

Bourgain-Delbaen \mathcal{L}^∞ sums of Banach spaces

Despoina Zisimopoulou

School of Applied Mathematics and Physical Sciences
NTUA

5-day Workshop Banach space Theory
Banff, Canada
4-9 March 2012

This research has been co-financed by the European Union (European Social Fund ESF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) - Research Funding Program: Heracleitus II. Investing in knowledge society through the European Social Fund.

Quasi Prime Banach Spaces

- A Banach space is said to be **prime** if it is isomorphic to each one of its infinite dimensional complemented subspaces.
- **A. Pełczyński** The spaces ℓ_p , for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and c_0 are prime spaces.
- **J. Lindenstrauss** has shown that ℓ_∞ is prime.
- A wider class is that of **primary** Banach spaces, that have the property that whenever $X \simeq Y \oplus Z$, then either $Y \simeq X$ or $Z \simeq X$.
- Some examples of primary spaces are $C[0, 1]$, $L^p(0, 1)$.

Quasi Prime Banach Spaces

- A Banach space is said to be **prime** if it is isomorphic to each one of its infinite dimensional complemented subspaces.
- **A. Pelczynski** The spaces ℓ_p , for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and c_0 are prime spaces.
- **J. Lindenstrauss** has shown that ℓ_∞ is prime.
- A wider class is that of **primary** Banach spaces, that have the property that whenever $X \simeq Y \oplus Z$, then either $Y \simeq X$ or $Z \simeq X$.
- Some examples of primary spaces are $C[0, 1]$, $L^p(0, 1)$.

Quasi Prime Banach Spaces

- A Banach space is said to be **prime** if it is isomorphic to each one of its infinite dimensional complemented subspaces.
- **A. Pelczynski** The spaces ℓ_p , for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and c_0 are prime spaces.
- **J. Lindenstrauss** has shown that ℓ_∞ is prime.
- A wider class is that of **primary** Banach spaces, that have the property that whenever $X \simeq Y \oplus Z$, then either $Y \simeq X$ or $Z \simeq X$.
- Some examples of primary spaces are $C[0, 1]$, $L^p(0, 1)$.

Quasi Prime Banach Spaces

- A Banach space is said to be **prime** if it is isomorphic to each one of its infinite dimensional complemented subspaces.
- **A. Pelczynski** The spaces ℓ_p , for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and c_0 are prime spaces.
- **J. Lindenstrauss** has shown that ℓ_∞ is prime.
- A wider class is that of **primary** Banach spaces, that have the property that whenever $X \simeq Y \oplus Z$, then either $Y \simeq X$ or $Z \simeq X$.
- Some examples of primary spaces are $C[0, 1]$, $L^p(0, 1)$.

Quasi Prime Banach Spaces

- A Banach space is said to be **prime** if it is isomorphic to each one of its infinite dimensional complemented subspaces.
- **A. Pełczyński** The spaces ℓ_p , for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and c_0 are prime spaces.
- **J. Lindenstrauss** has shown that ℓ_∞ is prime.
- A wider class is that of **primary** Banach spaces, that have the property that whenever $X \simeq Y \oplus Z$, then either $Y \simeq X$ or $Z \simeq X$.
- Some examples of primary spaces are $C[0, 1]$, $L^p(0, 1)$.

- S. A. Argyros and Th. Raikoftsalis introduced the notion of **quasi prime** and **strictly quasi prime** Banach spaces.

Definition

A Banach space X is said to be quasi prime if there exists a subspace Y of X such that X admits a unique non trivial decomposition as $Y \oplus X$. In the case that Y is not isomorphic to X then X is called strictly quasi prime.

- S. A. Argyros and Th. Raikoftsalis introduced the notion of **quasi prime** and **strictly quasi prime** Banach spaces.

Definition

A Banach space X is said to be quasi prime if there exists a subspace Y of X such that X admits a unique non trivial decomposition as $Y \oplus X$. In the case that Y is not isomorphic to X then X is called strictly quasi prime.

- The authors proved the existence of certain strictly quasi prime Banach spaces in the following result.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros and Th. Raikoftsalis)

The following holds:

For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ there exists a Banach space \mathfrak{X}_p which is strictly quasi prime and admits ℓ_p as a complemented subspace. There exists a strictly quasi prime Banach space \mathfrak{X}_0 containing c_0 as a complemented subspace.

- Each space \mathfrak{X}_p , \mathfrak{X}_0 is a new type of Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces, the HI Schauder sums that were introduced by S.A. Argyros and V. Felouzis.

- The authors proved the existence of certain strictly quasi prime Banach spaces in the following result.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros and Th. Raikoftsalis)

The following holds:

For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ there exists a Banach space \mathfrak{X}_p which is strictly quasi prime and admits ℓ_p as a complemented subspace. There exists a strictly quasi prime Banach space \mathfrak{X}_0 containing c_0 as a complemented subspace.

- Each space $\mathfrak{X}_p, \mathfrak{X}_0$ is a new type of Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces, the HI Schauder sums that were introduced by S.A.Argyros and V. Felouzis.

- The authors proved the existence of certain strictly quasi prime Banach spaces in the following result.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros and Th. Raikoftsalis)

The following holds:

For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ there exists a Banach space \mathfrak{X}_p which is strictly quasi prime and admits ℓ_p as a complemented subspace. There exists a strictly quasi prime Banach space \mathfrak{X}_0 containing c_0 as a complemented subspace.

- Each space \mathfrak{X}_p , \mathfrak{X}_0 is a new type of Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces, the HI Schauder sums that were introduced by S.A.Argyros and V. Felouzis.

- The authors proved the existence of certain strictly quasi prime Banach spaces in the following result.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros and Th. Raikoftsalis)

The following holds:

For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ there exists a Banach space \mathfrak{X}_p which is strictly quasi prime and admits ℓ_p as a complemented subspace. There exists a strictly quasi prime Banach space \mathfrak{X}_0 containing c_0 as a complemented subspace.

- Each space \mathfrak{X}_p , \mathfrak{X}_0 is a new type of Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces, the HI Schauder sums that were introduced by S.A.Argyros and V. Felouzis.

- The authors proved the existence of certain strictly quasi prime Banach spaces in the following result.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros and Th. Raikoftsalis)

The following holds:

For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ there exists a Banach space \mathfrak{X}_p which is strictly quasi prime and admits ℓ_p as a complemented subspace. There exists a strictly quasi prime Banach space \mathfrak{X}_0 containing c_0 as a complemented subspace.

- Each space \mathfrak{X}_p , \mathfrak{X}_0 is a new type of Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces, the HI Schauder sums that were introduced by S.A.Argyros and V. Felouzis.

- We recall that if $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is the Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, denoted as $X = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_*$, then
- There exist bounded projections $P_{[1,n]} : X \rightarrow X$ such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{[1,n]}(x)$ for every $x \in X$.
- For any element $x \in X$, we define the range of x , $\text{ran } x$, as the minimal interval L of \mathbb{N} such that $x \in \sum_{n \in L} \oplus X_n$.
- We also say that a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is **horizontally block**, if the $\text{ran } x_k < \text{ran } x_{k+1}$ (i.e. $\max \text{ran } x_k < \min \text{ran } x_{k+1}$) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- The Schauder sum X is **shrinking** if for every $x^* \in X^*$ $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^* \circ P_{[1,n]}$.

- We recall that if $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is the Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, denoted as $X = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_*$, then
- There exist bounded projections $P_{[1,n]} : X \rightarrow X$ such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{[1,n]}(x)$ for every $x \in X$.
- For any element $x \in X$, we define the range of x , $\text{ran } x$, as the minimal interval L of \mathbb{N} such that $x \in \sum_{n \in L} \oplus X_n$.
- We also say that a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is **horizontally block**, if the $\text{ran } x_k < \text{ran } x_{k+1}$ (i.e. $\max \text{ran } x_k < \min \text{ran } x_{k+1}$) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- The Schauder sum X is **shrinking** if for every $x^* \in X^*$ $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^* \circ P_{[1,n]}$.

- We recall that if $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is the Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, denoted as $X = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_*$, then
- There exist bounded projections $P_{[1,n]} : X \rightarrow X$ such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{[1,n]}(x)$ for every $x \in X$.
- For any element $x \in X$, we define the range of x , $\text{ran } x$, as the minimal interval L of \mathbb{N} such that $x \in \sum_{n \in L} \oplus X_n$.
- We also say that a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is **horizontally block**, if the $\text{ran } x_k < \text{ran } x_{k+1}$ (i.e. $\max \text{ran } x_k < \min \text{ran } x_{k+1}$) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- The Schauder sum X is **shrinking** if for every $x^* \in X^*$ $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^* \circ P_{[1,n]}$.

- We recall that if $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is the Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, denoted as $X = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_*$, then
- There exist bounded projections $P_{[1,n]} : X \rightarrow X$ such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{[1,n]}(x)$ for every $x \in X$.
- For any element $x \in X$, we define the range of x , $\text{ran } x$, as the minimal interval L of \mathbb{N} such that $x \in \sum_{n \in L} \oplus X_n$.
- We also say that a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is **horizontally block**, if the $\text{ran } x_k < \text{ran } x_{k+1}$ (i.e. $\max \text{ran } x_k < \min \text{ran } x_{k+1}$) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- The Schauder sum X is **shrinking** if for every $x^* \in X^*$ $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^* \circ P_{[1,n]}$.

- We recall that if $(X, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is the Schauder sum of a sequence of Banach spaces $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, denoted as $X = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_*$, then
- There exist bounded projections $P_{[1,n]} : X \rightarrow X$ such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{[1,n]}(x)$ for every $x \in X$.
- For any element $x \in X$, we define the range of x , $\text{ran } x$, as the minimal interval L of \mathbb{N} such that $x \in \sum_{n \in L} \oplus X_n$.
- We also say that a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is **horizontally block**, if the $\text{ran } x_k < \text{ran } x_{k+1}$ (i.e. $\max \text{ran } x_k < \min \text{ran } x_{k+1}$) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- The Schauder sum X is **shrinking** if for every $x^* \in X^*$ $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^* \circ P_{[1,n]}$.

- S.A. Argyros and V. Felouzis using a Gowers Maurey type norm proved the following:

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then, there exists a Banach space $\mathfrak{X} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_{gm}$ satisfying the following properties:

*The space \mathfrak{X} is the shrinking Schauder sum of the sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$
Every horizontally block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.*

- A Banach space X is **HI** (Hereditarily indecomposable), if for every closed infinite dimensional subspace Y of X there do not exist closed infinite dimensional subspaces Y_1, Y_2 of Y such that $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$.

- S.A. Argyros and V. Felouzis using a Gowers Maurey type norm proved the following:

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then, there exists a Banach space $\mathfrak{X} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_{gm}$ satisfying the following properties:

*The space \mathfrak{X} is the shrinking Schauder sum of the sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$
Every horizontally block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.*

- A Banach space X is HI (Hereditarily indecomposable), if for every closed infinite dimensional subspace Y of X there do not exist closed infinite dimensional subspaces Y_1, Y_2 of Y such that $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$.

- S.A. Argyros and V. Felouzis using a Gowers Maurey type norm proved the following:

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then, there exists a Banach space $\mathfrak{X} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_{gm}$ satisfying the following properties:

The space \mathfrak{X} is the shrinking Schauder sum of the sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

Every horizontally block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.

- A Banach space X is HI (Hereditarily indecomposable), if for every closed infinite dimensional subspace Y of X there do not exist closed infinite dimensional subspaces Y_1, Y_2 of Y such that $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$.

- S.A. Argyros and V. Felouzis using a Gowers Maurey type norm proved the following:

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then, there exists a Banach space $\mathfrak{X} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_{gm}$ satisfying the following properties:

*The space \mathfrak{X} is the shrinking Schauder sum of the sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$
Every horizontally block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.*

- A Banach space X is HI (Hereditarily indecomposable), if for every closed infinite dimensional subspace Y of X there do not exist closed infinite dimensional subspaces Y_1, Y_2 of Y such that $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$.

- S.A. Argyros and V. Felouzis using a Gowers Maurey type norm proved the following:

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then, there exists a Banach space $\mathfrak{X} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_{gm}$ satisfying the following properties:

*The space \mathfrak{X} is the shrinking Schauder sum of the sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$
Every horizontally block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.*

- A Banach space X is **HI** (Hereditarily indecomposable), if for every closed infinite dimensional subspace Y of X there do not exist closed infinite dimensional subspaces Y_1, Y_2 of Y such that $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$.

- Each space \mathfrak{X}_p , (resp. \mathfrak{X}_0) of the Argyros-Raikoftsalis result is the HI-Schauder sum of the corresponding ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- Moreover, they investigated the finite powers of these spaces they proved:

Theorem (S.A. Argyros-Th. Raikoftsalis)

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_p$ or \mathfrak{X}_0 and denote for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by \mathfrak{X}^n the space $\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \mathfrak{X}(i)$ endowed with the supremum norm as an external one. Then, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq m$, the space \mathfrak{X}^n is not isomorphic to \mathfrak{X}^m . Moreover, the space \mathfrak{X}^n has at least $n + 1$, up to isomorphism, complemented subspaces.

- Each space \mathfrak{X}_p , (resp. \mathfrak{X}_0) of the Argyros-Raikoftsalis result is the HI-Schauder sum of the corresponding ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- Moreover, they investigated the finite powers of these spaces they proved:

Theorem (S.A. Argyros-Th. Raikoftsalis)

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_p$ or \mathfrak{X}_0 and denote for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by \mathfrak{X}^n the space $\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \mathfrak{X}(i)$ endowed with the supremum norm as an external one. Then, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq m$, the space \mathfrak{X}^n is not isomorphic to \mathfrak{X}^m . Moreover, the space \mathfrak{X}^n has at least $n + 1$, up to isomorphism, complemented subspaces.

- Each space \mathfrak{X}_p , (resp. \mathfrak{X}_0) of the Argyros-Raikoftsalis result is the HI-Schauder sum of the corresponding ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- Moreover, they investigated the finite powers of these spaces they proved:

Theorem (S.A. Argyros-Th. Raikoftsalis)

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_p$ or \mathfrak{X}_0 and denote for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by \mathfrak{X}^n the space $\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \mathfrak{X}(i)$ endowed with the supremum norm as an external one. Then, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq m$, the space \mathfrak{X}^n is not isomorphic to \mathfrak{X}^m . Moreover, the space \mathfrak{X}^n has at least $n + 1$, up to isomorphism, complemented subspaces.

- It is open if the aforementioned space \mathfrak{X}^n has exactly $n + 1$, up to isomorphism complemented subspaces.
- The above result is a consequence of studying the operators acting on the Gowers- Maurey type HI Schauder sum.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros- Th. Raikoftsalis)

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_{gm}$ be the HI Schauder sum of a sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of separable Banach spaces. Assume that for every $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ horizontally block sequence the space $W = \overline{(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}}$ is totally incomparable to each X_n . Then for every bounded and linear operator T on \mathfrak{X} there exists a scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally strictly singular.

- It is open if the aforementioned space \mathfrak{X}^n has exactly $n + 1$, up to isomorphism complemented subspaces.
- The above result is a consequence of studying the operators acting on the Gowers- Maurey type HI Schauder sum.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros- Th. Raikoftsalis)

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_{gm}$ be the HI Schauder sum of a sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of separable Banach spaces. Assume that for every $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ horizontally block sequence the space $W = \overline{(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}}$ is totally incomparable to each X_n . Then for every bounded and linear operator T on \mathfrak{X} there exists a scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally strictly singular.

- It is open if the aforementioned space \mathfrak{X}^n has exactly $n + 1$, up to isomorphism complemented subspaces.
- The above result is a consequence of studying the operators acting on the Gowers- Maurey type HI Schauder sum.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros- Th. Raikoftsalis)

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n)_{gm}$ be the HI Schauder sum of a sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of separable Banach spaces. Assume that for every $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ horizontally block sequence the space $W = \overline{(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}}$ is totally incomparable to each X_n . Then for every bounded and linear operator T on \mathfrak{X} there exists a scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally strictly singular.

- We say that an operator S on \mathfrak{X} is **horizontally strictly singular** if the restriction on an arbitrary (horizontally) block subspace of \mathfrak{X} is not an isomorphism.
- Since every horizontally block sequence in \mathfrak{X}_p is HI it is clear that the space that it generates is totally incomparable to ℓ_p and similarly every subspace of \mathfrak{X}_0 generated by a horizontally block subspace is totally incomparable to c_0 .
- Therefore, the bounded and linear operator acting on $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_p$ or \mathfrak{X}_0 satisfy the property stated on the above theorem.

- We say that an operator S on \mathfrak{X} is **horizontally strictly singular** if the restriction on an arbitrary (horizontally) block subspace of \mathfrak{X} is not an isomorphism.
- Since every horizontally block sequence in \mathfrak{X}_p is HI it is clear that the space that it generates is totally incomparable to ℓ_p and similarly every subspace of \mathfrak{X}_0 generated by a horizontally block subspace is totally incomparable to c_0 .
- Therefore, the bounded and linear operator acting on $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_p$ or \mathfrak{X}_0 satisfy the property stated on the above theorem.

- We say that an operator S on \mathfrak{X} is **horizontally strictly singular** if the restriction on an arbitrary (horizontally) block subspace of \mathfrak{X} is not an isomorphism.
- Since every horizontally block sequence in \mathfrak{X}_p is HI it is clear that the space that it generates is totally incomparable to ℓ_p and similarly every subspace of \mathfrak{X}_0 generated by a horizontally block subspace is totally incomparable to c_0 .
- Therefore, the bounded and linear operator acting on $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_p$ or \mathfrak{X}_0 satisfy the property stated on the above theorem.

- On the Gowers-Maurey space \mathfrak{X}_{gm} every bounded and linear operator is a strictly singular perturbation of a scalar multiple of the identity.
- An operator is **strictly singular** if its restriction to any subspace is not an isomorphism.
- The Gowers-Maurey HI-Schauder sum is an example of showing how the "external" norm upon a Schauder sum affects the structure of the space.

- On the Gowers-Maurey space \mathfrak{X}_{gm} every bounded and linear operator is a strictly singular perturbation of a scalar multiple of the identity.
- An operator is **strictly singular** if its restriction to any subspace is not an isomorphism.
- The Gowers-Maurey HI-Schauder sum is an example of showing how the "external" norm upon a Schauder sum affects the structure of the space.

- On the Gowers-Maurey space \mathfrak{X}_{gm} every bounded and linear operator is a strictly singular perturbation of a scalar multiple of the identity.
- An operator is **strictly singular** if its restriction to any subspace is not an isomorphism.
- The Gowers-Maurey HI-Schauder sum is an example of showing how the "external" norm upon a Schauder sum affects the structure of the space.

The Goal

- The main idea of this work is to construct for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a Banach space \mathcal{Z}^n that has exactly $n + 1$ complemented subspaces.
- We must mention that W.T. Gowers and B. Maurey proved a similar result using advanced tools, like K-Theory. In particular,
- W.T. Gowers and B. Maurey, *Math. Ann.*, 1997 For every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a Banach space X_p that admits exactly p , up to isomorphism, complemented subspaces.

The Goal

- The main idea of this work is to construct for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a Banach space \mathcal{Z}^n that has exactly $n + 1$ complemented subspaces.
- We must mention that W.T. Gowers and B. Maurey proved a similar result using advanced tools, like K-Theory. In particular,
- W.T. Gowers and B. Maurey, *Math. Ann.*, 1997 For every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a Banach space X_p that admits exactly p , up to isomorphism, complemented subspaces.

- The main idea of this work is to construct for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a Banach space \mathcal{Z}^n that has exactly $n + 1$ complemented subspaces.
- We must mention that W.T. Gowers and B. Maurey proved a similar result using advanced tools, like K-Theory. In particular,
- [W.T. Gowers and B. Maurey, Math. Ann., 1997](#) For every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a Banach space X_p that admits exactly p , up to isomorphism, complemented subspaces.

- We want to have a more straightforward approach, motivated by the Argyros Raikoftsalis result. Namely, the main idea is to construct for a given sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of separable Banach spaces, a Banach space \mathcal{Z} such that

- (1) $\mathcal{Z} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_n)_*$, where each Z_n is an augmentation of X_n .
- (2) For every bounded and linear operator T on \mathcal{Z} there exists a scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is a horizontally compact operator.

- We want to have a more straightforward approach, motivated by the Argyros Raikoftsalis result. Namely, the main idea is to construct for a given sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of separable Banach spaces, a Banach space \mathcal{Z} such that

- (1) $\mathcal{Z} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_n)_*$, where each Z_n is an augmentation of X_n .
- (2) For every bounded and linear operator T on \mathcal{Z} there exists a scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is a horizontally compact operator.

- We want to have a more straightforward approach, motivated by the Argyros Raikoftsalis result. Namely, the main idea is to construct for a given sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of separable Banach spaces, a Banach space \mathcal{Z} such that

- (1) $\mathcal{Z} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_n)_*$, where each Z_n is an augmentation of X_n .
- (2) For every bounded and linear operator T on \mathcal{Z} there exists a scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is a horizontally compact operator.

- A bounded and linear operator K on \mathcal{Z} is called **horizontally compact** if for every bounded block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} , with respect to $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\|K(z_n)\| \rightarrow 0$.
- Equivalently, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\|K \circ P_{(k_\varepsilon, \infty)}(x)\| < \varepsilon \|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{Z}$.
- The second condition that we want concerning the operators acting on \mathcal{Z} , is stronger than the corresponding of the Gowers-Maurey HI-Schauder sums.
- The finite powers of such a space \mathcal{Z} , for a specifically chosen sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ could satisfy the desired result.

- A bounded and linear operator K on \mathcal{Z} is called **horizontally compact** if for every bounded block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} , with respect to $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\|K(z_n)\| \rightarrow 0$.
- Equivalently, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\|K \circ P_{(k_\varepsilon, \infty)}(x)\| < \varepsilon \|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{Z}$.
- The second condition that we want concerning the operators acting on \mathcal{Z} , is stronger than the corresponding of the Gowers-Maurey HI-Schauder sums.
- The finite powers of such a space \mathcal{Z} , for a specifically chosen sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ could satisfy the desired result.

- A bounded and linear operator K on \mathcal{Z} is called **horizontally compact** if for every bounded block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} , with respect to $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\|K(z_n)\| \rightarrow 0$.
- Equivalently, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\|K \circ P_{(k_\varepsilon, \infty)}(x)\| < \varepsilon \|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{Z}$.
- The second condition that we want concerning the operators acting on \mathcal{Z} , is stronger than the corresponding of the Gowers-Maurey HI-Schauder sums.
- The finite powers of such a space \mathcal{Z} , for a specifically chosen sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ could satisfy the desired result.

- A bounded and linear operator K on \mathcal{Z} is called **horizontally compact** if for every bounded block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} , with respect to $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\|K(z_n)\| \rightarrow 0$.
- Equivalently, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\|K \circ P_{(k_\varepsilon, \infty)}(x)\| < \varepsilon \|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{Z}$.
- The second condition that we want concerning the operators acting on \mathcal{Z} , is stronger than the corresponding of the Gowers-Maurey HI-Schauder sums.
- The finite powers of such a space \mathcal{Z} , for a specifically chosen sequence $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ could satisfy the desired result.

- S.A. Argyros and R. Haydon using a BD-type method of construction proved the following result.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros-R.G. Haydon, Acta Math 2011)

There exists a hereditarily indecomposable Banach space \mathfrak{X}_k with the "scalar-plus-compact" property.

- We recall that a Banach space X has the "scalar-plus-compact" property if every linear and bounded operator T is a compact perturbation of a scalar multiple of the identity.

- S.A. Argyros and R. Haydon using a BD-type method of construction proved the following result.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros-R.G. Haydon, Acta Math 2011)

There exists a hereditarily indecomposable Banach space \mathfrak{X}_k with the "scalar-plus-compact" property.

- We recall that a Banach space X has the "scalar-plus-compact" property if every linear and bounded operator T is a compact perturbation of a scalar multiple of the identity.

- S.A. Argyros and R. Haydon using a BD-type method of construction proved the following result.

Theorem (S.A. Argyros-R.G. Haydon, Acta Math 2011)

There exists a hereditarily indecomposable Banach space \mathfrak{X}_k with the "scalar-plus-compact" property.

- We recall that a Banach space X has the "scalar-plus-compact" property if every linear and bounded operator T is a compact perturbation of a scalar multiple of the identity.

- The definition of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces uses the original BD- construction.
- Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. We say that a Banach space \mathcal{Z} is a BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -sum of $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if there exists a sequence $(\Delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite, pairwise disjoint subsets of \mathbb{N} and the following are satisfied:
- $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathfrak{X}_\infty = (\sum_{n=1}^\infty \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)))_\infty$.

- The definition of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces uses the original BD- construction.
- Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. We say that a Banach space \mathcal{Z} is a BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -sum of $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if there exists a sequence $(\Delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite, pairwise disjoint subsets of \mathbb{N} and the following are satisfied:
 - $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathfrak{X}_\infty = (\sum_{n=1}^\infty \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)))_\infty$.

- The definition of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces uses the original BD- construction.
- Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. We say that a Banach space \mathcal{Z} is a BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -sum of $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if there exists a sequence $(\Delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite, pairwise disjoint subsets of \mathbb{N} and the following are satisfied:
- $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathfrak{X}_\infty = (\sum_{n=1}^\infty \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)))_\infty$.

- There exists $C > 0$ and operators $i_k : \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ with the following properties:
 - $\|i_k\| \leq C$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - For every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$,
 - $P_{[1,k]} \circ i_k(x) = x$,
 - $P_{(k,\infty)} \circ i_k(x) \in \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)$.
 - $i_l(P_{[l,j]} \circ i_k(x)) = i_k(x)$, for every $l \geq k$.
- Setting $Y_k = i_k[\sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k}$.

- There exists $C > 0$ and operators $i_k : \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ with the following properties:
 - $\|i_k\| \leq C$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - For every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$,
 - $P_{[1,k]} \circ i_k(x) = x$,
 - $P_{(k,\infty)} \circ i_k(x) \in \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)$.
 - $i_l(P_{[l,j]} \circ i_k(x)) = i_k(x)$, for every $l \geq k$.
- Setting $Y_k = i_k[\sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k}$.

- There exists $C > 0$ and operators $i_k : \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ with the following properties:
 - $\|i_k\| \leq C$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - For every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$,
 - 1 $P_{[1,k]} \circ i_k(x) = x$,
 - 2 $P_{(k,\infty)} \circ i_k(x) \in \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)$.
 - 3 $i_l(P_{[1,l]} \circ i_k(x)) = i_k(x)$, for every $l \geq k$.
- Setting $Y_k = i_k[\sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k}$.

- There exists $C > 0$ and operators $i_k : \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ with the following properties:
 - $\|i_k\| \leq C$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - For every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$,
 - 1 $P_{[1,k]} \circ i_k(x) = x$,
 - 2 $P_{(k,\infty)} \circ i_k(x) \in \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)$.
 - 3 $i_l(P_{[1,l]} \circ i_k(x)) = i_k(x)$, for every $l \geq k$.
- Setting $Y_k = i_k[\sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k}$.

- There exists $C > 0$ and operators $i_k : \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ with the following properties:
 - $\|i_k\| \leq C$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - For every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$,
 - 1 $P_{[1,k]} \circ i_k(x) = x$,
 - 2 $P_{(k,\infty)} \circ i_k(x) \in \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)$.
 - 3 $i_l(P_{[1,l]} \circ i_k(x)) = i_k(x)$, for every $l \geq k$.
- Setting $Y_k = i_k[\sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k}$.

- There exists $C > 0$ and operators $i_k : \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ with the following properties:
 - $\|i_k\| \leq C$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - For every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$,
 - ① $P_{[1,k]} \circ i_k(x) = x$,
 - ② $P_{(k,\infty)} \circ i_k(x) \in \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)$.
 - ③ $i_l(P_{[1,l]} \circ i_k(x)) = i_k(x)$, for every $l \geq k$.
- Setting $Y_k = i_k[\sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k}$.

- There exists $C > 0$ and operators $i_k : \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ with the following properties:
 - $\|i_k\| \leq C$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - For every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$,
 - 1 $P_{[1,k]} \circ i_k(x) = x$,
 - 2 $P_{(k,\infty)} \circ i_k(x) \in \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)$.
 - 3 $i_l(P_{[1,l]} \circ i_k(x)) = i_k(x)$, for every $l \geq k$.
- Setting $Y_k = i_k[\sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k}$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- We briefly describe how we can obtain a BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum.
- Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces.
- As in the Bourgain-Delbaen space, we start by fixing two constants $0 < a \leq 1$ and $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$.
- We choose $D_n = \{d_{n,1}^*, d_{n,2}^*, \dots, d_{n,k}^*, \dots\}$ a w^* dense subset of $B_{X_n^*}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote by $D_{n,k}$ the first k -terms of D_n .

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- We briefly describe how we can obtain a BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum.
- Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces.
- As in the Bourgain-Delbaen space, we start by fixing two constants $0 < a \leq 1$ and $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$.
- We choose $D_n = \{d_{n,1}^*, d_{n,2}^*, \dots, d_{n,k}^*, \dots\}$ a w^* dense subset of $B_{X_n^*}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote by $D_{n,k}$ the first k -terms of D_n .

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- We briefly describe how we can obtain a BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum.
- Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces.
- As in the Bourgain-Delbaen space, we start by fixing two constants $0 < a \leq 1$ and $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$.
- We choose $D_n = \{d_{n,1}^*, d_{n,2}^*, \dots, d_{n,k}^*, \dots\}$ a w^* dense subset of $B_{X_n^*}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote by $D_{n,k}$ the first k -terms of D_n .

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- We briefly describe how we can obtain a BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum.
- Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces.
- As in the Bourgain-Delbaen space, we start by fixing two constants $0 < a \leq 1$ and $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$.
- We choose $D_n = \{d_{n,1}^*, d_{n,2}^*, \dots, d_{n,k}^*, \dots\}$ a w^* dense subset of $B_{X_n^*}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote by $D_{n,k}$ the first k -terms of D_n .

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- The sets $(\Delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are defined recursively following the BD-method.
- Each element $\gamma \in \Delta_k$ is determined by a functional $c_\gamma^* : (\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)))_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- We set $\Gamma = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_n$ and $\Gamma_k = \cup_{n=1}^k \Delta_n$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- The sets $(\Delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are defined recursively following the BD-method.
- Each element $\gamma \in \Delta_k$ is determined by a functional $c_\gamma^* : (\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)))_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- We set $\Gamma = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_n$ and $\Gamma_k = \cup_{n=1}^k \Delta_n$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- The sets $(\Delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are defined recursively following the BD-method.
- Each element $\gamma \in \Delta_k$ is determined by a functional $c_\gamma^* : (\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)))_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- We set $\Gamma = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_n$ and $\Gamma_k = \cup_{n=1}^k \Delta_n$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- For every $l \leq k$ we define linear operators $i_{l,k} : \sum_{n=1}^l \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$ such that:
 - $i_{l,k} = i_{l,m} \circ i_{m,k}$ for every $l \leq m \leq k$ and
 - $i_{k-1,k}(x) = x$ for every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \oplus X_n$, while
 - $i_{k-1,k}(x)(\gamma) = \begin{cases} x(\gamma), & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_{k-1} \\ c_\gamma^*(x), & \text{if } \gamma \in \Delta_k \end{cases}$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- For every $l \leq k$ we define linear operators
 $i_{l,k} : \sum_{n=1}^l \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$
such that:
- $i_{l,k} = i_{l,m} \circ i_{m,k}$ for every $l \leq m \leq k$ and
- $i_{k-1,k}(x) = x$ for every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \oplus X_n$, while
- $i_{k-1,k}(x)(\gamma) = \begin{cases} x(\gamma), & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_{k-1} \\ c_\gamma^*(x), & \text{if } \gamma \in \Delta_k \end{cases}$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- For every $l \leq k$ we define linear operators
 $i_{l,k} : \sum_{n=1}^l \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$
such that:
- $i_{l,k} = i_{l,m} \circ i_{m,k}$ for every $l \leq m \leq k$ and
- $i_{k-1,k}(x) = x$ for every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \oplus X_n$, while
- $i_{k-1,k}(x)(\gamma) = \begin{cases} x(\gamma), & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_{k-1} \\ c_\gamma^*(x), & \text{if } \gamma \in \Delta_k \end{cases}$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- For every $l \leq k$ we define linear operators
 $i_{l,k} : \sum_{n=1}^l \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$
such that:
- $i_{l,k} = i_{l,m} \circ i_{m,k}$ for every $l \leq m \leq k$ and
- $i_{k-1,k}(x) = x$ for every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \oplus X_n$, while
- $i_{k-1,k}(x)(\gamma) = \begin{cases} x(\gamma), & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_{k-1} \\ c_\gamma^*(x), & \text{if } \gamma \in \Delta_k \end{cases}$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- Each Δ_k is the union of two finite pairwise disjoint subsets of \mathbb{N} , $\Delta_k = \Delta_k^0 \cup \Delta_k^1$.
- Assuming that $(\Delta_l)_{l \leq k}$ are defined, we determine the set Δ_{k+1} as follows:
- For every $\gamma \in \Delta_{k+1}^0$, there exists $d^* \in \cup_{l=1}^{k+1} D_{l,k}$ such that $c_\gamma^*(x) = d^*(x)$ for every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$.
- For $\gamma \in \Delta_{k+1}^1$, and $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$ $c_\gamma^*(x) = ax(\eta) + b(x(\xi) - i_{l,k} P_{[1,l]} x(\xi))$, where $\eta \in \Gamma_l$ and $\xi \in \Gamma_k \setminus \Gamma_l$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- Each Δ_k is the union of two finite pairwise disjoint subsets of \mathbb{N} , $\Delta_k = \Delta_k^0 \cup \Delta_k^1$.
- Assuming that $(\Delta_l)_{l \leq k}$ are defined, we determine the set Δ_{k+1} as follows:
- For every $\gamma \in \Delta_{k+1}^0$, there exists $d^* \in \cup_{l=1}^{k+1} D_{l,k}$ such that $c_\gamma^*(x) = d^*(x)$ for every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$.
- For $\gamma \in \Delta_{k+1}^1$, and $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$ $c_\gamma^*(x) = ax(\eta) + b(x(\xi) - i_{l,k} P_{[1,l]} x(\xi))$, where $\eta \in \Gamma_l$ and $\xi \in \Gamma_k \setminus \Gamma_l$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- Each Δ_k is the union of two finite pairwise disjoint subsets of \mathbb{N} , $\Delta_k = \Delta_k^0 \cup \Delta_k^1$.
- Assuming that $(\Delta_l)_{l \leq k}$ are defined, we determine the set Δ_{k+1} as follows:
- For every $\gamma \in \Delta_{k+1}^0$, there exists $d^* \in \cup_{l=1}^{k+1} D_{l,k}$ such that $c_\gamma^*(x) = d^*(x)$ for every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$.
- For $\gamma \in \Delta_{k+1}^1$, and $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$
 $c_\gamma^*(x) = ax(\eta) + b(x(\xi) - i_{l,k} P_{[1,l]} x(\xi))$, where $\eta \in \Gamma_l$ and $\xi \in \Gamma_k \setminus \Gamma_l$.

The construction of BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ -Sums of Banach spaces

- Each Δ_k is the union of two finite pairwise disjoint subsets of \mathbb{N} , $\Delta_k = \Delta_k^0 \cup \Delta_k^1$.
- Assuming that $(\Delta_l)_{l \leq k}$ are defined, we determine the set Δ_{k+1} as follows:
- For every $\gamma \in \Delta_{k+1}^0$, there exists $d^* \in \cup_{l=1}^{k+1} D_{l,k}$ such that $c_\gamma^*(x) = d^*(x)$ for every $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$.
- For $\gamma \in \Delta_{k+1}^1$, and $x \in \sum_{n=1}^k \oplus (X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n))$ $c_\gamma^*(x) = ax(\eta) + b(x(\xi) - i_{l,k} P_{[1,l]} x(\xi))$, where $\eta \in \Gamma_l$ and $\xi \in \Gamma_k \setminus \Gamma_l$.

- The BD- method yields that $i_{k,m}$ are uniformly bounded by a constant $C > 0$ and therefore we can define
$$i_k = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} i_{k,m}.$$
- The operators i_k are uniformly bounded and setting $Z_n = i_n[X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)]$ we have that $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decomposition of the space.

- The BD- method yields that $i_{k,m}$ are uniformly bounded by a constant $C > 0$ and therefore we can define
$$i_k = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} i_{k,m}.$$
- The operators i_k are uniformly bounded and setting $Z_n = i_n[X_n \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_n)]$ we have that $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decomposition of the space.

- Using the Argyros-Haydon BD-type of construction in the above concept, we prove the following

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then there exists a Banach space \mathcal{Z} with the following properties:

\mathcal{Z} is the BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

\mathcal{Z} admits a shrinking Schauder decomposition, $\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_k$.

Every horizontally block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.

\mathcal{Z}^ may be identified with $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_n^*)_1$.*

- Using the Argyros-Haydon BD-type of construction in the above concept, we prove the following

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then there exists a Banach space \mathcal{Z} with the following properties:

\mathcal{Z} is the BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

\mathcal{Z} admits a shrinking Schauder decomposition, $\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_k$.

Every horizontally block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.

\mathcal{Z}^ may be identified with $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_n^*)_1$.*

- Using the Argyros-Haydon BD-type of construction in the above concept, we prove the following

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then there exists a Banach space \mathcal{Z} with the following properties:

\mathcal{Z} is the BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

\mathcal{Z} admits a shrinking Schauder decomposition, $\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_k$.

Every horizontally block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.

\mathcal{Z}^ may be identified with $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_n^*)_1$.*

- Using the Argyros-Haydon BD-type of construction in the above concept, we prove the following

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then there exists a Banach space \mathcal{Z} with the following properties:

\mathcal{Z} is the BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

\mathcal{Z} admits a shrinking Schauder decomposition, $\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_k$.

Every horizontally block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.

\mathcal{Z}^ may be identified with $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_n^*)_1$.*

- Using the Argyros-Haydon BD-type of construction in the above concept, we prove the following

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then there exists a Banach space \mathcal{Z} with the following properties:

\mathcal{Z} is the BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

\mathcal{Z} admits a shrinking Schauder decomposition, $\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_k$.

Every horizontally block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.

\mathcal{Z}^ may be identified with $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_n^*)_1$.*

- Using the Argyros-Haydon BD-type of construction in the above concept, we prove the following

Theorem

Let $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable Banach spaces. Then there exists a Banach space \mathcal{Z} with the following properties:

\mathcal{Z} is the BD- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of $(X_n, \|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

\mathcal{Z} admits a shrinking Schauder decomposition, $\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_k$.

Every horizontally block sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates an HI subspace.

\mathcal{Z}^ may be identified with $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_n^*)_1$.*

- The properties of \mathcal{Z} are strongly based on the existence of special features that are preserved by the Argyros-Haydon HI method of construction.
- We denote by \mathcal{Z}_p (resp. \mathcal{Z}_0) the AH- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of the corresponding ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- Then, $\mathcal{Z}_p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_k$, where $Z_k = i_n[\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k)]$.
- Each Z_k is isomorphic to $(\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k))_\infty$ which is C_k -isomorphic to ℓ_p with $C_k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we cannot have an isometry.
- For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_p) \simeq \ell_p$ and $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_0) \simeq c_0$.

- The properties of \mathcal{Z} are strongly based on the existence of special features that are preserved by the Argyros-Haydon HI method of construction.
- We denote by \mathcal{Z}_p (resp. \mathcal{Z}_0) the AH- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of the corresponding ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- Then, $\mathcal{Z}_p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_k$, where $Z_k = i_n[\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k)]$.
- Each Z_k is isomorphic to $(\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k))_\infty$ which is C_k -isomorphic to ℓ_p with $C_k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we cannot have an isometry.
- For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_p) \simeq \ell_p$ and $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_0) \simeq c_0$.

- The properties of \mathcal{Z} are strongly based on the existence of special features that are preserved by the Argyros-Haydon HI method of construction.
- We denote by \mathcal{Z}_p (resp. \mathcal{Z}_0) the AH- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of the corresponding ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- Then, $\mathcal{Z}_p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_k$, where $Z_k = i_n[\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k)]$.
- Each Z_k is isomorphic to $(\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k))_\infty$ which is C_k -isomorphic to ℓ_p with $C_k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we cannot have an isometry.
- For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_p) \simeq \ell_p$ and $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_0) \simeq c_0$.

- The properties of \mathcal{Z} are strongly based on the existence of special features that are preserved by the Argyros-Haydon HI method of construction.
- We denote by \mathcal{Z}_p (resp. \mathcal{Z}_0) the AH- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of the corresponding ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- Then, $\mathcal{Z}_p = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \oplus Z_k$, where $Z_k = i_n[\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k)]$.
- Each Z_k is isomorphic to $(\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k))_\infty$ which is C_k -isomorphic to ℓ_p with $C_k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we cannot have an isometry.
- For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_p) \simeq \ell_p$ and $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_0) \simeq c_0$.

- The properties of \mathcal{Z} are strongly based on the existence of special features that are preserved by the Argyros-Haydon HI method of construction.
- We denote by \mathcal{Z}_p (resp. \mathcal{Z}_0) the AH- \mathcal{L}^∞ sum of the corresponding ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- Then, $\mathcal{Z}_p = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \oplus Z_k$, where $Z_k = i_n[\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k)]$.
- Each Z_k is isomorphic to $(\ell_p \oplus \ell^\infty(\Delta_k))_\infty$ which is C_k -isomorphic to ℓ_p with $C_k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we cannot have an isometry.
- For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_p) \simeq \ell_p$ and $P_{[1,k]}(\mathcal{Z}_0) \simeq c_0$.

- The following are proved:
- For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ the space \mathcal{Z}_p is strictly quasi prime and admits ℓ_p as a complemented subspace.
- The space \mathcal{Z}_0 is strictly quasi prime containing c_0 as a complemented subspace.
- Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 . Then, for every bounded and linear operator T on \mathcal{Z} , there exists scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally compact.

- The following are proved:
- For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ the space \mathcal{Z}_p is strictly quasi prime and admits ℓ_p as a complemented subspace.
- The space \mathcal{Z}_0 is strictly quasi prime containing c_0 as a complemented subspace.
- Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 . Then, for every bounded and linear operator T on \mathcal{Z} , there exists scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally compact.

- The following are proved:
- For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ the space \mathcal{Z}_p is strictly quasi prime and admits ℓ_p as a complemented subspace.
- The space \mathcal{Z}_0 is strictly quasi prime containing c_0 as a complemented subspace.
- Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 . Then, for every bounded and linear operator T on \mathcal{Z} , there exists scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally compact.

- The following are proved:
- For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ the space \mathcal{Z}_p is strictly quasi prime and admits ℓ_p as a complemented subspace.
- The space \mathcal{Z}_0 is strictly quasi prime containing c_0 as a complemented subspace.
- Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 . Then, for every bounded and linear operator T on \mathcal{Z} , there exists scalar λ such that $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally compact.

- In terms of studying the operators acting on \mathcal{Z}_p and \mathcal{Z}_0 we use a special type of block sequences, the **Rapidly Increasing sequences** (RIS). Following the AH -method of construction we prove the following:
- Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 .
- Let Y is a Banach space and $T : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded and linear operator such that $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every bounded (horizontally) block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} .
- If $T : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is a linear and bounded operator, then $\text{dist}(Tx_n, \mathbb{R}x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} .

- In terms of studying the operators acting on \mathcal{Z}_p and \mathcal{Z}_0 we use a special type of block sequences, the **Rapidly Increasing sequences** (RIS). Following the AH -method of construction we prove the following:
- Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 .
- Let Y is a Banach space and $T : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded and linear operator such that $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every bounded (horizontally) block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} .
- If $T : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is a linear and bounded operator, then $\text{dist}(Tx_n, \mathbb{R}x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} .

- In terms of studying the operators acting on \mathcal{Z}_p and \mathcal{Z}_0 we use a special type of block sequences, the **Rapidly Increasing sequences** (RIS). Following the AH -method of construction we prove the following:
- Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 .
- Let Y is a Banach space and $T : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded and linear operator such that $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every bounded (horizontally) block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} .
- If $T : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is a linear and bounded operator, then $\text{dist}(Tx_n, \mathbb{R}x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} .

- In terms of studying the operators acting on \mathcal{Z}_p and \mathcal{Z}_0 we use a special type of block sequences, the **Rapidly Increasing sequences** (RIS). Following the AH -method of construction we prove the following:
- Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 .
- Let Y is a Banach space and $T : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded and linear operator such that $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $\|T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every bounded (horizontally) block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} .
- If $T : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is a linear and bounded operator, then $\text{dist}(Tx_n, \mathbb{R}x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{Z} .

- Therefore, for a given RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, there exist a sequence of scalars (λ_n) such that $\|Tx_n - \lambda_n x_n\| \rightarrow 0$.
- It is proved easily that the scalars λ_n converge to a scalar λ that does not depend to the initially chosen RIS.
- By the above, $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally compact.

- Therefore, for a given RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, there exist a sequence of scalars (λ_n) such that $\|Tx_n - \lambda_n x_n\| \rightarrow 0$.
- It is proved easily that the scalars λ_n converge to a scalar λ that does not depend to the initially chosen RIS.
- By the above, $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally compact.

- Therefore, for a given RIS $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, there exist a sequence of scalars (λ_n) such that $\|Tx_n - \lambda_n x_n\| \rightarrow 0$.
- It is proved easily that the scalars λ_n converge to a scalar λ that does not depend to the initially chosen RIS.
- By the above, $T - \lambda I$ is horizontally compact.

The Strictly Quasi Prime spaces Z_p, Z_0

- In order to show that Z_p and Z_0 is strictly quasi prime we use arguments of the Argyros Raikoftsalis work.
- Next we describe the basic steps in the case of Z_p . (Similarly for Z_0^n).
- Assuming that $Z_p = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then either Y_1 or Y_2 does not contain an HI subspace.
- If Y_1 is such a subspace we prove that Y_1 is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $P_{[1,k_0]}[Z_p]$ and
- ℓ_p is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Y_2 .
- Since $P_{[1,k_0]}[Z_p]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_p we conclude that $Y_1 \simeq \ell_p$ and $Y_2 \simeq \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus Y_2 \simeq Z_p$.

The Strictly Quasi Prime spaces Z_p, Z_0

- In order to show that Z_p and Z_0 is strictly quasi prime we use arguments of the Argyros Raikoftsalis work.
- Next we describe the basic steps in the case of Z_p . (Similarly for Z_0^n).
- Assuming that $Z_p = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then either Y_1 or Y_2 does not contain an HI subspace.
- If Y_1 is such a subspace we prove that Y_1 is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $P_{[1,k_0]}[Z_p]$ and
- ℓ_p is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Y_2 .
- Since $P_{[1,k_0]}[Z_p]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_p we conclude that $Y_1 \simeq \ell_p$ and $Y_2 \simeq \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus Y_2 \simeq Z_p$.

The Strictly Quasi Prime spaces $\mathcal{Z}_p, \mathcal{Z}_0$

- In order to show that \mathcal{Z}_p and \mathcal{Z}_0 is strictly quasi prime we use arguments of the Argyros Raikoftsalis work.
- Next we describe the basic steps in the case of \mathcal{Z}_p . (Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_0^n).
- Assuming that $\mathcal{Z}_p = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then either Y_1 or Y_2 does not contain an HI subspace.
- If Y_1 is such a subspace we prove that Y_1 is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $P_{[1,k_0]}[\mathcal{Z}_p]$ and
- ℓ_p is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Y_2 .
- Since $P_{[1,k_0]}[\mathcal{Z}_p]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_p we conclude that $Y_1 \simeq \ell_p$ and $Y_2 \simeq \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus Y_2 \simeq \mathcal{Z}_p$.

The Strictly Quasi Prime spaces Z_p, Z_0

- In order to show that Z_p and Z_0 is strictly quasi prime we use arguments of the Argyros Raikoftsalis work.
- Next we describe the basic steps in the case of Z_p . (Similarly for Z_0^n).
- Assuming that $Z_p = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then either Y_1 or Y_2 does not contain an HI subspace.
- If Y_1 is such a subspace we prove that Y_1 is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $P_{[1,k_0]}[Z_p]$ and
- ℓ_p is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Y_2 .
- Since $P_{[1,k_0]}[Z_p]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_p we conclude that $Y_1 \simeq \ell_p$ and $Y_2 \simeq \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus Y_2 \simeq Z_p$.

The Strictly Quasi Prime spaces $\mathcal{Z}_p, \mathcal{Z}_0$

- In order to show that \mathcal{Z}_p and \mathcal{Z}_0 is strictly quasi prime we use arguments of the Argyros Raikoftsalis work.
- Next we describe the basic steps in the case of \mathcal{Z}_p . (Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_0^n).
- Assuming that $\mathcal{Z}_p = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then either Y_1 or Y_2 does not contain an HI subspace.
- If Y_1 is such a subspace we prove that Y_1 is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $P_{[1,k_0]}[\mathcal{Z}_p]$ and
- ℓ_p is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Y_2 .
- Since $P_{[1,k_0]}[\mathcal{Z}_p]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_p we conclude that $Y_1 \simeq \ell_p$ and $Y_2 \simeq \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus Y_2 \simeq \mathcal{Z}_p$.

The Strictly Quasi Prime spaces $\mathcal{Z}_p, \mathcal{Z}_0$

- In order to show that \mathcal{Z}_p and \mathcal{Z}_0 is strictly quasi prime we use arguments of the Argyros Raikoftsalis work.
- Next we describe the basic steps in the case of \mathcal{Z}_p . (Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_0^n).
- Assuming that $\mathcal{Z}_p = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$, then either Y_1 or Y_2 does not contain an HI subspace.
- If Y_1 is such a subspace we prove that Y_1 is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $P_{[1,k_0]}[\mathcal{Z}_p]$ and
- ℓ_p is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Y_2 .
- Since $P_{[1,k_0]}[\mathcal{Z}_p]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_p we conclude that $Y_1 \simeq \ell_p$ and $Y_2 \simeq \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus \ell_p \oplus W \simeq \ell_p \oplus Y_2 \simeq \mathcal{Z}_p$.

The Main Result

- Studying the finite powers of $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 we prove

Theorem

The space $\mathcal{Z}^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ endowed with the external supremum norm, we prove admits $n + 1$ - pairwise not isomorphic complemented subspaces.

- As in the Argyros- Raikoftsalis construction, we already have that \mathcal{Z}^n is not isomorphic to \mathcal{Z}^m for every $n \neq m$ which implies that \mathcal{Z}^n has at least $n + 1$, pairwise not isomorphic complemented subspaces.

- Studying the finite powers of $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 we prove

Theorem

The space $\mathcal{Z}^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ endowed with the external supremum norm, we prove admits $n + 1$ - pairwise not isomorphic complemented subspaces.

- As in the Argyros- Raikoftsalis construction, we already have that \mathcal{Z}^n is not isomorphic to \mathcal{Z}^m for every $n \neq m$ which implies that \mathcal{Z}^n has at least $n + 1$, pairwise not isomorphic complemented subspaces.

- Studying the finite powers of $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_p$ or \mathcal{Z}_0 we prove

Theorem

The space $\mathcal{Z}^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ endowed with the external supremum norm, we prove admits $n + 1$ - pairwise not isomorphic complemented subspaces.

- As in the Argyros- Raikoftsalis construction, we already have that \mathcal{Z}^n is not isomorphic to \mathcal{Z}^m for every $n \neq m$ which implies that \mathcal{Z}^n has at least $n + 1$, pairwise not isomorphic complemented subspaces.

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- Since Z_p and Z_0 are strictly quasi prime we have that $Z_p^n \simeq \ell_p \oplus Z_p^n$ and similarly $Z_0^n \simeq \ell_p \oplus Z_0^n$.
- Therefore, we are interested for the non trivial complemented subspaces of Z_p^n (resp. Z_0^n) that are not isomorphic to ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- We prove that if W is a complemented subspace of Z_p^n (resp. Z_0^n) that is not isomorphic to ℓ_p (resp. c_0). Then, there exists a non empty set $L \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that W is isomorphic to $\sum_{i \in L} \oplus Z_p(i)$.
- We give a small description of the proof, in the case of Z_p^n (similarly for Z_0^n).

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- Since Z_p and Z_0 are strictly quasi prime we have that $Z_p^n \simeq \ell_p \oplus Z_p^n$ and similarly $Z_0^n \simeq \ell_p \oplus Z_0^n$.
- Therefore, we are interested for the non trivial complemented subspaces of Z_p^n (resp. Z_0^n) that are not isomorphic to ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- We prove that if W is a complemented subspace of Z_p^n (resp. Z_0^n) that is not isomorphic to ℓ_p (resp. c_0). Then, there exists a non empty set $L \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that W is isomorphic to $\sum_{i \in L} \oplus Z_p(i)$.
- We give a small description of the proof, in the case of Z_p^n (similarly for Z_0^n).

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- Since Z_p and Z_0 are strictly quasi prime we have that $Z_p^n \simeq \ell_p \oplus Z_p^n$ and similarly $Z_0^n \simeq \ell_p \oplus Z_0^n$.
- Therefore, we are interested for the non trivial complemented subspaces of Z_p^n (resp. Z_0^n) that are not isomorphic to ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- We prove that if W is a complemented subspace of Z_p^n (resp. Z_0^n) that is not isomorphic to ℓ_p (resp. c_0). Then, there exists a non empty set $L \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that W is isomorphic to $\sum_{i \in L} \oplus Z_p(i)$.
- We give a small description of the proof, in the case of Z_p^n (similarly for Z_0^n).

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- Since Z_p and Z_0 are strictly quasi prime we have that $Z_p^n \simeq \ell_p \oplus Z_p^n$ and similarly $Z_0^n \simeq \ell_p \oplus Z_0^n$.
- Therefore, we are interested for the non trivial complemented subspaces of Z_p^n (resp. Z_0^n) that are not isomorphic to ℓ_p (resp. c_0).
- We prove that if W is a complemented subspace of Z_p^n (resp. Z_0^n) that is not isomorphic to ℓ_p (resp. c_0). Then, there exists a non empty set $L \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that W is isomorphic to $\sum_{i \in L} \oplus Z_p(i)$.
- We give a small description of the proof, in the case of Z_p^n (similarly for Z_0^n).

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- Let $P : Z_p^n \rightarrow Z_p^n$ such that $W = P[Z_p^n]$. Then, P can be written into the form $P = (\lambda_{i,j}I_{i,j} + K_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$, for some scalars $\lambda_{i,j}$ and horizontally compact operators $K_{i,j} : Z_{(j)} \rightarrow Z_{(i)}$.
- We prove that the matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is a projection on \mathbb{R}^n and let $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an invertible matrix of the form $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ such that $A\Lambda A^{-1} = (\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ with $\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 0 \text{ or } 1, & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$
- Considering the invertible operator $\tilde{A} = (a_{i,j}I_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ on Z_p^n , we set $\tilde{P} = \tilde{A}P\tilde{A}^{-1}$ and the following hold:

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- Let $P : Z_p^n \rightarrow Z_p^n$ such that $W = P[Z_p^n]$. Then, P can be written into the form $P = (\lambda_{i,j}I_{i,j} + K_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$, for some scalars $\lambda_{i,j}$ and horizontally compact operators $K_{i,j} : Z_{(j)} \rightarrow Z_{(i)}$.
- We prove that the matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is a projection on \mathbb{R}^n and let $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an invertible matrix of the form $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ such that $A\Lambda A^{-1} = (\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ with $\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 0 \text{ or } 1, & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$
- Considering the invertible operator $\tilde{A} = (a_{i,j}I_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ on Z_p^n , we set $\tilde{P} = \tilde{A}P\tilde{A}^{-1}$ and the following hold:

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- Let $P : Z_p^n \rightarrow Z_p^n$ such that $W = P[Z_p^n]$. Then, P can be written into the form $P = (\lambda_{i,j}I_{i,j} + K_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$, for some scalars $\lambda_{i,j}$ and horizontally compact operators $K_{i,j} : Z_{(j)} \rightarrow Z_{(i)}$.
- We prove that the matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is a projection on \mathbb{R}^n and let $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an invertible matrix of the form $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ such that $A\Lambda A^{-1} = (\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ with $\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 0 \text{ or } 1, & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$
- Considering the invertible operator $\tilde{A} = (a_{i,j}I_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ on Z_p^n , we set $\tilde{P} = \tilde{A}P\tilde{A}^{-1}$ and the following hold:

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- \tilde{P} is a projection on Z_p^n , $W \simeq \tilde{P}[Z_p^n]$ and $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j}I_{i,j} + \tilde{K}_{i,j})_{i,j}$, where $\tilde{K}_{i,j} : Z_{p(j)} \rightarrow Z_{p(i)}$ remain horizontally compact.
- Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\tilde{K}_{i,j} \circ P_{(k_\varepsilon, \infty)}|_{Z_{p(j)}}\| < \varepsilon$ for every i, j .
- Setting $L = \{i : \tilde{\lambda}_{i,i} \neq 0\}$, we show that $L \neq \emptyset$ and $W \simeq (\sum_{i \in L} \oplus Z_p) \oplus Y$, where $Y \simeq \ell_p$.
- Since $Z_p \simeq Z_p \oplus \ell_p$, the result follows.

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- \tilde{P} is a projection on Z_p^n , $W \simeq \tilde{P}[Z_p^n]$ and $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j}I_{i,j} + \tilde{K}_{i,j})_{i,j}$, where $\tilde{K}_{i,j} : Z_{p(j)} \rightarrow Z_{p(i)}$ remain horizontally compact.
- Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\tilde{K}_{i,j} \circ P_{(k_\varepsilon, \infty)}|_{Z_{p(j)}}\| < \varepsilon$ for every i, j .
- Setting $L = \{i : \tilde{\lambda}_{i,i} \neq 0\}$, we show that $L \neq \emptyset$ and $W \simeq (\sum_{i \in L} \oplus Z_p) \oplus Y$, where $Y \simeq \ell_p$.
- Since $Z_p \simeq Z_p \oplus \ell_p$, the result follows.

Complemented subspaces of Z_p^n

- \tilde{P} is a projection on Z_p^n , $W \simeq \tilde{P}[Z_p^n]$ and $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j}I_{i,j} + \tilde{K}_{i,j})_{i,j}$, where $\tilde{K}_{i,j} : Z_{p(j)} \rightarrow Z_{p(i)}$ remain horizontally compact.
- Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\tilde{K}_{i,j} \circ P_{(k_\varepsilon, \infty)}|_{Z_{p(j)}}\| < \varepsilon$ for every i, j .
- Setting $L = \{i : \tilde{\lambda}_{i,i} \neq 0\}$, we show that $L \neq \emptyset$ and $W \simeq (\sum_{i \in L} \oplus Z_p) \oplus Y$, where $Y \simeq \ell_p$.
- Since $Z_p \simeq Z_p \oplus \ell_p$, the result follows.

- \tilde{P} is a projection on Z_p^n , $W \simeq \tilde{P}[Z_p^n]$ and $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{\lambda}_{i,j}I_{i,j} + \tilde{K}_{i,j})_{i,j}$, where $\tilde{K}_{i,j} : Z_{p(j)} \rightarrow Z_{p(i)}$ remain horizontally compact.
- Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\tilde{K}_{i,j} \circ P_{(k_\varepsilon, \infty)}|_{Z_{p(j)}}\| < \varepsilon$ for every i, j .
- Setting $L = \{i : \tilde{\lambda}_{i,i} \neq 0\}$, we show that $L \neq \emptyset$ and $W \simeq (\sum_{i \in L} \oplus Z_p) \oplus Y$, where $Y \simeq \ell_p$.
- Since $Z_p \simeq Z_p \oplus \ell_p$, the result follows.

Thank You!