

# Towards an arithmetic Kac–Moody theory

Ralf Köhl\*

16 & 17 April 2013

\* né Gramlich

[ralf.koehl@math.uni-giessen.de](mailto:ralf.koehl@math.uni-giessen.de)

What is ...

... a (split) Kac–Moody group?

- can be thought of as an infinite-dimensional Chevalley group (Kac–Peterson 1980ies)
- functor from commutative rings to groups (Tits 1987)
- group of rational points over a field of char. 0 is a group of automorphisms of a Kac–Moody algebra (Kac–Peterson 1980ies)
- finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra  $\rightsquigarrow$  Kac–Moody algebra  
Cartan matrix  $\rightsquigarrow$  (symmetrizable) generalized Cartan matrix  
 $A = DS$ ,  $S$  symmetric, positive definite  $\rightsquigarrow A = DS$ ,  $S$  symmetric
- in the 2-spherical case a group of rational points over a field of size at least 4 is a colimit of a graph/diagram of groups consisting of Chevalley groups of ranks 1 and 2 (Abramenko–Mühlherr 1997)
- Galois descent leads to non-split Kac–Moody groups (Rémy 2002)

## Outline of mini-course

### **Part 1: Chevalley groups and split Lie groups as colimits**

- present Chevalley groups/fields by generators and relations
- recover Lie group topology/local fields via open mapping thm

### **Part 2: Topological Kac–Moody groups and properties**

- define Kac–Moody groups/fields by generators and relations
- define a universal Hausdorff group topology/local fields
- ... this Hausdorff topology enjoys Kazhdan’s property (T)
- ... has finite-dim. torus that allows access via algebraic groups

### **Part 3: Rigidity of arithmetic Kac–Moody groups**

- bounded generation of arithmetic groups and a fixed point thm
- strong rigidity/superrigidity of arithmetic Kac–Moody groups

## **Part 1:**

**Chevalley groups and split Lie  
groups as colimits of diagrams of  
groups**

Presentations arising from group actions on simply connected simplicial complexes (cf. Wortman's talks)

### Theorem 1 (Simplicial geometric group theory)

Let

$\Delta$  simply connected finite-dim. coloured simpl. complex,  
 $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Delta)$  colour-preserving simplicial rigid action, transitive on maximal simplices,

$c$  maximal simplex,  $I$  index set for vertices of  $c$ ,

$(G_J)_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I}$  family of pointwise stabilizers of non-empty sub-simplices of  $c$ ,

$\phi_{J,J'} : G_J \hookrightarrow G_{J'}$  canonical embedding for  $J \supseteq J'$ .

Then

$$G \cong \left\langle \bigcup_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I} G_J \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{all relations in the } G_J \text{ plus} \\ \text{all identifications via the } \phi_{J,J'} \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

Terminology:  $(G_J)_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I}$  together with the connecting morphisms is a **diagram of groups**. The group  $G$  is called a **colimit**.

## **Theorem 2 (Non-simplicial version)**

*Let*

*X simply connected topological space,*

*$G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$  action,*

*U a connected weak fundamental domain (i.e.,  $X = G.U$ ),*

*$\Sigma = \{g \in G \mid U \cap g.U \neq \emptyset\}$ ,*

*$R = \{xy = (xy) \mid x, y \in \Sigma, \Sigma \cap x\Sigma \cap xy\Sigma \neq \emptyset\}$ .*

*Then  $G \cong \langle \Sigma \mid R \rangle$ .*

Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1:

Define  $U$  as an  $\epsilon$ -neighbourhood of the maximal simplex  $c$ .

### Example 3

Let  $\text{Sym}_4$  act naturally on the barycentric subdivision of a 4-simplex considered as a 2-dimensional simplicial complex.

Let  $c$  be the maximal simplex consisting of the vertex 1, the barycentre of the edge  $\{1, 2\}$ , and the barycentre of the face  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Then

$$G_1 = \text{Sym}\{2, 3, 4\}$$

$$G_{\{1,2\}} = \text{Sym}\{1, 2\} \times \text{Sym}\{3, 4\}$$

$$G_{\{1,2,3\}} = \text{Sym}\{1, 2, 3\}.$$

The other stabilizers arise as intersections.

Theorem 1 states that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}_4 &\cong \langle G_{\{1,2,3\}} \cup G_{\{1,2\}} \cup G_1 \mid \text{all relations in these groups} \rangle \\ &\cong \langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \mid s_i^2 = 1, (s_i s_{i+1})^3 = 1, s_1 s_3 = s_3 s_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(Think  $s_1 = (12)$ ,  $s_2 = (23)$ ,  $s_3 = (34)$ .)

Note that the application of Theorem 1 can be iterated if the links of the simplicial complex are also simply connected:

#### Example 4

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Sym}_5 &\stackrel{1}{\cong} \langle G_1 \cup G_{\{1,2\}} \cup G_{\{1,2,3\}} \cup G_{\{1,2,3,4\}} \mid \text{their relations} \rangle \\
 &\stackrel{1}{\cong} \langle G_{1,\{1,2\}} \cup G_{1,\{1,2,3\}} \cup \dots \cup G_{\{1,2,3\},\{1,2,3,4\}} \mid \text{relations} \rangle \\
 &\cong \langle \text{Sym}\{3, 4, 5\} \cup \text{Sym}\{2, 3\} \times \text{Sym}\{4, 5\} \cup \dots \\
 &\quad \dots \cup \text{Sym}\{1, 2, 3\} \mid \text{their relations} \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$



## Presentations for split Lie groups

### Example 5

Let

$$G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$$

$$V = \mathbb{R}^n$$

$\Delta$  simplicial complex with underlying vertex set

$$\{(U, W) \mid V = U \oplus W \text{ with } U, W \text{ nontrivial}\}$$

and adjacency

$$(U, W) \sim (U', W') \iff \begin{array}{l} U \leq U', W \geq W' \text{ or} \\ U \geq U', W \leq W' \end{array}$$

$\Delta$  is a finite-dimensional coloured simplicial complex  
(colour=dimension of first component)

action of  $G$  is colour-preserving and transitive  
( $G$  transitive on bases)

## Theorem 6

Let  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Then

$$\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{R}) \cong \langle \mathrm{SL}\langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle \cup \mathrm{SL}\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \times \mathrm{SL}\langle e_2, e_3 \rangle \cup \mathrm{SL}\langle e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle \mid \text{their relations} \rangle$$

*Proof.*  $\Delta$  is 2-dimensional.

The three given groups are the stabilizers (up to the torus) of the three vertices corresponding to the direct decompositions  $\langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle \oplus \langle e_4 \rangle$ ,  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \oplus \langle e_3, e_4 \rangle$ ,  $\langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ .

$\Delta$  is simply connected (by direct computation or by Tits 1990).

Therefore the claim follows from Theorem 1.

(Reconstruct torus from rank 2 pieces.)

□

## The Curtis–Tits theorem

### Theorem 7 (Curtis 1965, Tits 1962, cf. GLS 1998)

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field containing at least four elements and let  $G$  be a simply connected Chevalley group over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Then  $G$  is generated by its fundamental rank one subgroups and defined by the relations contained in its fundamental rank two subgroups.

In other words, the group  $G$  is determined by taking

- a (spherical) Dynkin diagram  $\Delta$ ,
- a field  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 4$ ,
- a group  $G_\alpha \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$  for each node  $\alpha$  of  $\Delta$ ,
- a simply connected Chevalley group  $G_{\alpha,\beta}$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  for each pair of nodes  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $\Delta$  according to their type,
- embeddings  $G_\alpha \hookrightarrow G_{\alpha,\beta}$  as fundamental rank one subgroups.

## Recovering the topology

### Theorem 8 (Glöckner, Hartnick, K. 2010)

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a local field and let  $G$  be a Chevalley group over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

*Then the Lie group topology on  $G$  equals the finest group topology making the embeddings of the fundamental rank one subgroups – endowed with their Lie group topology – continuous.*

*Proof.* Via an open mapping theorem.  $\square$

$\square$

## An open mapping theorem

### Proposition 9

*A surjective, continuous homomorphism  $f: G \rightarrow H$  between Hausdorff topological groups where  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and  $H$  is a Baire space, is open; moreover,  $H$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  for certain compact sets  $K_n \subseteq G$  and thus  $H = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(K_n)$  with  $f(K_n)$  compact.

Since  $H$  is a Baire space,  $f(K_n)$  has non-empty interior for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus  $H$  is locally compact. Moreover,  $f|_{K_n} : K_n \rightarrow f(K_n)$  is a quotient map.

Let  $q : G \rightarrow G/\ker(f)$  be the quotient homomorphism and  $\phi : G/\ker(f) \rightarrow H$  be the bijective continuous homomorphism induced by  $f$ .

Then  $\phi^{-1} \circ f|_{K_n} = q|_{K_n}$  is continuous, whence  $\phi^{-1}|_{f(K_n)}$  is continuous. So  $\phi^{-1}$  is a continuous homomorphism, and  $\phi$  is a topological isomorphism. Hence  $f$  is open.  $\square$

## Topologies on colimits

Let  $\delta: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{LCCG}$  be a diagram of  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact groups  $G_i := \delta(i)$  for  $i \in I := \text{ob}(\mathbb{I})$  and continuous homomorphisms  $\phi_\alpha := \delta(\alpha): G_i \rightarrow G_j$  for  $i, j \in I$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Mor}(i, j)$ , with countable  $I$ .

Furthermore, let  $(G, (\lambda_i)_{i \in I})$  be a colimit of the diagram  $\delta$  in the category of abstract groups, with homomorphisms  $\lambda_i: G_i \rightarrow G$ .

If there exists a locally compact Hausdorff group topology  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $G$  making  $\lambda_i: G_i \rightarrow (G, \mathcal{O})$  continuous for each  $i \in I$ , then

$$((G, \mathcal{O}), (\lambda_i)_{i \in I})$$

is a colimit of  $\delta$  in the category of topological groups, in the category of Hausdorff groups, and in the category of locally compact groups, by Proposition 9.

If each  $G_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact Lie group and  $(G, \mathcal{O})$  is a Lie group, then  $((G, \mathcal{O}), (\lambda_i)_{i \in I})$  also is a colimit of  $\delta$  in the category  $\mathbb{LIE}$  of Lie groups.

**Part 2:**  
**Topological Kac–Moody groups**  
**and their properties**

## Definition of 2-spherical split Kac–Moody groups

- Let
- $\Delta$  a 2-spherical Dynkin diagram without loops,
  - $\mathbb{F}$  a field with  $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 4$ ,
  - $G_\alpha \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$  for each node  $\alpha$  of  $\Delta$ ,
  - $G_{\alpha,\beta}$  a simply connected Chevalley group over  $\mathbb{F}$  for each pair of nodes  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $\Delta$  according to their type,
  - $G_\alpha \hookrightarrow G_{\alpha,\beta}$  embeddings as fundamental rank one subgroups.

Then the **Kac–Moody group**  $G_\Delta(\mathbb{F})$  is defined as

$$G_\Delta(\mathbb{F}) \cong \left\langle \bigcup_{\alpha,\beta} G_{\alpha,\beta} \mid \text{their relations} \right\rangle \quad (\text{i.e., type } F_2 \text{ if } \mathbb{F} \text{ is finite}).$$

This is well-defined, as  $\Delta$  does not have loops: Using work by Goldschmidt 1980 it is possible to prove that the above system is unique up to isomorphism.

If there are loops, automorphisms of  $\mathbb{F}$  may lead to ambiguity.

Work by Abramenko–Mühlherr 1997 guarantees existence.



## Work by Abramenko–Mühlherr

Let

$X = (X_+, X_-, \delta_*)$  twin building of  $G_\Delta(\mathbb{F})$ ,  
 $X^{\text{op}} = \{(c, d) \in X_+ \times X_- \mid c, d \text{ maximal simplices, } \delta_*(c, d) = 1\}$ .

If  $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 4$  and  $\Delta$  2-spherical, then  $X^{\text{op}}$  is simply connected.

Since  $G_\Delta(\mathbb{F})$  acts transitively on  $X^{\text{op}}$  (strongly transitive action), Theorem 1 applies.

**Example** (cf. Example 5)

Frames of a vector space, i.e., opposite flags

$\langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle e_n \rangle, \langle e_n, e_{n-1} \rangle, \dots, \langle e_n, \dots, e_2 \rangle$

Pairs of opposite minimal parabolics of an isotropic alg. group.

**So:**

Abramenko–Mühlherr 1997 provide a proof that generalizes and contains the classical Curtis–Tits theorem as a special case, provided  $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 4$ .

## Topologies on 2-spherical split Kac–Moody groups

Let

- $\Delta$  a 2-spherical Dynkin diagram without loops,
- $\mathbb{F}$  a local field,
- $G_\alpha \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$  for each node  $\alpha$  of  $\Delta$ , endowed with Lie group topology,
- $G_{\alpha,\beta}$  a simply connected split algebraic Lie group over  $\mathbb{F}$  for each pair of nodes  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $\Delta$  according to their type,
- $G_\alpha \hookrightarrow G_{\alpha,\beta}$  embeddings as fundamental rank one subgroups.

Then the **Kac–Peterson topology**  $\tau_{\mathrm{KP}}$  on the Kac–Moody group  $G_\Delta(\mathbb{F})$  is defined as the finest group topology that makes the embeddings  $G_\alpha \hookrightarrow G_\Delta(\mathbb{F})$  continuous.

### **Theorem 10 (Hartnick, K., Mars)**

*The Kac–Peterson topology  $\tau_{\text{KP}}$  is Hausdorff and  $k_\omega$ .*

*If  $\Delta$  is non-spherical, then  $\tau_{\text{KP}}$  is not locally compact, not metrizable, in particular not Polish.*

### **Question**

Does there exist a non-zero  $\sigma$ -finite left-invariant Borel measure on  $(G_\Delta(\mathbb{F}), \tau_{\text{KP}})$ ?

It is known that a Polish topological group admits such a measure if and only if it is locally compact. Our question is beyond that setting.

## $(G_\Delta(\mathbb{F}), \tau_{\text{KP}})$ is Kazhdan

A topological group is called **Kazhdan**, if there exist a compact subset  $Q$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that, whenever a unitary representation  $\pi$  of that group has a  $(Q, \epsilon)$ -invariant vector, then it has a non-trivial fixed vector.

A vector  $\xi$  of the Hilbert space is  $(Q, \epsilon)$ -invariant if

$$\sup_{x \in Q} \|\pi(x)\xi - \xi\| < \epsilon \|\xi\|.$$

### **Theorem 11 (Harnick, K., preprint)**

*Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a local field and let  $G$  be an irreducible 2-spherical split Kac–Moody group. Then  $(G(\mathbb{F}), \tau_{\text{KP}})$  is Kazhdan.*

Irreducible means that the defining diagram  $\Delta$  is connected.

### **Question**

Does this also hold for the discrete fin. gen. subgroup  $G(\mathbb{Z})$ ?

## Proof by induction

Let  $\Delta$  be a 2-spherical diagram and let  $G(\mathbb{F}) = G_{\Delta}(\mathbb{F})$ . Let  $n := |\Delta|$ .

For  $n = 2$  the group  $G$  is a Chevalley group and by Theorem 8 the topology  $\tau_{\text{KP}}$  equals the Lie group topology. Hence the claim follows from the fact that Lie groups of rank 2 are Kazhdan.

Let now  $n \geq 3$  and assume that the claim has been proved for each irreducible 2-spherical Kac–Moody group of lower rank.

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the simple root of  $G$  and let  $H$  be the fundamental subgroup of rank  $n - 1$  corresponding to the set  $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  of simple roots, i.e.,  $H := \langle G_{\alpha_2}, \dots, G_{\alpha_n} \rangle$ .

Up to a change of enumeration of the simple roots of  $G$  we can assume that

- $H$  is irreducible,
- $G_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$  is irreducible.

The restriction of  $\tau_{\text{KP}}$  yields the Kac–Peterson topology on  $H$  and the Lie group topology on  $G_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ .

Let  $\rho$  be a unitary representation of  $G$  on some Hilbert space  $W$  almost having invariant vectors.

Since  $H$  is Kazhdan by induction hypothesis, there exists a non-zero  $\rho(H)$ -invariant vector  $w \in W$ .

Hence any element  $x$  of the Torus  $T_{\alpha_2}$  of the fundamental rank one subgroup  $G_{\alpha_2} \cong \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$  satisfies  $\rho(x)w = w$ .

There exist  $x \in T_{\alpha_2}$  such that, moreover, for each  $y \in U_{\alpha_1}$  one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n y x^{-n} = 1$$

and for each  $y \in U_{-\alpha_1}$  one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{-n} y x^n = 1.$$

Example: 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda^{-n}y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Mautner's Lemma implies  $\rho(U_{\alpha_1})w = w = \rho(U_{-\alpha_1})w$ .

As  $G = \langle G_{\alpha_1}, H \rangle = \langle U_{\alpha_1}, U_{-\alpha_1}, H \rangle$  this implies  $\rho(G).w = w$ .

## Tori and isogenies

There exist several isogeneous versions of a Kac–Moody group, the extremal ones being the simply connected version  $G^{\text{sc}}$  and the adjoint version  $G^{\text{ad}}$ .

The group  $G_{\Delta}(\mathbb{F})$  is by construction a group of rational points of a simply connected Kac–Moody group  $G^{\text{sc}}$ .

Indeed, the presentation/definition does not contain any relations between torus elements that are not visible locally. This can be remedied by also prescribing the structure of the torus in the construction of  $G_{\Delta}(\mathbb{F})$  (cf. proof of Theorem 6).



A Kac–Moody group, considered as a functor, comes along with a torus functor that distinguishes between the different isogenous versions of a Kac–Moody group.

Details can be found in Rémy 2002 (Chapters 7, 8, 9).

This torus is an algebraic torus.

Indeed:

- group of characters  $\Lambda$  of Kac–Moody group is free abelian of finite rank (Rémy 2002, 7.1.1)
  - torus functor  $T$  defined as the group functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda], -)$  (Rémy 2002, 8.2.1)
- ( $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ : group ring of  $\Lambda$ , i.e., polyn. ring in free gens and inverses)
- $\rightsquigarrow$  diagonalizable (cf. Waterhouse 1979, 2.2)
- $\rightsquigarrow$  algebraic torus (actually split)

Consider the adjoint map

$$\text{Ad} : G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}}$$

defined via a suitable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -form of the universal enveloping algebra of the complex Kac–Moody algebra (cf. Rémy 2002, 9.5)

This induces a surjective morphism of tori

$$T^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow T^{\text{ad}}$$

with finite kernel (i.e., an isogeny)

Indeed:

- exists a natural embedding  $\Lambda^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\text{sc}}$
- yields an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda^{\text{ad}}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda^{\text{sc}}]$
- yields a surjective morphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda^{\text{sc}}], -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda^{\text{ad}}], -)$
- as  $\dim(T^{\text{sc}}) = \dim(T^{\text{ad}})$  the kernel has  $\dim. 0$ , i.e., is finite

## Index of $\text{Ad } G^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{F})$ in $G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{F})$

$$[G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{F}) : \text{Ad } G^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{F})] = [T^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{F}) : \text{Ad } T^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{F})],$$

because  $\ker \text{Ad}$  lies in the centre of  $G^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{F})$  (Rémy 2002, 9.6.2).

The exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow T^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}} T^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow 1$$

yields an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow F(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow T^{\text{sc}} \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}} T^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, F) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, T^{\text{sc}}).$$

Since  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, T^{\text{sc}}) = 1$  (cf. Platonov, Rapinchuk 1994, 2.4), the index is therefore given by  $|H^1(\mathbb{F}, F)|$ .

Therefore, over local fields, the index is finite (cf. Milne 1986).

**Part 3:**  
**Rigidity of arithmetic**  
**Kac–Moody groups**

## A fixed-point theorem

### Theorem 12 (Caprace, Monod 2009)

Let  $L$  be an irreducible Chevalley group of rank at least 2, let  $X$  be a complete  $CAT(0)$  polyhedral complex with finitely many isometry types of polyhedra, and let  $\phi : L(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(X)$  be an action via cellular and rigid isometries.

Then  $\phi(L(\mathbb{Z}))$  has a fixed point.

### Corollary 13

Let  $L$  be an irreducible Chevalley group of rank at least 2, let  $G(\mathbb{R})$  be a Kac–Moody group, and let  $\phi : L(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$  be a group homomorphism.

Then  $\phi(L(\mathbb{Z}))$  is a bounded subgroup, i.e., lies in the intersection of two spherical parabolic subgroups of  $G$  of opposite sign, i.e., lies in an algebraic subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 12 to the Davis realization of the twin building of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  and use Rémy 2002, 10.3.  $\square$

Towards a proof of the fixed-point theorem

**Lemma 14 (Lubotzky, Mozes, Raghunathan 2000)**

Let  $\Sigma$  be the (finite) set of unit root group elements of  $L(\mathbb{Z})$ , let  $\delta_\Sigma$  be the word metric of  $L(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $\gamma \in \Sigma$ . Then

$$l_\Sigma(\gamma^n) := \delta_\Sigma(1, \gamma^n) = O(\log(n)).$$

**Lemma 15**

Let  $x \in X$  and  $\gamma \in \Sigma$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$d_X(x, \gamma^n \cdot x) \leq l_\Sigma(\gamma^n) \cdot \max\{d_X(x, s \cdot x) \mid s \in \Sigma\}.$$

*Proof.* Use triangle inequality and action by isometries.  $\square$

**Lemma 16 (cf. Bridson, Haefliger 1999)**

Let  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  and define  $|\gamma| := \inf\{d_X(x, \gamma \cdot x) \mid x \in X\}$  (translation length). Then

$$|\gamma| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_X(x, \gamma^n \cdot x)}{n}.$$

## Lemma 17

Let  $\gamma \in \Sigma$ . Then  $\phi(\gamma)$  fixes a point of  $X$ .

Here, as above,  $\phi : L(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(X)$  is an action via cellular and rigid isometries.

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma| &= \inf\{d_X(x, \gamma.x) \mid x \in X\} \\ &\stackrel{16}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_X(x, \gamma^n.x)}{n} \\ &\stackrel{15}{\leq} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_\Sigma(\gamma^n) \cdot \max\{d_X(x, s.x) \mid s \in \Sigma\}}{n} \\ &\stackrel{14}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{d_X(x, s.x) \mid s \in \Sigma\} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{O(\log(n))}{n} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi(\gamma)$  cannot be parabolic, it is elliptic, i.e.,  $\phi(\gamma)$  fixes a point of  $X$ .  $\square$

## **Proof of the fixed-point theorem 12**

*Proof.* By Tavgen 1991 the group  $L(\mathbb{Z})$  is boundedly generated by root group elements. The group  $L(\mathbb{Z})$  is therefore boundedly generated by the (finite) family of (cyclic) root subgroups.

Lemma 17 implies that each generator of a root subgroup and, hence, each root subgroup has a fixed point.

Any group boundedly generated by a finite family of groups with fixed point has itself a fixed point.  $\square$



**Application of Corollary 13:  
Strong and superrigidity of arithmetic Kac–Moody groups**

**Theorem 18 (Farahmand, K.)**

**Mostow–Margulis strong rigidity:** *Let  $G(\mathbb{R})$  and  $G'(\mathbb{R})$  be irreducible 2-spherical Kac–Moody groups and let  $\phi : G(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{Z})$  be an isomorphism.*

*Then  $\phi$  uniquely extends to a topological isomorphism*

$$G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R}).$$

**Theorem 19 (Farahmand, Horn, K.)**

**Margulis superrigidity:** *Let  $G(\mathbb{R})$  and  $G'(\mathbb{R})$  be irreducible 2-spherical Kac–Moody groups and let  $\phi : G(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R})$  be a homomorphism of groups.*

*Then  $\phi$  uniquely extends to a continuous homomorphism*

$$G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R}).$$

## Second main ingredient of proof

### Proposition 20 (Local superrigidity)

Let  $H$  be an irreducible Chevalley group of rank at least 2 and let  $G$  be a Kac–Moody group (functor).

Then any group homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma := H(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$  uniquely extends to a continuous group homomorphism

$$\psi : (H(\mathbb{R}), \tau_{\text{Lie}}) \rightarrow (G(\mathbb{R}), \tau_{\text{KP}}).$$

*Proof:*

- Corollary 13:  $\phi(\Gamma)$  lies in an algebraic subgroup  $A$  of  $G$ .
- Margulis 1991, IX.6.15: Zariski closure  $H' := \overline{\phi(\Gamma)}$  in  $A$  is semisimple
- Margulis 1991, VII.5.9 + “semisimple = almost direct product of simple factors”: exists rational (hence continuous) homomorphism of algebraic groups  $\psi : H(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H'(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$
- $\Gamma$  Zariski dense in  $H(\mathbb{R})$ :  $\psi$  uniquely determined by  $\phi$ .

## Margulis superrigidity of arithmetic Kac–Moody groups

### Theorem 19 (Farahmand, Horn, K.)

Let  $G(\mathbb{R})$  and  $G'(\mathbb{R})$  be irreducible 2-spherical Kac–Moody groups and let  $\phi : G(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R})$  be a homomorphism of groups.

Then  $\phi$  uniquely extends to a continuous homomorphism

$$G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R}).$$

## Proof of Theorem 19 (superrigidity)

- For distinct simple roots  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j$  consider the fundamental subgroups  $G_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}$  of rank 2.
- Define the restriction  $\phi_{i,j} : G_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R}) : g \mapsto \phi(g)$ .
- By Proposition 20 there exist unique continuous extensions

$$\psi_{i,j} : G_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R})$$

for adjacent  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j$ .

- Zariski density implies that  $\psi_{i,j}$  and  $\psi_{j,k}$  coincide on  $G_{\alpha_j}$ .
- Universality of  $G(\mathbb{R}) \cong \langle \cup_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j} G_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j} \mid \text{their relations} \rangle$  provides a (unique) continuous extension

$$\psi : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R}).$$

## Mostow–Margulis strong rigidity of arithmetic Kac–Moody groups

### **Theorem 18 (Farahmand, K.)**

Let  $G(\mathbb{R})$  and  $G'(\mathbb{R})$  be irreducible 2-spherical Kac–Moody groups and let  $\phi : G(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{Z})$  be an isomorphism.

Then  $\phi$  uniquely extends to a topological isomorphism

$$G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R}).$$

### **Corollary 21 (Solution of the isomorphism problem)**

Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be irreducible 2-spherical Kac–Moody group functors and let  $G(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{Z})$  be a group isomorphism.

Then  $G = G'$ .

Proof:

- Theorem 18: exists an isomorphism  $G(\mathbb{R}) \cong G'(\mathbb{R})$ .
- Caprace 2009:  $G = G'$ .

## Proof of Theorem 18 (strong rigidity)

- Consider the compositions

$$G(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\phi} G'(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow G'(\mathbb{R}), \quad G'(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} G(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{R}).$$

- Theorem 19 provides unique continuous extensions

$$\psi : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbb{R}), \quad \psi' : G'(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R}).$$

- Both  $\text{id}_{G(\mathbb{R})}$  and  $\psi' \circ \psi$  extend the embedding  $G(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ , so by uniqueness  $\text{id}_{G(\mathbb{R})} = \psi' \circ \psi$ .
- By symmetry  $\psi$  is a topological isomorphism.

## Further research

- Does rigidity hold over arbitrary rings of  $S$ -integers? Probably yes. (PhD project Farahmand)
- Let  $G$  be an irreducible 2-spherical Kac–Moody functor. Is  $G(\mathbb{Z})$  finitely presented?

$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on rational points of  $P_1(\mathbb{Q})$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & p \\ b & q \end{pmatrix} \text{ maps } 0 \text{ to } \frac{a \cdot 0 + p}{b \cdot 0 + q} = \frac{p}{q}$$

Euclidean algorithm gives  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $ap - bq = \gcd(p, q)$ .

Therefore  $G(\mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on each of the buildings  $X_+$ ,  $X_-$  of  $G(\mathbb{Q})$ , by a combinatorial local-to-global argument.

Hence Theorem 1 provides a presentation of  $G(\mathbb{Z})$ .

However, the stabilizers have huge unipotent parts in the Kac–Moody group that I currently do not understand.

(This is why over fields one takes  $X^{\mathrm{op}}$  instead of  $X_+$ .)

## A combinatorial local-to-global argument

### Proposition 22

Let  $X$  be a set endowed with a family of equivalence relations

$$(\sim_i)_{i \in I} \subseteq X \times X$$

such that

$$\text{transitive hull} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} \sim_i \right) = X \times X.$$

Let  $G$  be a group permuting  $X$  preserving each  $\sim_i$ .

If there exists  $p \in X$  such that for each  $i \in I$  the stabilizer  $G_{[p]_i}$  is transitive on  $[p]_i$ , then  $G$  is transitive on  $X$ .

Apply to building with the common-face relations as  $(\sim_i)_{i \in I}$ .



## Some references

- Abramenko–Mühlherr 1997: Présentations de certaines  $BN$ -paires jumelées comme sommes amalgamées, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 325
- Glöckner–G.–Hartnick 2010: Final group topologies, Kac-Moody groups and Pontryagin duality, Israel J. Math. 177
- Gorenstein–Lyons–Solomon 1998: The classification of the finite simple groups. Number 3. Part I. Chapter A. Almost simple  $K$ -groups, AMS Math. Surveys Monogr. 40.3
- Hartnick–K.: Two-spherical topological Kac–Moody groups are Kazhdan, <https://www.mittag-leffler.se/preprints/files/IML-1112s-27.pdf>
- Lubotzky–Mozes–Raghunathan 2000: The word and Riemannian metrics on lattices of semisimple groups, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 91
- Margulis 1991: Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups
- Rémy 2002: Groupes de Kac-Moody déployés et presque déployés, Astérisque 277
- Tavgen 1991: Bounded generation of Chevalley groups over rings of algebraic  $S$ -integers, Math. USSR-Izv. 36
- Tit's 1987: Uniqueness and presentation of Kac-Moody groups over fields. J. Alg. 105