

The full automorphism group of a Cayley graph

Gabriel Verret

The University of Western Australia

Banff, Canada, July 22nd, 2013

Digraphs

A **digraph** Γ is an ordered pair $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ where the **vertex-set** \mathcal{V} is a finite non-empty set and the **arc-set** \mathcal{A} is a binary relation on \mathcal{V} .

Digraphs

A **digraph** Γ is an ordered pair $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ where the **vertex-set** \mathcal{V} is a finite non-empty set and the **arc-set** \mathcal{A} is a binary relation on \mathcal{V} .

The elements of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{A} are called **vertices** and **arcs** of Γ , respectively.

Digraphs

A **digraph** Γ is an ordered pair $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ where the **vertex-set** \mathcal{V} is a finite non-empty set and the **arc-set** \mathcal{A} is a binary relation on \mathcal{V} .

The elements of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{A} are called **vertices** and **arcs** of Γ , respectively.

The digraph Γ is called a **graph** when the relation \mathcal{A} is symmetric.

Digraphs

A **digraph** Γ is an ordered pair $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ where the **vertex-set** \mathcal{V} is a finite non-empty set and the **arc-set** \mathcal{A} is a binary relation on \mathcal{V} .

The elements of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{A} are called **vertices** and **arcs** of Γ , respectively.

The digraph Γ is called a **graph** when the relation \mathcal{A} is symmetric.

An **automorphism** of Γ is a permutation of \mathcal{V} which preserves the the relation \mathcal{A} .

Cayley digraphs

Let R be a finite group and let $S \subseteq R$.

Cayley digraphs

Let R be a finite group and let $S \subseteq R$.

The **Cayley digraph** on R with connection set S , denoted $\text{Cay}(R, S)$, is the digraph with vertex-set R and with (g, h) being an arc if and only if $gh^{-1} \in S$.

Cayley digraphs

Let R be a finite group and let $S \subseteq R$.

The **Cayley digraph** on R with connection set S , denoted $\text{Cay}(R, S)$, is the digraph with vertex-set R and with (g, h) being an arc if and only if $gh^{-1} \in S$.

Note that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ may be disconnected and may have loops.

DRRs and GRRs

Easy observation 1 : $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a graph if and only if S is inverse-closed, in which case it is called a **Cayley graph**.

DRRs and GRRs

Easy observation I : $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a graph if and only if S is inverse-closed, in which case it is called a **Cayley graph**.

Easy observation II : R acts regularly as a group of automorphisms of $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ by right multiplication.

DRRs and GRRs

Easy observation I : $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a graph if and only if S is inverse-closed, in which case it is called a **Cayley graph**.

Easy observation II : R acts regularly as a group of automorphisms of $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ by right multiplication.

If R is the full automorphism group of $\text{Cay}(R, S)$, then it is called a **DRR**.

DRRs and GRRs

Easy observation I : $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a graph if and only if S is inverse-closed, in which case it is called a **Cayley graph**.

Easy observation II : R acts regularly as a group of automorphisms of $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ by right multiplication.

If R is the full automorphism group of $\text{Cay}(R, S)$, then it is called a **DRR**.

A DRR which is a graph is called a **GRR**.

Do DRRs exist?

Babai (1980) showed that apart from 5 small exceptions, every finite group R has a subset S such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a DRR.

Do DRRs exist?

Babai (1980) showed that apart from 5 small exceptions, every finite group R has a subset S such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a DRR.

Conjecture (Babai, Godsil, 1982)

Let R be a group of order n . The proportion of subsets S of R such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a DRR goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Do DRRs exist?

Babai (1980) showed that apart from 5 small exceptions, every finite group R has a subset S such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a DRR.

Conjecture (Babai, Godsil, 1982)

Let R be a group of order n . The proportion of subsets S of R such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a DRR goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In other words, **almost all Cayley digraphs are DRRs.**

Do DRRs exist?

Babai (1980) showed that apart from 5 small exceptions, every finite group R has a subset S such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a DRR.

Conjecture (Babai, Godsil, 1982)

Let R be a group of order n . The proportion of subsets S of R such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a DRR goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In other words, **almost all Cayley digraphs are DRRs.**

Babai and Godsil proved the conjecture for **nilpotent groups of odd order.**

What about undirected graphs?

The naive corresponding conjecture for graphs is **false**, for simple reasons.

What about undirected graphs?

The naive corresponding conjecture for graphs is **false**, for simple reasons.

Let A be an abelian group and let $\iota : A \rightarrow A$, $\iota(a) = a^{-1}$. Then ι is an automorphism of A . Moreover, $\iota \neq 1$ unless $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$.

What about undirected graphs?

The naive corresponding conjecture for graphs is **false**, for simple reasons.

Let A be an abelian group and let $\iota : A \rightarrow A$, $\iota(a) = a^{-1}$. Then ι is an automorphism of A . Moreover, $\iota \neq 1$ unless $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$.

Let $\text{Cay}(A, S)$ be a Cayley graph on A . Then ι is an automorphism of $\text{Cay}(A, S)$ fixing the vertex corresponding to the identity.

What about undirected graphs?

The naive corresponding conjecture for graphs is **false**, for simple reasons.

Let A be an abelian group and let $\iota : A \rightarrow A$, $\iota(a) = a^{-1}$. Then ι is an automorphism of A . Moreover, $\iota \neq 1$ unless $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$.

Let $\text{Cay}(A, S)$ be a Cayley graph on A . Then ι is an automorphism of $\text{Cay}(A, S)$ fixing the vertex corresponding to the identity.

Conclusion : if A is an abelian group and $A \not\cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, then no Cayley graph on A is a GRR.

Corresponding conjectures

Conjecture (Babai, Godsil, Imrich, Lóvasz, 1982)

Let R be a group of order n which is neither generalized dicyclic nor abelian. The proportion of inverse-closed subsets S of R such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a GRR goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Corresponding conjectures

Conjecture (Babai, Godsil, Imrich, Lóvasz, 1982)

Let R be a group of order n which is neither generalized dicyclic nor abelian. The proportion of inverse-closed subsets S of R such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a GRR goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In other words, after excluding some obvious exceptional groups, almost all Cayley graphs are GRRs.

Corresponding conjectures

Conjecture (Babai, Godsil, Imrich, Lóvasz, 1982)

Let R be a group of order n which is neither generalized dicyclic nor abelian. The proportion of inverse-closed subsets S of R such that $\text{Cay}(R, S)$ is a GRR goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In other words, after excluding some obvious exceptional groups, almost all Cayley graphs are GRRs.

Conjecture (Babai, Godsil 1982)

Let A be an abelian group of order n . The proportion of inverse-closed subsets S of A such that $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(A, S)) = A \rtimes \langle \iota \rangle$ goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A remark

If we want to count non-DRR's, we may assume that $R < G \leq \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(R, S))$. Without loss of generality, R is maximal in G .

A remark

If we want to count non-DRR's, we may assume that $R < G \leq \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(R, S))$. Without loss of generality, R is maximal in G .

Lemma

Let R be a group of order n . The number of subsets of R which are fixed setwise by some element of $\text{Aut}(R) \setminus \{1\}$ is at most $2^{3n/4+o(n)}$.

A remark

If we want to count non-DRR's, we may assume that $R < G \leq \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(R, S))$. Without loss of generality, R is maximal in G .

Lemma

Let R be a group of order n . The number of subsets of R which are fixed setwise by some element of $\text{Aut}(R) \setminus \{1\}$ is at most $2^{3n/4+o(n)}$.

Using this remark, we may therefore restrict our attention to the case when R is a **regular self-normalizing maximal subgroup** of the permutation group G .

The abelian digraph case

We proved Babai and Godsil's conjectures for abelian groups.

The abelian digraph case

We proved Babai and Godsil's conjectures for abelian groups.

Theorem (Dobson, Spiga, V., 2013)

Let A be an abelian group of order n . The proportion of subsets S of A such that $\text{Cay}(A, S)$ is a DRR goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The abelian digraph case

We proved Babai and Godsil's conjectures for abelian groups.

Theorem (Dobson, Spiga, V., 2013)

Let A be an abelian group of order n . The proportion of subsets S of A such that $\text{Cay}(A, S)$ is a DRR goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We dealt with this case by characterising permutation groups containing a **maximal abelian regular self-normalizing subgroup**.

The abelian graph case, I

In the **undirected graph** case, the corresponding needed result is the following:

The abelian graph case, I

In the **undirected graph** case, the corresponding needed result is the following:

Theorem (Dobson, Spiga, V., 2013)

Let G be a permutation group with an abelian regular subgroup A and a proper subgroup B which is generalized dihedral on A such that $N_G(A) = B$. Then $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ is an elementary abelian 2-group contained in A and $G = U \times \mathbf{Z}(G)$ where $G_1 \leq U \cong \text{PGL}(2, q)$ and $A/\mathbf{Z}(G) \cong C_{q+1}$.

The abelian graph case, II

Using a bit of counting, the previous theorem settles the conjecture of Babai and Godsil.

The abelian graph case, II

Using a bit of counting, the previous theorem settles the conjecture of Babai and Godsil.

Corollary (Dobson, Spiga, V., 2013)

Let A be an abelian group of order n . The proportion of inverse-closed subsets S of A such that $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(A, S)) = A \rtimes \langle \iota \rangle$ goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Future work

In general, a key case seems to be when R is maximal and core-free in G .

Future work

In general, a key case seems to be when R is maximal and core-free in G .

In this case, G is primitive with point-stabiliser R and regular subgroup G_1 .

Future work

In general, a key case seems to be when R is maximal and core-free in G .

In this case, G is primitive with point-stabiliser R and regular subgroup G_1 .

It might be useful to obtain some results about the number of such groups. (Up to conjugacy in $\text{Sym}(n)$.)

Another conjecture

There is also the following (related) conjecture:

Conjecture (McKay, Praeger, 1994)

Almost all vertex-transitive graphs are Cayley.

Another conjecture

There is also the following (related) conjecture:

Conjecture (McKay, Praeger, 1994)

Almost all vertex-transitive graphs are Cayley.

A possible approach suggested by Babai would be to find a good upper bound on the number of minimally transitive permutation groups of degree n .

Another conjecture

There is also the following (related) conjecture:

Conjecture (McKay, Praeger, 1994)

Almost all vertex-transitive graphs are Cayley.

A possible approach suggested by Babai would be to find a good upper bound on the number of minimally transitive permutation groups of degree n .

Some work by Pyber on this.

Another conjecture

There is also the following (related) conjecture:

Conjecture (McKay, Praeger, 1994)

Almost all vertex-transitive graphs are Cayley.

A possible approach suggested by Babai would be to find a good upper bound on the number of minimally transitive permutation groups of degree n .

Some work by Pyber on this.

Thank you!