# Mean-preserving stochastic renormalization of differential equations

Sergey Lototsky Department of Mathematics University of Southern California

Collaborators: Sivaditya Kaligotla, Remis Mikulevicius, Boris Rozovskii, Dora Seleši, Xiaoliang Wan

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

## Renormalization

**Objective:** To make sense of things that don't make sense.

#### **Some examples:**

$$u_t = \Delta u + u\dot{W}(t,x), \ d > 1;$$
  

$$u_t = u_{xx} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \dot{W}(t,x), \ d > 1;$$
  

$$\nabla \cdot \left( (1 + \dot{W}(x))\nabla u \right) = 0;$$
  

$$u_t = \left( 1 + \dot{W}(t,x) \right) u_{xx}.$$

#### The plan:

- Re-thinking multiplication and stochastic integration;
- Re-thinking integrability;
- Dealing with the consequences.

Sometimes it is preserved:

if 
$$\dot{x} = ax$$
,  $dX = aXdt + \sigma(X)dw(t)$ , and  $\mathbb{E}X(0) = x(0)$ 

then  $\mathbb{E}X(t) = x(t)$ .

Most of the time, it is not.

This is especially problematic for SPDEs:

 $u_t = \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \dot{W}.$ 

#### A toy example

The equation:  $v = 1 + v\xi$ ,  $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .  $v = \frac{1}{1-\xi} = \sum_{k\geq 0} \xi^k$ ;  $\mathbb{E}v \neq 1$ .

Hermite polynomials:  $e^{z\xi-(z^2/2)} = \sum_{k>0} \frac{z^k}{k!} H_k(\xi)$ 

How about  $u = \sum_{k\geq 0} H_k(\xi)$ ? At least  $\mathbb{E}u = 1$ .

The problem:  $\xi H_k(\xi) \neq H_{k+1}(\xi)$ . The solution:  $\xi \diamond H_k(\xi) := H_{k+1}(\xi)$ .

The renormalized equation:  $u = 1 + u \diamond \xi$ ;  $u = \sum_{k \ge 0} H_k(\xi) = (1 - \xi)^{\diamond(-1)}$ .

More generally:  $f(\xi) = \sum_{k\geq 0} f_k \xi^k$ ;  $f^{\diamond}(\xi) = \sum_{k\geq 0} f_k H_k(\xi)$ . Mean-preserving:  $\mathbb{E}f^{\diamond}(\xi) = f_0 = f(0) = f(\mathbb{E}\xi)$ .

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

#### **Hida-Kondratiev spaces**

Motivation 1: 
$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k\geq 0} H_k(\xi)\right)^2 = \sum_{k\geq 0} k!$$
.

Motivation 2:  $\varphi_z(\xi) = e^{\diamond(z\xi)} = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{z^k}{k!} \operatorname{H}_k(\xi).$ 

#### The construction:

 $f(\xi) \in L_2(\xi) \iff f = \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k \mathcal{H}_k(\xi), \ \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k^2 k! < \infty.$  $f(\xi) \in (\mathcal{S})_{\rho,\ell} \iff f = \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k \mathcal{H}_k(\xi), \ \sum_{k \ge 0} f_k^2 (k!)^{1+\rho} 2^{\ell k} < \infty.$ 

- $\rho \ge 0$ :  $(\mathcal{S})_{\rho} = \bigcap_{\ell} (\mathcal{S})_{\rho,\ell}, \ (\mathcal{S})_{-\rho} = \bigcup_{\ell} (\mathcal{S})_{-\rho,\ell}; \ \mathbb{E}f := f_0$
- $\rho \leq 1 \iff \varphi_z \in (\mathcal{S})_{\rho}.$
- $f \in (\mathcal{S})_{-\rho}, \ \psi \in (\mathcal{S})_{\rho} \implies \langle f, \psi \rangle = \sum_{k} f_{k} \psi_{k} \in \mathbb{R}.$
- S transform:  $f \in (S)_{-\rho} \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{f}(z) = \langle f, \varphi_z \rangle$  is analytic; entire if  $\rho < 1$ .

Wick product  $f \diamond g$ :  $(f \diamond g)_k = \sum_{i=0}^k f_{k-i}g_i \iff \widetilde{f \diamond g}(z) = \widetilde{f}(z) \, \widetilde{g}(z)$  $\mathbb{E}f \diamond g = (\mathbb{E}f)(\mathbb{E}g)$ 



1. The original: 
$$u = 1 + u \diamond \xi$$
;  
 $\widetilde{u}(z) = 1 + \widetilde{u}(z) z$ ,  $\widetilde{u}(z) = 1/(1-z)$ .  
 $u \in (S)_{-1}$ .

2. One more:  $u^{\diamond 2} - u + \xi = 0$ .  $(\widetilde{u}(z))^2 - \widetilde{u}(z) + z = 0$ .  $u^{(1)} = 1 + \sum_{k \ge 1} C_{k-1} H_k(\xi), \ u^{(0)} = -\sum_{k \ge 1} C_{k-1} H_k(\xi)$ , both  $u \in (S)_{-1}$ .  $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} {2n \choose n} \le 4^n$ 

**Note:**  $v^2 - v + \xi = 0, v = (1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\xi^2})/2$ , does not look good.

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.



$$v^2 - 2v - \xi^2 = 0$$
 is perfectly fine:  $v = 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \xi^2}$ 

Meanwhile, solutions of the renormalized equation

 $u^{\diamond 2} - 2u - H_2(\xi) = 0$  still live in  $(\mathcal{S})_{-1}$ :

$$(\widetilde{u}(z))^2 - 2\widetilde{u}(z) - z^2 = 0;$$

 $\widetilde{u}(z) = 1 \pm \sqrt{1+z^2}$ , so  $\widetilde{u}(z)$  is not an entire function.

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

## A generalized Gaussian chaos space

It is  $(\mathbb{F}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, H, Q)$ , where

- $\mathbb{F} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space;
- $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots)$  are iid  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated by  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ ;
- *H* is a separable Hilbert space;

• Q is an unbounded, self-adjoint positive-definite operator on H such that Q has a pure point spectrum:  $Q\mathfrak{h}_k = q_k\mathfrak{h}_k, \ k \ge 1$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{h}_k, \ k \ge 1\}$ — CONS in H;  $\sum_{k\ge 1} \frac{1}{q_k^{\gamma}} < \infty, \ \gamma > 0$ .

Basic White noise:  $\Omega = S'(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $H = L_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $Q = -\Delta + x^2 + 1$ ,  $q_k = 2k$ .

**More generally:** Have  $\mathbb{F}$ ; the equation determines  $\mathfrak{q} = \{q_k, k \ge 1\}$ .

#### **Gaussian Chaos Expansion**

Noise:  $\dot{W} = \boldsymbol{\xi} = \{\xi_k, k \geq 1\}$ , iid  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Chaos space:  $L_2(\Omega; V)$ **Index set**:  $\mathcal{J} = \{ \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ...) : \alpha_k \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}, \sum \alpha_k < \infty \}$ **Notations**: (0) = (0, 0, 0...), $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = \sum \alpha_k, \ \alpha! = \prod \alpha_k!, \ \boldsymbol{\beta} < \boldsymbol{\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \beta_k \leq \alpha_k, \boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \boldsymbol{\alpha}.$  $H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2}, \quad \mathfrak{q}^{\alpha} = \prod q_k^{\alpha_k}.$ **Basis elements**:  $\xi_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha!}} \prod_{k} H_{\alpha_k}(\xi_k)$ **Chaos expansion**:  $v = \sum v_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ Weighted chaos spaces:  $\sum r_{\alpha} \|v_{\alpha}\|_{V}^{2} < \infty$  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ Generalized expectation:  $\mathbb{E}v = v_{(0)}$ 

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

## **Hida-Kondratiev spaces**

- V another Hilbert space. For  $\rho \in [0,1]$  and  $\ell \geq 0$ ,
- the space  $(\mathcal{S})_{\rho,\ell}(V)$  is the collection of  $\Phi \in \mathbb{L}_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}; V)$  such that  $\|\Phi\|_{\rho,\ell;V}^2 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in\mathcal{J}} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}!)^{\rho} \mathfrak{q}^{\ell\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \|\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\|_V^2 < \infty;$
- the space  $(\mathcal{S})_{-\rho,-\ell}(V)$  is the closure of  $\mathbb{L}_2(\boldsymbol{\xi};V)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\Phi\|_{-\rho,-\ell;V}^2 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in\mathcal{J}} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}!)^{-\rho} \mathfrak{q}^{-\ell\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \|\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\|_V^2;$
- the space  $(S)_{\rho}(V)$  is the **projective** limit (intersection endowed with a special topology) of the spaces  $(S)_{\rho,\ell}(V)$ , as  $\ell$  varies over all integers;
- the space  $(S)_{-\rho}(V)$  is the **inductive** limit (union endowed with a special topology) of the spaces  $(S)_{-\rho,-\ell}(V)$ , as  $\ell$  varies over all integers.

**References:** Hida et al. ( $\rho = 0$ ); Kuo ( $0 < \rho < 1$ ); Holden et al. ( $\rho = 1$ )

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

#### S-transform

- $\langle \Psi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} \Psi_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} \in V, \quad \Psi \in (\mathcal{S})_{-\rho}(V), \quad \eta \in (\mathcal{S})_{\rho}(\mathbb{C}).$
- $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\alpha}!}} \xi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \ \boldsymbol{z} = (z_1, z_2, \ldots) \in \ell_2(\mathbb{C}).$
- $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|! \leq C \mathfrak{q}^{\gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}!$
- $0 \le \rho \le 1 \iff \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{z}) \in (\mathcal{S})_{\rho}(\mathbb{C})$
- S-transform:  $\widetilde{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \langle \Phi, \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{z}) \rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\alpha}!}} \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}.$

• (Simplified) characterization theorems (making everything intrinsic) (a) If  $0 \le \rho < 1$  and  $\Phi \in (S)_{-\rho}(V)$ , then  $\widetilde{\Phi}(z\boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{q})$  is entire  $(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q} \text{ real})$ .

(b) If  $\Phi \in (\mathcal{S})_{-1}(V)$ , then  $\widetilde{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{z})$  is analytic "at the origin".

Wick product 
$$\Phi \diamond \Psi$$
:  $\widetilde{\Phi} \diamond \Psi(z) = \widetilde{\Phi}(z) \widetilde{\Psi}(z)$ ;  $\mathbb{E}\Phi \diamond \Psi = (\mathbb{E}\Phi)(\mathbb{E}\Psi)$   
 $(\Phi \diamond \Psi)_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \sqrt{\binom{\alpha}{\beta}} \Psi_{\alpha-\beta} \Phi_{\beta}$ 

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

#### ◊ around us

- $\xi \diamond \eta = \xi \eta$  for non-random  $\xi$  and/or  $\eta$
- If W is a standard BM and  $\eta(t_i)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}^W$ -measurable, then  $\eta(t_i)(W(t_{i+1} W(t_i)) = \eta(t_i) \diamond (W(t_{i+1} W(t_i)))$

so 
$$\int_0^T \eta(t) \diamond dW(t) = \int_0^T \eta(t) dW(t)$$
 for adapted  $\eta$ .

- For BM, (Usual product, Itô calculus)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (Wick product, usual calculus).

More: Holden, Øksendal, Ubøe, Zhang (1996).

## A comparison

$$H_m(x)H_n(x) = x^{m+n} + (\text{lower order terms})$$
  
=  $H_{m+n}(x) + (\text{lower order terms}),$ 

that is,

$$\xi\eta = \xi \diamond \eta + ($$
 "lower order terms"  $)$ 

# Our basic example. (a) $u = 1 + u \diamond \xi$ , $u = \sum_{k} u_{k} H_{k}(\xi)$ : $\xi \diamond H_{k}(\xi) = H_{k+1}(\xi)$ $u_{0} = 1, u_{k} = u_{k-1}$

(b) 
$$v = 1 + v\xi$$
,  $v = \sum_{k} v_{k} H_{k}(\xi)$ :  
 $\xi H_{k}(\xi) = H_{k+1}(\xi) - k H_{k-1}(\xi)$   
 $v_{0} = 1 + v_{1}, v_{k} = v_{k-1} + (k+1)v_{k+1}(\xi)$ 

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

- Is a special multiplication of random objects
- Does not affect deterministic equation
- Is related to Wick multiplication and stochastic integration
- Can increase the class of admissible equations
- Can force the solution to become a generalized random element
- Leads to a probabilistically strong solution
- Leads to unusual uniqueness results
- Extensions to non-Gaussian noise: pending
- Notations:  $\diamond$ , :: as in : $\xi^2$ : =  $\xi^{\diamond 2}$  = H<sub>2</sub>( $\xi$ ),  $\delta$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ .

## The general result

#### **Deterministic equation:**

 $\dot{U} = Au + F + \mathcal{P}(B_1U, \dots, B_nU), \ U(0) = U_0, \mathcal{P} \text{ is a polynomial.}$ 

#### **Renormalization:**

 $\dot{u} = Au + f + \mathcal{RP}(B_1u, \dots, B_nu) + \mathcal{R}(Lu\,\dot{W}), \ u(0) = u_0, \text{ with}$ linear operators  $A, B_i, L$ , random initial condition  $u_0, \mathbb{E}\dot{W} = 0.$ 

If  $\mathbb{E}f = F$  and  $\mathbb{E}u_0 = U_0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}u(t) = U(t)$ . Zero uniqueness of U implies uniqueness of zero-mean uMoreover, under suitable conditions,  $\mathbb{E}||u||^2 \asymp \sum_{\alpha} q^{\alpha} |\alpha|!$ 

Here 
$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_k, \ k \ge 1), \sum_k \alpha_k < \infty, \ q^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \prod_{k \ge 1} q_k^{\alpha_k}.$$

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

#### **Some examples**

$$u_{t} = \Delta u + u\dot{W}(t, x), \ d > 1;$$
  

$$u_{t} = \Delta u + (u \diamond \nabla)u + \nabla u\dot{W}(t, x), \ d > 1;$$
  

$$\nabla \cdot \left( (1 + \dot{W}(x)) \diamond \nabla u \right) = f(x);$$
  

$$\nabla \cdot \left( (1 + e^{\diamond \dot{W}(x)}) \diamond \nabla u \right) = f(x);$$
  

$$u_{t} = \left( 1 + \dot{W}(t, x) \right) u_{xx};$$

Equations driven by fractional noise.

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

## A more detailed example: Burgers equation

Equation: 
$$u_t + u \diamond u_x = u_{xx}, x \in \mathbb{R}, \ u(0, x) = \xi \phi(x).$$
  
Solution:  $u(t, x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} u_n(t, x) H_n(\xi).$   
Propagator:  
 $(u_n)_t + \sum_{k=0}^n u_k (u_{n-k})_x = (u_n)_{xx}, \ u_n(0, x) = \phi(x) I_{(n=1)}.$   
 $n = 0: \ (u_0)_t + u_0 (u_0)_x = (u_0)_{xx}, \ u_0(0, x) = 0, \text{ so } u_0 \equiv 0;$   
 $n = 1: \ (u_1)_t = (u_1)_{xx} - (u_0 u_1)_x, \ u_1(0, x) = \phi(x);$   
 $n > 1: \ (u_n)_t = (u_n)_{xx} - (u_0 u_n)_x - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k (u_{n-k})_x, \ u_n(0, x) = 0.$   
 $\|u_k(t, \cdot) (u_{n-k}(t, \cdot))_x\|_0 \le \left(\sup_x |u_k(t, x)|\right) \| (u_{n-k}(t, \cdot))_x\|_0$   
 $\le K_0 \|u_k(t, \cdot)\|_1 \|u_{n-k}(t, \cdot)\|_1.$   
Conclusion: Can run an induction.

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

#### **Estimates Of The Norms**

**Define**:  $L_n^2 = \int_0^T ||u_n(t, \cdot)||_2^2 dt + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} ||u_n(t, \cdot)||_1^2$ **Then**:

$$L_n \le K(T,\phi) \sum_{k=1} L_k L_{n-k}.$$

**Note:** ((Very) Well Known) *Catalan numbers*  $C_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , satisfy

$$C_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k C_{n-k}, \ C_0 = 1; \quad C_n \sim \frac{4^n}{\sqrt{\pi} n^{3/2}}$$

Conclusion:  $L_n \leq A^n C_{n-1} \leq q^n$ .

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

#### **One more comparison**

Equation  $v_t + vv_x = v_{xx}$  with initial condition  $v(0, x) = \xi \phi(x)$  has a closed-form solution by Hopf-Cole transform;  $\mathbb{E}|v(t, x)|^2 < \infty$ . On the other hand, if  $v(t, x) = \sum_{k \ge 1} v_k(t, x) H_k(\xi)$ , then

$$(v_k)_t = (v_k)_{xx} - \sum_{m \ge 0} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+m)!(k+m-\ell)!}{\ell!(k-\ell)!m!}} v_{\ell+m}(v_{k+m-\ell})_x$$

In particular,

 $(v_0)_t = (v_0)_{xx} - v_0(v_0)_x - \sum_{m \ge 1} \sqrt{m!} v_m(v_m)_x.$ 

Now, recall: if  $u_t + u \diamond u_x = u_{xx}$  and  $u(0, x) = \xi \phi(x)$ , then  $(k \ge 2)$ 

$$(u_k)_t = (u_k)_{xx} - \sum_{\ell=0}^k u_\ell (u_{k-\ell})_x = (u_k)_{xx} - (u_0 u_k)_x - \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} u_\ell (u_{k-\ell})_x.$$
  
Can show that  $u(t, x) \notin (\mathcal{S})_{-\rho}$  for every  $\rho < 1$ .

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

#### The equation $u_t + uu_x = u_{xx}$





Harry Bateman (1882–1946): British-American (1915, *Monthly Weather Review*)

Johannes Martinus Burgers (1895–1981): Dutch (1920–1940)

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.

#### Conclusions

- Could be a new class of useful models
- Could be just a mathematical curiosity

Sergey Lototsky, USC, Dept. of Math.