Analysis of Uncertain Dynamical Network Models

R.D. Berry¹ H.N. Najm¹ B. Sonday¹ B.J. Debusschere¹ H. Adalsteinsson¹ Y.M. Marzouk²

¹Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, CA

²Mass. Inst. of Tech., Cambridge, MA

Stochastic Multiscale Methods: Bridging the Gap Between Mathematical Analysis and Scientific and Engineering Applications

> BANF International Research Station, BANF, Canada March 27 – April 1, 2011

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > <</p>

Acknowledgement

R.G. Ghanem — Univ. Southern California, Los Angeles, CA O.M. Knio — Johns Hopkins Univ., Baltimore, MD

This work was supported by:

- The US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR), Applied Mathematics program, 2009 American Recovery and Reinvesment Act.
- The DOE Office of Basic Energy Sciences (BES) Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences.
- BS acknowledges DOE Computational Science Graduate Fellowhip support, which is provided under grant number DE-FG02-97ER25308.

Sandia National Laboratories is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy under contract DE-AC04-94-AL85000.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Outline





- 2 Dynamical Analysis for Model Reduction
- 3 Data Free Inference



< /₽ > <

Motivation

- Many physical systems are governed by network models
 - Electric grids
 - Biochemical/chemical models
 - Internet, communication networks, ...
- Resulting models are complex
 - Large number of governing equations (dimension *n*)
 - Large number of connections/reactions
 - Strong non-linearity ODEs/DAEs
 - Large range of time scales stiffness
- Need for analysis and model reduction methods
 - Krylov projection methods
 - Methods based on dynamical analysis
 - Automated identification of slow manifolds

> < 同 > < 回 > <</p>

Uncertainty in Network Models

- Network ODE models typically rely on empirically-based parameters/inputs
 - Uncertain parameters/inputs
 - Uncertain network structure
- Need for dynamical analysis methods that
 - Can handle uncertainty
 - Provide model reduction with quantified fidelity
 - accounting for uncertainty
- Uncertain ODE systems, $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x; \lambda)$$
$$x(0) = x_0$$

UQ Challenges in complex Network models

- Bifurcations
 - Transitions between operating regimes, switching
 - Instability; Ignition
 - ⇒ MC; Smooth observables; Multi-element local PC methods
- Phase error growth and oscillatory dynamics
 - Uncertain dynamics over long time horizons
 - ⇒ MC; Smooth observables; Time-shifting
- High Dimensionality
 - Large number of uncertain parameters or degrees of freedom
 - ⇒ MC; Non-intrusive Sparse-Quadrature; Adaptive bases

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Deterministic Nonlinear ODE System Analysis

- Computational Singular Perturbation (CSP) analysis
- Jacobian eigenvalues provide first-order estimates of the time-scales of system dynamics: τ_i ~ 1/λ_i
- Jacobian right/left eigenvectors provide first-order estimates of the CSP vectors/covectors that define decoupled fast/slow subspaces
- With chosen thresholds, have *M* "fast" modes
 - M algebraic constraints define a slow manifold
 - Fast processes constrain the system to the manifold
 - System evolves with slow processes along the manifold
- CSP *time-scale-aware* Importance indices provide means for elimination of "unimportant" network nodes and connections for a selected observable

Analysis of Uncertain ODE Systems

- Handle uncertainties using probability theory
- Every random instance of the uncertain inputs provides a "sample" ODE system
 - Uncertainties in fast subspace lead to uncertainty in manifold geometry
 - Uncertainties in slow subspace lead to uncertain slow time dynamics
- One can analyze/reduce each system realization
 - Statistics of $x(t; \lambda)$ trajectories
- This can be expensive!
- Explore alternate means

Spectral Stochastic Representations

Let (Ω, σ, ρ) be a probability space. Let $\xi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be an L^2 RV. Let $(\Xi, s, \mu) = \xi_{\sharp}(\Omega, \sigma, \rho)$. Let $\{\varphi_{\alpha}(\xi) : \alpha = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Xi)$. Let $X : A \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be an $L^2(\Omega)$ *A*-process. Its closest representative in $L^2(\Xi)$ is

$$X(a,\omega)\simeq\sum_{lpha}X_{lpha}(a)\,arphi_{lpha}(\xi(\omega))$$

where

$$X_{lpha}(a) = \int_{\Omega} X(a,\omega) \, arphi_{lpha}(\xi(\omega)) \, d
ho(\omega) = \langle arphi_{lpha},X
angle.$$

Take m = 1 for simplicity. m > 1 holds by tensor product arguments.

Galerkin Reformulation

Consider an ODE

$$\dot{x} = f(\xi, x)$$
 $x(\xi, 0) = x_0(\xi)$

with $x(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Represent *x* as

$$x(\xi,t) = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}(t) \varphi_{\alpha}(\xi)$$

where

$$x_{\alpha}(t) = \langle \varphi_{\alpha}(\xi), x(\xi, t) \rangle$$

and so these coefficients have dynamics

$$\dot{x}_{\alpha} = \left\langle \varphi_{\alpha}(\xi), \frac{d}{dt} x(\xi, t) \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \varphi_{\alpha}(\xi), f(\xi, x) \right\rangle$$

< /₽ > <

Jacobian of Sampled System

The dynamical system can be locally characterized by the eigenstructrure of the Jacobian matrix. The entries of the Jacobian matrix J of the sampled system are given by

$$J_{ij}(\xi,t) = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x^j}(\xi, x(\xi,t))$$

At each value of time, $J(\xi, t)$ is a random matrix.

Jacobian Matrix of Reformulated System

The Jacobian matrix of the coefficient system can be thought of as a block matrix with blocks

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\beta}(t) &= D_{x_{\beta}} \int_{\Xi} f(\xi, x(\xi, t)) \,\varphi_{\alpha}(\xi) \, d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\Xi} \varphi_{\alpha}(\xi) \, J(\xi, t) \,\varphi_{\beta}(\xi) \, d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \langle \varphi_{\alpha}, J\varphi_{\beta} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Truncate the representation so that $\alpha, \beta = 0, ..., P$. \mathcal{J} is then a $n(P+1) \times n(P+1)$ matrix.

Dynamical Analysis of the Galerkin PC System

Key questions:

- How do the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Galerkin system relate to those of the sampled original system
- What can we learn about the sampled dynamics of the original system from analysis of the Galerkin system
 - fast/slow subspaces
 - slow manifolds
- Can CSP analysis of the Galerkin system be used for analysis and reduction of the original uncertain system

Relevant Prior Work

- Ghosh and Ghanem (2002-2005)
- Homescu, Petzold, and Serban (Siam Review, 2007)
- Tryoen and Le Maître (JCP, JCAM, 2010)
- Fisher and Bhattacharya, PC Galerkin system eigenvalues (2008)
 - First numerical illustrations that the Galerkin system eigenvalues seem to exist in the support of the eigenvalues of the sampled system
 - System with continguous locus of each stochastic eigenvalue
- Nevai (1980)

Infinite Jacobian \mathcal{J} ($P = \infty$)

For $P = \infty$, we can prove that the eigenvalues λ_i of $J(\omega, t)$ are also eigenvalues of $\mathcal{J}(t)$ in the L^2 -sense.

We can construct vectors $w_i = \{w_{i,k\gamma}\}$, k = 1, ..., n; $\gamma = 0, ..., \infty$, where

$$Jw_i = \lambda_i w_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n$$

with equality in L^2 , *i.e.* for each $j\alpha$,

$$\lim_{P \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{P} \mathcal{J}_{jk\alpha\gamma}(t) w_{i,k\gamma}(\omega,t) - \lambda_i(\omega,t) w_{i,j\alpha}(\omega,t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Essential Numerical Range of J

The numerical range of a matrix M is

$$W(M) = \{v^* M v : v \in \mathcal{C}^m, v^* v = \|v\|^2 = 1\}.$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{spect}(M) \subset W(M).$$

The essential numerical range of $J(\xi)$ is

$$\tilde{W}(J) = \bigcup_{\text{a.e. } \xi} W(J(\xi)).$$

▶ < (□] ▶ <</p>

Eigenpolynomials

Let $\lambda_{i\alpha}$, $v_{i\alpha}$ be an eigenvalue/vector pair of \mathcal{J}^P :

$$\mathcal{J} v_{i\alpha} = \lambda_{i\alpha} v_{i\alpha}.$$

Alternatively,

$$\langle \varphi_{\beta}(\xi), (J(\xi) - \lambda_{i\alpha}) \mathfrak{v}_{i\alpha}(\xi) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } \beta = 0 \dots P$$

where $v_{i\alpha}(\xi)$ in an *n*-vector with components

$$\mathfrak{v}_{i\alpha}^k(\xi) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^P v_{i\alpha}^{k\gamma} \varphi_{\gamma}(\xi).$$

< /⊒ > <

n-dimensional system – Key Results

• The spectrum of \mathcal{J}^P is contained in the convex hull of the essential range of the random matrix *J*.

 $\operatorname{spect}(\mathcal{J}^P) \subset \operatorname{conv}(\widetilde{W}(J))$

2 For any orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}$:

As $P \to \infty$, the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{J}^P(t)$ converge weakly, *i.e.* in the sense of measures, toward $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \operatorname{spect}(J(\omega))$.

The J^P eigenvalues and eigenpolynomials can be used to construct a polynomial approximation of the PCE for the random eigenvalues.

- for continuous and separated $\lambda_i(\xi)$ in \mathbb{C} .

Sonday et al., SISC, in press; Berry et al., in review

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 日 ト

1D Example



$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(\xi,t) &= a(\xi)x(\xi,t); \quad \xi(\omega) \sim U[-1,1]; \\ J &= a(\xi) \equiv \begin{cases} \xi+1 & \text{for } \xi \geq 0, \\ \xi-1 & \text{for } \xi < 0. \end{cases} \\ \widetilde{W}(J) &= [-2,-1] \cup [1,2]; \quad \operatorname{conv}(\widetilde{W}(J)) = [-2,2]. \\ \text{LU PC: eigenvalues of } \mathcal{J}^P \text{ shown for } P = 10, 15, 20, 25, 45 \end{split}$$

æ

・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Eigenpolynomials approximate the PCE of $\lambda(\xi)$



Stochastic Vectors composed of Galerkin eigenvectors approximate the stochastic eigenvectors well



CO Oxidation Example

The oxidation of CO on a surface can be modeled as (Makeev et al., JCP, 2002)

 $\dot{u} = az - cu - 4duv \qquad \dot{v} = 2bz^2 - 4duv$ $\dot{w} = ez - fw \qquad z = 1 - u - v - w$ $a = 1.6, b = 20.75 + .45\xi, c = 0.04, \quad d = 1.0, e = 0.36, f = 0.016$ u(0) = 0.1, v(0) = 0.2, w(0) = 0.7

exhibits Hopf bifurcations for $b \in [20.3, 21.2]$

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ …

CO Oxidation: PC order 10. Slow eigenvalues.



CO Oxidation: PC order 10. Eigenvectors.



Data Free Inference (DFI)

- Input uncertainties are not well characterized in many practical network models
- May have nominal parameter values and bounds
 - No information on correlations
 - No joint PDF on parameters
- Joint PDF structure can have a drastic effect on resulting uncertainties in predictions
- When original raw data is available, Bayesian inference provides the requisite posterior
- When original data is **not** available, what can be done?
 - DFI: discover a consensus joint PDF on the parameters consistent with given information

(Berry et al., JCP, in review)

ロト (得) (ヨ) (ヨ)

• Demonstrate on a chemical ignition problem (ODE)

Generate ignition "data" using a detailed model+noise

- Ignition using a detailed chemical model for methane-air chemistry
- Ignition time versus Initial Temperature
- Multiplicative noise error model
- 11 data points:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d_i & = & t_{\mathrm{ig},i}^{\mathsf{GRI}}(1+\sigma\epsilon_i) \\ \epsilon & \sim & N(0,1) \end{array}$$



SNL

Fitting with a simple chemical model

 Fit a global single-step irreversible chemical model

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$

$$\Re = [CH_4][O_2]k_f$$

$$k_f = A \exp(-E/R^o T)$$

- Infer 3-D parameter vector $(\ln A, \ln E, \ln \sigma)$
- Good mixing with adaptive MCMC when start at MLE



Bayesian Inference Posterior and Nominal Prediction



Marginal Posteriors on $\ln A$ and $\ln E$



Najm

Data Free Inference Challenge

Discarding initial data, reconstruct marginal $(\ln A, \ln E)$ posterior using the following information

- Form of fit model
- Range of initial temperature
- Nominal fit parameter values of $\ln A$ and $\ln E$
- Marginal 5% and 95% quantiles on $\ln A$ and $\ln E$

Further, for now, presume

- Multiplicative Gaussian errors
- N = 8 data points

DFI Algorithm Structure

Basic idea:

- Explore the space of hypothetical data sets
- Accept data sets that lead to posteriors that are consistent with the given information
- Evaluate pooled posterior from all acceptable posteriors

Algorithm uses two nested MCMC chains

- An outer chain on the data, (2N + 1)-dimensional
 - *N* data points (x_i, y_i) + σ
 - Likelihood function captures constraints on parameter nominals+bounds
- An inner chain on the model parameters
 - Likelihood based on fit-model
 - parameter vector $(\ln A, \ln E, \ln \sigma)$

Short sample from outer/data chain



Reference Posterior - based on actual data



ln A

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Ref + DFI posterior based on a 1000-long data chain



ln A

Najm

프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

Ref + DFI posterior based on a 5000-long data chain



ln A

Najm

SNL

프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

Marginal Pooled DFI Posteriors on $\ln A$ and $\ln E$



Closure

- Analysis of uncertain network model dynamics:
 - Outlined relationship between eigen-analysis of a sampled stochastic ODE system and the Galerkin PC system.
 - Galerkin system eigenvalues/eigenvectors can be used to analyze the dynamics of the stochastic system
 - Work in progress on
 - associated stochastic model reduction strategies
 - structural uncertainty in network models
- Data Free Inference:
 - Developed a DFI procedure for estimation of self-consistent parametric posteriors in the absence of data
 - Demonstrated effective and convergent estimation of missing posterior in a chemical ignition problem
 - In progress: algorithm optimization and generalization to handle a range of different constraints