^2}$	A005566	•••	2,2	3,4	-	$\frac{4}{\pi} \frac{4^n}{n}$
A018224	•	2,3	3,5	-	$\frac{2}{2} \frac{4^n}{4^n}$	A060899	::	2,1	2,3	2,2	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{r(1)} \frac{4^n}{\sqrt{2}}$

Bostan, Kauers 09

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension?

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. $\kappa_{auers, Yatchak 15}$

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. $\kappa_{auers, Yatchak 15}$

Are some weights better than others?

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. Kauers, Yatchak 15

Are some weights better than others? ANSWER: Yes. *Central weights* are the best.

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. Kauers, Yatchak 15

Are some weights better than others? ANSWER: Yes. *Central weights* are the best.

What are the generating functions for the non-D-finite models?

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. Kauers, Yatchak 15

Are some weights better than others? ANSWER: Yes. *Central weights* are the best.

What are the generating functions for the non-D-finite models? ANSWER: ??? Something similar in structure to a diagonal of a D-finite function..?

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. Kauers, Yatchak 15

Are some weights better than others? ANSWER: Yes. *Central weights* are the best.

What are the generating functions for the non-D-finite models? ANSWER: ??? Something similar in structure to a diagonal of a D-finite function..?

What is the interaction between asymptotics and the drift of a model? Is the connection clear?

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. Kauers, Yatchak 15

Are some weights better than others? ANSWER: Yes. *Central weights* are the best.

What are the generating functions for the non-D-finite models? ANSWER: ??? Something similar in structure to a diagonal of a D-finite function..?

What is the interaction between asymptotics and the drift of a model? Is the connection clear? ANSWER: Rather

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. Kauers, Yatchak 15

Are some weights better than others? ANSWER: Yes. *Central weights* are the best.

What are the generating functions for the non-D-finite models? ANSWER: ??? Something similar in structure to a diagonal of a D-finite function..?

What is the interaction between asymptotics and the drift of a model? Is the connection clear? ANSWER: Rather

Efficient random generation?

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. Kauers, Yatchak 15

Are some weights better than others? ANSWER: Yes. *Central weights* are the best.

What are the generating functions for the non-D-finite models? ANSWER: ??? Something similar in structure to a diagonal of a D-finite function..?

What is the interaction between asymptotics and the drift of a model? Is the connection clear? ANSWER: Rather

Efficient random generation? ANSWER: Yes Johnson, Yeats, M. 13+;

Lumbroso, M., Ponty 16

Conjecture Garbit, Mustafa, Raschel 16⁺

Suppose that $\ensuremath{\mathbb{S}}$ is a non-singular step set. Let

$$(x_s, y_s) = \underset{x \ge 1, y \ge 1}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} S(x, y).$$

Then the asymptotic growth of the number of walks in the first quadrant is given by the following table.

	$\nabla S(x_S, y_S) = 0$	$S_X(x_S, y_S) = 0 \text{ or } S_Y(x_S, y_S) = 0$	$S_x(x_s, y_s) > 0$ and $S_y(x_s, y_s) > 0$
$(x_S, y_S) = (1, 1)$	$S(1,1)^n n^{-p_1/2}$ balanced	$S(1, 1)^n n^{-1/2}$ axial	S(1,1) ⁿ n ⁰ free
$x^* = 1$ or $y^* = 1$	$S(x_s, y_s)^n n^{-p_1/2-1}$ transitional	$\min\{S(x_s, 1), S(1, y_s)\}^n n^{-3/2}$ directed	(not possible)
$x_s > 1$ and $y_s > 1$	$S(x_s, y_s)^n n^{-p_1-1}$ reluctant	(not possible)	(not possible)

$$c = \frac{S_{xy}(x_s, y_s)}{\sqrt{S_{xx}(x_s, y_s)S_{yy}(x_s, y_s)}} \qquad p_1 = \pi/\arccos(-c)$$

BARELY OPEN: Prove in case of a finite orbit sum.

Drift diagrams for other models



OPEN: The regions are *not* always cones! What's the story? (Sam)

Conclusion

Main result

Asymptotic enumeration formula for weighted Gouyou-Beauchamps model

Implications

- Simplified context for ACSV: good entry point?
- Understanding of the mechanism of how drift drives asymptotics
- New harmonic functions
- Discovery of universality classes

Probably true

The location of the critical point of the INVENTORY defines the universality classes of the weighted walks. The Non-D-finite generating functions of lattice walks are diagonals of *something* of similar structure.

