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The Gouyou-Beauchamps model

The story of a single lattice path model

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the set of walks in the first quadrant with steps:


The story of a single lattice path model

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the set of walks in the first quadrant with steps:


THEOREM
If $w_{n}$ is the number of walks in $\mathcal{W}$ of length $n$, then

$$
w_{n} \sim \frac{8}{\pi} 4^{n} n^{-2} .
$$

Proof: Direct formula; Bostan Kauers 09; Melczer Wilson 16
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## The story of a single lattice path model

Let $\mathcal{W}_{a, b}$ be the set of weighted walks in the first quadrant with steps:


$w t(\omega)=a^{5} b^{1}$

NEW THEOREM Courtiel, Melczer, M., Raschel 16+
Let $w_{n}(a, b)$ be the number of walks in $\mathcal{W}_{a, b}$ of length $n$. Then

$$
w_{n}(a, b) \sim \ldots
$$

Proof: Kernel method + Analytic Combinatorics on Several Variables (ACSV)

## GB Walks with 800 steps

Unweighted
Weighted, biased out of the first quadrant


## Probability version: Exit times

Unweighted model generating function

$$
W(t)=1+t+3 t^{2}+6 t^{3}+20 t^{4}+50 t^{5}+175 t^{6}+\ldots
$$

Probability of staying in the quadrant after 6 steps:

$$
\frac{w_{6}}{4^{6}}=\frac{175}{4^{6}} \sim 0.04
$$

## Probability version: Exit times

Weighted model generating function

$$
1+a t+\left(1+b+a^{2}\right) t^{2}+\left(2 a b+a^{3}+3 a\right) t^{3}+\ldots
$$

Probability of staying in the quadrant after 3 steps:

$$
\frac{w_{3}(a, b)}{S(1,1)^{3}}=\frac{2 a b+a^{3}+3 a}{\left(a+a^{-1}+a b^{-1}+b^{-1} a\right)^{3}}
$$

Inventory: $S(x, y)=a x+\frac{1}{a x}+\frac{a x}{b y}+\frac{b y}{a x}$

The weightings must be central: The probability of a given walk depends only on its length and its endpoint. We give explicit conditions for this in our work.
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## Natural Questions

$$
w_{n}(a, b) \sim C \rho^{-n} n^{\alpha}
$$

(1) How do the weights intervene?
(2) What is the range of possible asymptotic behaviour?
(3) What affects the exponential growth $\rho$ ? the critical exponent $\alpha$ ?
(4) How do parameters like the choice of cone, starting point, and drift affect the formula?
(5) What is the best way to study this?

Our contribution
Use weighted models to understand the source and nature of combinatorial factors.

## Asymptotic enumeration formula

THEOREM Courtiel Melczer M. Raschel $16^{+}$
As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the number $w_{n}(a, b)$ of weighted $G B$ walks of length $n$, and ending anywhere while staying in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$, satisfies, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
w_{n}(a, b)=\kappa . \quad \rho^{-n} \cdot n^{-\alpha} \cdot(1+o(1))
$$

| Condition | $\rho^{-1}$ | $\alpha$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $a=b=1$ | 4 | 2 |
| $\sqrt{b}<a<b$ | $(1+b)\left(a^{2}+b\right)(a b)^{-1}$ | 0 |
| $a<1$ and $b<1$ | 4 | 5 |
| $b>1$ and $\sqrt{b}>a$ | $2(b+1) \sqrt{b}^{-1}$ | $3 / 2$ |
| $a>1$ and $a>b$ | $(1+a)^{2} a^{-1}$ | $3 / 2$ |
| $b=a^{2}>1$ | $2(b+1) \sqrt{b}^{-1}$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $a=b>1$ | $(1+a)^{2} a^{-1}$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $a=1, b<1$ or $b=1, a<1$ | 4 | 3 |

## Asymptotic enumeration formula deluxe

THEOREM Courtiel Melczer M. Raschel $16^{+}$
As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the number $w_{n}(a, b)$ of weighted $G B$ walks of length $n$, starting from $(i, j)$ and ending anywhere while staying in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$, satisfies, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
w_{n}(a, b)=\kappa \cdot V^{[n]}(i, j) \cdot \rho^{-n} \cdot n^{-\alpha} \cdot(1+o(1))
$$

| Condition | $\rho^{-1}$ | $\alpha$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $a=b=1$ | 4 | 2 |
| $\sqrt{b}<a<b$ | $(1+b)\left(a^{2}+b\right)(a b)^{-1}$ | 0 |
| $a<1$ and $b<1$ | 4 | 5 |
| $b>1$ and $\sqrt{b}>a$ | $2(b+1) \sqrt{b}^{-1}$ | $3 / 2$ |
| $a>1$ and $a>b$ | $(1+a)^{2} a^{-1}$ | $3 / 2$ |
| $b=a^{2}>1$ | $2(b+1) \sqrt{b}^{-1}$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $a=b>1$ | $(1+a)^{2} a^{-1}$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $a=1, b<1$ or $b=1, a<1$ | 4 | 3 |

Values for the harmonic function $V^{[n]}(i, j)$
$a=b=1:$

$$
\frac{(i+1)(j+1)(i+j+2)(i+2 j+3)}{6}
$$

$\sqrt{b}<a<b:$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a^{-(4+2 i+2 j)} b^{-(2+2 j)}\left(\left(a^{1+j}-1\right)\left(a^{1+j}+1\right)\left(a^{2+i+j}-b^{2+i+j}\right)\left(a^{2+i+j}+b^{2+i+j}\right) b^{-i-1}\right. \\
\left.-\left(a^{2+i+j}-1\right)\left(a^{2+i+j}+1\right)\left(a^{1+j}-b^{1+j}\right)\left(a^{1+j}+b^{1+j}\right)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

$a<1, b<1$ :

$$
\frac{(1+j)(1+i)(3+i+2 j)(2+i+j)}{a^{i} b^{j}}\left(\frac{a^{2} b^{2}+a^{2} b-4 a b+b+1}{(a-1)^{4}}+(-1)^{n+i} \frac{a^{2} b^{2}+a^{2} b+4 a b+b+1}{(a+1)^{4}}\right) .
$$

$b>1, \sqrt{b}>a:$

$$
\left(\frac{b^{3+i+2 j}(1+i)+\left(b^{1+j}-b^{2+i+j}\right)(3+i+2 j)-i-1}{a^{i} b^{/ 2+2 j}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{b}-a)^{2}}+(-1)^{i+n} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{b}+a)^{2}}\right) \text {. }
$$

$a>1, a>b$

$$
(2+i+j)\left(a^{-2-j}-a^{j}\right) b^{-j} a^{-1-i}+(1+j)\left(1-a^{-4-2 i-2 j}\right) b^{-j} a^{j}
$$

## Visualize the asymptotic formula

We can plot the different regions of the formula.


## Visualize the asymptotic formula



## Universality classes

A universality class is a family of objects with the same critical exponent.


| Condition | $\alpha$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $a=b=1$ | 2 |
| $\sqrt{b}<a<b$ | 0 |
| $a<1$ and $b<1$ | 5 |
| $b>1$ and $\sqrt{b}>a$ | $3 / 2$ |
| $a>1$ and $a>b$ | $3 / 2$ |
| $b=a^{2}>1$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $a=b>1$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $a=1, b<1$ or $b=1, a<1$ | 3 |

## Universality classes... as a function of the drift

The drift is the vector sum of the steps: $\left(a-a^{-1}+\frac{a}{b}-\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a}-\frac{a}{b}\right)$
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## Universality classes... as a function of the drift

The drift is the vector sum of the steps: $\left(a-a^{-1}+\frac{a}{b}-\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a}-\frac{a}{b}\right)$


- Is there a diagram like this for any model?
- Are the regions always cones?
- What can be proved at a general level?


## TECHNIQUE: <br> ANALYTIC COMBINATORICS IN SEVERAL VARIABLES (ACSV)

## Strategy

$$
\text { GOAL: } \quad w_{n}(a, b) \sim C \rho^{-n} n^{-\alpha}
$$

(1) $W_{a, b}(t)$ as a diagonal of a rational function

$$
\left[t^{n}\right] W_{a, b}(t)=\left[x^{n} y^{n} z^{n}\right] \frac{P(x, y)}{\left(1-z x y S\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)\right)(x-1)(y-1)}
$$

(2) Express $\left[t^{n}\right] W_{a, b}(t)$ as a generalized Cauchy integral.
(3) Rescale the integral by identifying contributing critical points.
(3) Apply fancy theorems to get asymptotic estimates.

Spoiler alert: The inventory of the step set $S(x, y)$ tells almost the whole story.

## Diagonal Expressions

$\Delta$ : The (complete) diagonal operator

$$
\Delta \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} f_{i}(n) z_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots z_{d}^{i_{d}}\right) t^{n}:=\sum_{n \geq 0} f_{n, \ldots, n}(n) t^{n}
$$

Bousquet-Mélou, Mishna 10; Kauers Yatchak 15, Melczer, Wilson 16

$$
W(t)=[x \geq y \geq] \frac{(1-\bar{x})(1+\bar{x})(1-\bar{y})\left(1-\bar{x}^{2} y\right)(1-x \bar{y})(1+x \bar{y})}{1-t(x+\bar{x}+x \bar{y}+\bar{x} y)} .
$$

## Diagonal Expressions

$\Delta$ : The (complete) diagonal operator

$$
\Delta \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} f_{i}(n) z_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots z_{d}^{i_{d}}\right) t^{n}:=\sum_{n \geq 0} f_{n, \ldots, n}(n) t^{n}
$$

Bousquet-Mélou, Mishna 10; Kauers Yatchak 15, Melczer, Wilson 16

$$
\begin{gathered}
W(t)=\left[x^{\geq} \geq \geq \geq\right] \frac{(1-\bar{x})(1+\bar{x})(1-\bar{y})\left(1-\bar{x}^{2} y\right)(1-x \bar{y})(1+x \bar{y})}{1-t(x+\bar{x}+x \bar{y}+\bar{x} y)} . \\
R(x, y)=\frac{y z^{2}(y-b)(a-x)\left(a^{2} y-b x^{2}\right)(a y-b x)(a y+b x)}{\left(1-x y z S\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)\right)} \\
W_{a, b}(t)=\frac{1}{a^{4} b^{3} z^{2}} \cdot \Delta\left(\frac{R(x, y)}{(1-x)(1-y)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Diagonal Expressions

$\Delta$ : The (complete) diagonal operator

$$
\Delta \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} f_{i}(n) z_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots z_{d}^{i_{d}}\right) t^{n}:=\sum_{n \geq 0} f_{n, \ldots, n}(n) t^{n} .
$$

Bousquet-Mélou, Mishna 10; Kauers Yatchak 15, Melczer, Wilson 16

$$
\begin{gathered}
W(t)=\left[x^{\geq} y^{\geq}\right] \frac{(1-\bar{x})(1+\bar{x})(1-\bar{y})\left(1-\bar{x}^{2} y\right)(1-x \bar{y})(1+x \bar{y})}{1-t(x+\bar{x}+x \bar{y}+\bar{x} y)} . \\
R(x, y)=\frac{y z^{2}(y-b)(a-x)\left(a^{2} y-b x^{2}\right)(a y-b x)(a y+b x)}{\left(1-x y z S\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)\right)} \\
W_{a, b}(t)=\frac{1}{a^{4} b^{3} z^{2}} \cdot \Delta\left(\frac{R(x, y)}{(1-x)(1-y)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For free: Excursion generating function

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{a^{4} b^{3} z^{2}} \cdot \Delta R(x, y)
$$

## A diagonal extraction is a contour integral computation

THEOREM: Multivariate Cauchy Integral Formula Suppose that $F(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{Q}(x, y, t)$ is analytic at $(0,0,0)$ with a power series expansion $F(x, y, t)=\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3} \geq 0} a_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}} x^{i_{1}} y^{i_{2}} t^{i_{3}}$ at the origin. Then for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
a_{n, n, n}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{3}} \int_{T} \frac{F(x, y, t)}{(x y t)^{n}} \cdot \frac{d x d y d t}{x y t}
$$

where $T$ is a poly-disk defined by $\left\{|x|=\epsilon_{1},|y|=\epsilon_{2},|z|=\epsilon_{3}\right\}$, for the $\epsilon_{j}$ sufficiently small.

The exponential growth

$$
F(x, y, z)=\sum a_{i, j, k} x^{i} y^{j} z^{k}
$$
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\end{aligned}
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Absolute convergence $\Longrightarrow(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$, the sum converges... so does subseries $\sum a_{n n n}(|x y z|)^{n}$
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## The exponential growth
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## The exponential growth

$$
\underset{\uparrow}{F} \underset{\sim}{F}(x, y, z)=\sum a_{i, j, k} x^{i} y^{j} z^{k}
$$

Valid for points in the disk of convergence $\mathcal{D}$

Absolute convergence $\Longrightarrow(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$, the sum converges... so does subseries $\sum a_{n n n}(|x y z|)^{n}$

That is, $\Delta F=\sum a_{n n n} t^{n}$ converges for $t=|x y z|$ when $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{D}$.
$\Delta F$ converges for $\sup _{(x, y, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}}|x y z| . \Longrightarrow$ a bound for the radius of convergence of $\Delta F$.
Here, the bound is provably tight.
TL;DR

$$
\rho=\sup _{(x, y, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}}|x y z|
$$

## The Critical Points

In this story, the critical points of $\frac{G(x, y, z)}{H(x, y, z)}$ satisfy
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H(x, y, z)=0 ; \quad H_{x}(x, y, z)=H_{y}(x, y, z), \quad H_{x}(x, y, z)=H_{z}(x, y, z)
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$H(x, y, z)=0 ; \quad H_{x}(x, y, z)=H_{y}(x, y, z), \quad H_{x}(x, y, z)=H_{z}(x, y, z)$
For our lattice path models,

$$
H(x, y, z)=\left(1-x y z S\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)\right)(x-1)(y-1)
$$

The equations imply that a critical point is of the form

$$
\left(x, y, \frac{1}{x y S\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)}\right)
$$

## The Critical Points
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The equations imply that a critical point is of the form

$$
\left(x, y, \frac{1}{x y S\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)}\right)
$$

where $(x, y)=\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\left(x_{S}, y_{s}\right)=\underset{x \geq 1, y \geq 1}{\arg \min } S(x, y) .
$$

## The Critical Points

In this story, the critical points of $\frac{G(x, y, z)}{H(x, y, z)}$ satisfy
$H(x, y, z)=0 ; \quad H_{x}(x, y, z)=H_{y}(x, y, z), \quad H_{x}(x, y, z)=H_{z}(x, y, z)$
For our lattice path models,

$$
H(x, y, z)=\left(1-x y z S\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)\right)(x-1)(y-1)
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The equations imply that a critical point is of the form

$$
\left(x, y, \frac{1}{x y S\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)}\right)
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where $(x, y)=\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)$ satisfies
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Punchline

$$
\rho=\sup _{(x, y, z) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}}|x y z|=\frac{1}{S\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)}
$$

## Critical points as a function of $a$ and $b$

Inventory:
$S(x, y)=a x+\frac{1}{a x}+\frac{a x}{b y}+\frac{b y}{a x}$

$$
\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)=\underset{x \geq 1, y \geq 1}{\arg \min } S(x, y) .
$$

Global minimum of $S(x, y)$ :

$$
\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}\right)
$$
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$$
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(3) $a>1$ and $a>b \Longrightarrow \rho^{-1}=S\left(1, \frac{b}{a}\right)=2\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right)$

## Critical points as a function of $a$ and $b$
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Critical point:
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$a>1$ ?
(1) $a=b=1 \Longrightarrow \rho^{-1}=S(1,1)=4$
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COROLLARY
The exponential growth changes smoothly, as the evaluation of a Laurent polynomial.

## The constant and the critical exponent

## THEOREM Hörmander; Pemantle, Wilson

Suppose that the functions $A(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ in $d$ variables are smooth in a neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}$ of the origin and that $\phi$ has a critical point at $\theta=\mathbf{0}$ plus some technical conditions. Then for any integer $M>0$ there exist effective constants $C_{0}, \ldots, C_{M}$ such that
$\int_{X} A(\boldsymbol{\theta}) e^{-n \phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)^{d / 2} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{H})^{-1 / 2} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{M} C_{k} n^{-k}+O\left(n^{-M-1}\right)$.
$C_{0}=\phi(\mathbf{0})$; If $A(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ vanishes to order $L$ at the origin then (at least) the constants $C_{0}, \ldots, C_{\left\lfloor\frac{L}{2}\right\rfloor}$ are all zero.

## The constant and the critical exponent

## THEOREM Hörmander; Pemantle, Wilson

Suppose that the functions $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ in $d$ variables are smooth in a neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}$ of the origin and that $\phi$ has a critical point at $\theta=\mathbf{0}$ plus some technical conditions. Then for any integer $M>0$ there exist effective constants $C_{0}, \ldots, C_{M}$ such that
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$C_{0}=\phi(\mathbf{0})$; If $A(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ vanishes to order $L$ at the origin then (at least) the constants $C_{0}, \ldots, C_{\left\lfloor\frac{L}{2}\right\rfloor}$ are all zero.

$$
R(x, y)=\frac{y z^{2}(y-b)(a-x)\left(a^{2} y-b x^{2}\right)(a y-b x)(a y+b x)}{\left(1-x y z S\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)\right)}
$$

## A WORD OR TWO ON CENTRAL WEIGHTS

## Central weights are ideal for generating functions

(1) Central weights: the weight depends only on the endpoint: equiprobable
(2) THM: $w t((i, j))=a_{0} a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{j}$
(3) PROP: The complete generating function of a weighted model is an algebraic substitution of the unweighted model.
(4) The finiteness of the group of a model is unchanged by central weights.

## Generating function connections

$$
Q_{a}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{n} t^{n} \sum_{\substack{w \text { walk ending } \\ \text { at }(k, \ell) \text { with } n \text { steps }}}\left(\prod_{s \in \mathcal{S}} a_{i}^{n_{s}(w)}\right) x^{k} y^{\ell} a_{0}^{-n} .
$$

## PROPOSITION

Let $Q_{a}(x, y ; z)$ be the generating function of walks with a central weighting $a_{s}=\beta \prod_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_{k}^{\pi_{k}(s)}$ and $Q(x, y ; z)$ the generating function of unweighted walks with the same set of steps. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{a}(x, y ; z)=Q\left(a_{1} x, a_{2} y ; a_{0} z\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

COR: This generates an infinite colletion of non-D-finite models.

A Wider Picture

## Context: Small step 2D lattice models

## Walks with small steps in the quarter plane

Mireille Bousquet-Mélou and Marni Mishna

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset\{-1,0,1\}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$. We address the enumeration of plane lattice walks with steps in $\mathcal{S}$, that start from $(0,0)$ and remain in the first quadrant $\{(i, j): i \geqslant 0, j \geqslant 0\}$. A priori, there are $2^{8}$ models of this type, but some are trivial. Some others are equivalent to models of walks confined to a half-plane, and can therefore be treated systematically using the kernel method, which leads to a generating function that is algebraic.
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| OEIS Tag | Steps | Equation sizes |  |  | Asymptotics | OEIS Tag | Steps | Equation sizes |  |  | Asymptotics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A000012 | $\bigcirc$ | 1,0 | 1,1 | 1,1 | 1 | A000079 | $\cdots$ | 1,0 | 1,1 | 1,1 | $2^{n}$ |
| A001405 | $\cdots$ | 2,1 | 2,3 | 2,2 | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{2^{n}}{\sqrt{n}}$ | A000244 | $\because$ | 1,0 | 1,1 | 1,1 | $3^{n}$ |
| A001006 | $\cdots$ | 2,1 | 2,3 | 2,2 | $\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{3^{n}}{n^{3 / 2}}$ | A005773 | $\cdots$ | 2,1 | 2,3 | 2,2 | $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{3^{n}}{\sqrt{n}}$ |
| Al26087 | : $\cdot$ | 3,1 | 2,5 | 2,2 | $\frac{12 \sqrt{2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{2^{3 n / 2}}{n^{3 / 2}}$ | A151255 | $\ldots$ | 6,8 | 4, 16 | - | $\frac{24 \sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{2^{3 n / 2}}{n^{2}}$ |
| A151265 | $\therefore!$ | 6,4 | 4,9 | 6,8 | $\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} \frac{3^{n}}{n^{3 / 4}}$ | A151266 | : | 7, 10 | 5,16 | - | $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{3^{n}}{\sqrt{n}}$ |
| A151278 | $\because \cdot$ | 7,4 | 4, 12 | 6,8 | $\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} \frac{3^{n}}{n^{3 / 4}}$ | A151281 | $\because$ | 3,1 | 2,5 | 2,2 | $\frac{1}{2} 3^{n}$ |
| A005558 | : : | 2,3 | 3,5 | - | $\frac{8}{\pi} \frac{4}{n^{2}}$ | A005566 | $\because$ | 2,2 | 3,4 | - | $\frac{4}{\pi} \frac{4}{} \frac{1}{n}$ |
| A018224 | $!$ | 2,3 | 3,5 | - | $\frac{2}{2} \frac{4^{n}}{n}$ | A060899 | : : | 2,1 | 2,3 | 2,2 | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\Gamma(1)} \frac{4^{n}}{\sqrt{n}}$ |
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## Foire Aux Questions

What is the next (easiest) way to generalize small step walks? length of step? dimension? ANSWER: weights. Kauers, Yatchak 15

Are some weights better than others? ANSWER: Yes. Central weights are the best.

What are the generating functions for the non-D-finite models? ANSWER: ??? Something similar in structure to a diagonal of a D-finite function..?

What is the interaction between asymptotics and the drift of a model? Is the connection clear? ANSWER: Rather

Efficient random generation? ANSWER: Yes Johnson, Yeats, M. 13+;
Lumbroso, M., Ponty 16

## Coniecture Garbit, Mustafa, Raschel $16^{+}$

Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is a non-singular step set. Let

$$
\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)=\underset{x \geq 1, y \geq 1}{\arg \min } S(x, y) .
$$

Then the asymptotic growth of the number of walks in the first quadrant is given by the following table.

|  | $\nabla S\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)=0$ | $S_{x}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)=0$ or <br> $S_{y}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)=0$ | $S_{x}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)>0$ and <br> $S_{y}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)>0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)=(1,1)$ | $S(1,1)^{n} n^{-p_{1} / 2}$ <br> balanced | $S(1,1)^{n} n^{-1 / 2}$ <br> axial | $S(1,1)^{n} n^{0}$ <br> free |
| $x^{*}=1$ or $y^{*}=1$ | $S\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)^{n} n^{-p_{1} / 2-1}$ <br> transitional | $\min \left\{S\left(x_{s}, 1\right), S\left(1, y_{s}\right)\right\}^{n} n^{-3 / 2}$ <br> directed | (not possible) |
| $x_{s}>1$ and $y_{s}>1$ | $S\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)^{n} n^{-p_{1}-1}$ <br> reluctant | (not possible) | (not possible) |

$c=\frac{S_{x y}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)}{\sqrt{S_{x x}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right) S_{y y}\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right)}} \quad p_{1}=\pi / \arccos (-c)$
BARELY OPEN: Prove in case of a finite orbit sum.

## Drift diagrams for other models

Kreweras


Gessel


Tandem


OPEN: The regions are not always cones! What's the story? (Sam)

## Conclusion

## Main result

Asymptotic enumeration formula for weighted
Gouyou-Beauchamps model

## Implications

- Simplified context for ACSV: good entry point?
- Understanding of the mechanism of how drift drives asymptotics
- New harmonic functions
- Discovery of universality classes


## Probably true

The location of the critical point of the INVENTORY defines the universality classes of the weighted walks.
The Non-D-finite generating functions of lattice walks are diagonals of something of similar structure.

Thanag you

