RANDOM NORMAL MATRICES BY RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM

Seung Yeop Lee (Caltech)

(Work in progress with Ferenc Balogh, Marco Bertola and Ken McLaughlin)

Banff 2010: Integrable and stochastic Laplacian growth in modern mathematical physics (10w5019)

November 1, 2010

<□> <@> < E> < E> E のQC

1/27

Normal matrices \rightarrow Coulomb gas

The eigenvalues of $n \times n$ normal matrices with the probability distribution

$$\operatorname{Prob}(M)\mathrm{d}M = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\mathrm{e}^{-N\operatorname{Tr}\left[MM^{\dagger} + V(M) + V(M)^{\dagger}\right]}\mathrm{d}M,$$

distributes by the probability density

$$P(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \Big| \prod_{j < k}^n (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \Big|^2 \exp\left(-N \sum_{j=1}^n Q(\lambda_j)\right),$$

where the potential is given by

$$Q(z) = |z|^2 + V(z) + \overline{V(z)},$$

i.e. Gaussian plus harmonic function when V is holomorphic. Eigenvalues of random normal matrices are Coulomb gas in 2-dimension. CONTINUUM LIMIT OF COULOMB GAS: $n, N \to \infty$ Define $t = \lim_{n, N \to \infty} n/N$.

For real analytic Q,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j}\delta(z-\lambda_{j}) \xrightarrow{\text{weak}} \rho(z) + \frac{1}{n}\rho_{1/2}(z) + (\text{fluctuation})$$

where [Wiegmann-Zabrodin, Ameur-Hedenmalm-Makarov, ...]

$$\begin{split} \rho &= \frac{\Delta Q}{4\pi t} \mathbf{1}_S, \\ \rho_{1/2} &= \frac{2-\beta}{8\pi\beta} \Delta \left((\log \Delta Q)^H + \mathbf{1}_S \right) = \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

In our case, $\beta = 2$ and $\Delta Q = 4$.

The density is asymptotically constant on S, and $Area(S) = \pi t$.

S is determined by the support of σ that minimizes

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} Q(w)\sigma(w)\mathrm{d}^2w - \frac{n}{N} \iint_{\mathbb{C}^2} \sigma(z)\sigma(w)\log|z-w|\mathrm{d}^2z\,\mathrm{d}^2w.$$

Correspondence to Hele-Shaw flow: S is the domain of non-viscous fluid in ideal Hele-Shaw flow when t is the Hele-Shaw time.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

4/27

Orthogonal polynomials on $\mathbb C$

(Joint) Probability densities are given by (for $k \leq n$)

$$P(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k) \propto \det \left(K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{N}{2}(Q(\lambda_i) + Q(\lambda_j))} \right)_{i,j=1}^k,$$

where the (reproducing) kernel K_n is defined by

$$K_n(z,w) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{p_j(z)\overline{p_j(w)}}{h_j},$$

and $p_j = x^j + \dots$ is a polynomial of degree j defined by

$$h_j \delta_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} p_i(z) \overline{p_j(z)} e^{-NQ(z)} dA(z).$$

Therefore, the kernel K_n is **the most wanted** in our analysis. For example, the density is given by

$$\rho_n(z) := \frac{1}{N} K_n(z, z) \operatorname{e}^{-NQ(z)}.$$

QUANTIZED HELE-SHAW FLOW

Hele-Shaw time t is quantized by n/N. So it is natural to expect

$$N(\rho_{n+1}(z) - \rho_n(z)) = \frac{|p_n(z)|^2}{h_n} e^{-NQ(z)} \sim \mathbf{1}_{\delta S}$$

where δS is the growing part of S for a small time interval.

Theorem [AHM]: $|p_n(z)|^2 e^{-NQ(z)} dA(z)$ converges to the harmonic measure at ∞ with respect to $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$.

The simplest case: Ginibre ensemble

When V(z) = 0 the orthogonal polynomials are $p_k(z) = z^k$. The kernel is explicitly given in terms of Gamma functions.



7/27

[Left] $K_n(z, z) e^{-NQ(z)}$ for n = N = 40. [Right] $|p_n(z)|^2 e^{-NQ(z)}$ for n = N = 20.

GOAL (AND SOME IMPLICATIONS)

Taking $V(z) = -c \log(z - a)$ where c > 0, we will obtain the pointwise limit of $p_n(z)$ and $K_n(z, z)$ using Riemann-Hilbert method (DKMVZ '99).

- ▶ There is a topological transition.
- Similar technique may apply for any finite logarithmic singularities.
- RH method can, if necessary, give us an arbitrary order of accuracy in large N expansion.
- RH method effectively handles the singular region (for instance, where the merging transition occurs).

(Asymptotics of $p_n(z)$: Elbau-Felder)



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

UNIVERSALITY

- ▶ The kernel in the bulk is heat kernel.
- ▶ The kernel at the boundary is written in terms of erf.
- ▶ Merging transition is described, in the classical limit, by scaling solution such that $y^2 \sim x^2(x^2 + t)$.
- ▶ In the merging transition, orthogonal polynomial (and quantum Hele-Shaw) is given by PII parametrix (conjectured by Bettelheim-Lee-Wiegmann, Its-Bleher in 1-matrix model)
- ▶ The spectral edges in Hermitian matrix model correspond to the cusp-singularities.

(4n+1,2) cusp \Leftrightarrow density that vanishes as $\sim x^{2n+1/2}$.

AND THE POLYNOMIALS LOOK LIKE...



The plots of $|p_n(z)|^2 e^{-NQ(z)}$ for various n.

- The roots (red dots) are on 1 dimensional curve.
- The peak is on the growing part of the boundary.

The density looks like...



11/27

(Summing up to 12th polynomials...)

1ST STEP: Area integral into Contour integral

$$\begin{split} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{|z| < R} p_j(z) (\overline{z} - a)^k |z - a|^{2Nc} \mathrm{e}^{-Nz\overline{z}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \\ &= \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{|z| < R} p_j(z) (z - a)^{Nc} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{z}} \left(\int_a^{\overline{z}} (s - a)^{Nc+k} \mathrm{e}^{-Nzs} \mathrm{d}s \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}z}{2\mathrm{i}} \\ &= \lim_{R \to \infty} \oint_{|z| = R} p_j(z) (z - a)^{Nc} \left(\int_a^{\overline{z}} (s - a)^{Nc+k} \mathrm{e}^{-Nzs} \mathrm{d}s \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\mathrm{i}} \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma(Nc + k + 1)}{2\mathrm{i}} \oint_{|z| = R} \frac{p_j(z) (z - a)^{Nc}}{(Nz)^{Nc+k+1}} \mathrm{e}^{-Naz} \mathrm{d}z. \end{split}$$

In the last line, $\lim_{R\to\infty}$ can be dropped.

$$0 = \oint p_j(z) \frac{(z-a)^{Nc} e^{-Naz}}{z^{Nc+j}} z^{j-k-1} dz \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, ..., j-1.$$

= $\oint p_j(z) w_n(z) z^s dz \quad \text{for } s = 0, 1, ..., j-1, \quad w_j(z) = \frac{(z-a)^{Nc} e^{-Naz}}{z^{Nc+j}}.$

 $p_j(z)$ is the orthogonal polynomial with respect to $w_j(z)dz$!

RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} p_n(z) & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{p_n(z')}{z' - z} w_n(z') dz' \\ -2\pi i Q_{n-1}(z) & -\oint \frac{Q_{n-1}(z')}{z' - z} w_n(z') dz' \end{pmatrix}$$

Here $Q_{n-1}(z)$ is the orthogonal polynomial of degree n-1 with respect to the measure $w_n(z)dz$.

Then Y satisfies the Riemann-Hilbert problem:

Y(z) is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$.

$$Y_{+}(z) = Y_{-}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_{n}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma .$$
$$Y(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right) z^{n\sigma_{3}} \quad z \to \infty .$$

Above, Γ is the contour that goes around the origin.

.

Eigenvalue support: S

... CAN BE DEFINED BY THE FOLLOWING STEP.

Write a general rational function that maps ∞ and α to ∞ .

$$f(v) := \rho v + \frac{\kappa}{v - \alpha} + z_0.$$

$$S(v) := f(1/v).$$

Require that

•
$$S(v) \sim c/(f(v) - a)$$
 as $v \to 1/\alpha$

•
$$S(v) \to (c+t)/f(v)$$
 as $v \to \infty$

This determines $\rho, \kappa, \alpha, z_0$.

Now S is given by $f(\partial \mathbb{D})$.

The "inverse" function f^{-1} maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ to the outside of **unit disk**. $\Rightarrow \log |f^{-1}(z)| = G(\infty, z)$. $(f^{-1}$ can be extended inside S.)

RH METHOD GIVES...

The first column of Y: (in terms of geometric quantity)

$$p_n(z) = \sqrt{\rho \left(f^{-1}(z)\right)'} e^{ng(z)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right),$$
$$Q_{n-1}(z) = c_n \frac{\sqrt{\rho \left(f^{-1}(z)\right)'}}{\rho \left(f^{-1}(z) - \alpha\right)} e^{ng(z)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right).$$

• $\operatorname{Re} g(z)$ is the logarithmic potential of $\mathbf{1}_S$, i.e.

$$g(z) := \frac{1}{\pi t} \int_{\mathbf{1}_S} \log(z - \zeta) \mathrm{d}A(\zeta).$$

(g can be analytically extended inside S.)
|(f⁻¹(z))'| gives the harmonic measure.

 $\mathbf{1}_S$ was defined by a potential problem such that

$$Q(z) - 2t \operatorname{Re} g(z) = |z|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(-c \log(z-a) - t g(z) \right)$$

gets minimized on S (or on ∂S).



$$\Rightarrow |p_n(z)|^2 e^{-nQ(z)} = \rho |(f^{-1}(z))'| e^{-n(Q(z)-2t\operatorname{Reg}(z))} (1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))$$

is peaked on ∂S and the line density proportional to the harmonic measure.

Zero locus and S (orange dotted line).



 \Rightarrow At the edge of the zero locus, special functions are used to describe the strong asymptotics (Airy function, Painlevé II function, Parabolic cylinder function).

BETTER APPROXIMATION



— Parabolic cylinder function.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ ■ → ◆ ■ → ○ ○ ○ 18 / 27

CHRISTOFFEL-DARBOUX IDENTITY

When applying "Riemann-Hilbert technique" to 1 matrix model, one obtains the asymptotic expansion of $p_j(z)$'s and obtains the kernel by using the identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{p_j(z)p_j(w)}{h_j} \propto \frac{p_n(z)p_{n-1}(w) - p_n(w)p_{n-1}(z)}{z - w}.$$

This relates the large sum into a few highest degree polynomials. In normal matrix model ($\in 2$ matrix model) CD identity exists but does not relate to $K_n(z, w)$ directly.

CD IDENTITY FOR THE BI-ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIAL

Let us consider the following (normalized) BOP with polynomial potentials V and W. (Our case: $W(w) \to \overline{V(\overline{w})}; w \to \overline{z}$)

$$\delta_{nm} = \iint \mathrm{d}z \,\mathrm{d}w \, p_n(z) q_m(w) \mathrm{e}^{-N\left(zw + V(z) + W(w)\right)}.$$

Define $\widetilde{p}_m(z) := p_m(z) e^{-NV(z)}; \ \widetilde{q}_m(w) := q_m(w) e^{-NW(w)}.$

Differentiating inside the integral,

$$0 = \iint dz \, dw \frac{d}{Ndw} \left(\widetilde{p}_n(z) \widetilde{q}_m(w) e^{-Nzw} \right)$$

=
$$\iint \widetilde{p}_n(z) \frac{d\widetilde{q}_m(w)}{Ndw} e^{-Nzw} - \iint \widetilde{p}_n(z) z \, \widetilde{q}_m(w) e^{-Nzw}.$$

- Obviously, $z \widetilde{p}_n(z)$ is spanned by $\{\widetilde{p}_0(z), ..., \widetilde{p}_{n+1}(z)\}$.
- ▶ $\frac{d}{dz}\tilde{q}_m(z)$ is a linear combination of $\{\tilde{q}_{m+d}(z),...,\tilde{q}_0(z)\}$ where d is the degree of W'(z).

(This is not true if W'(w) is not polynomial.)

(See, "Biorthogonal polynomials for two-matrix models with semiclassical potentials" by Bertola)

We consider the truncated vectors:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_n(z) := (\widetilde{p}_{n-1}(z), ..., \widetilde{p}_0(z))^T, \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_n(w) := (\widetilde{q}_{n-1}(w), ..., \widetilde{q}_0(w))^T.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト ニヨー の

The kernel is given by $\widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_n(w)^T \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_n(z)$.

We have,

$$z \,\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_n(z) = \left(\iint \mathrm{d}\zeta \,\mathrm{d}w \,\mathrm{e}^{-N\zeta w} \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_n(\zeta) \,\zeta \,\widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_n(w)^T \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_n(z) + a_n \left(\widetilde{p}_n(z), 0, ..., 0 \right)^T$$

where the red part is the projection operation into $\{\tilde{p}_0, ..., \tilde{p}_{n-1}\}$ (The big braket is an $n \times n$ matrix). Similarly,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{N\mathrm{d}w}\widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_{n}(w)^{T} = \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_{n}(w)^{T} \left(\iint \mathrm{d}z \,\mathrm{d}\zeta \mathrm{e}^{-Nz\zeta}\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_{n}(z) \,\frac{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_{n}(\zeta)^{T}}{N\mathrm{d}\zeta} \right) + (\text{mostly zero vector}).$$

Note that the same $n \times n$ matrix appears.

 $\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_n(w)^T &\times (1 \text{st eq.}) - (2 \text{nd eq.}) \times \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_n(z) \text{ gives} \\ \left(z - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{N \mathrm{d}w}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_n(w)^T \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_n(z) &= -\frac{\mathrm{e}^{Nzw}}{N} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}w} \left(\mathrm{e}^{-Nzw} \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}_n(w)^T \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_n(z)\right) \\ &= (\text{involving a few highest degree polynomials}). \end{aligned}$

LET'S WORK... Remind $w_n(z) = (z-a)^{Nc} e^{-Naz} / z^{Nc+n}$

We have defined $Q_{n-1}(z) = c_n z^{n-1} + \dots$ such that

$$\oint Q_{n-1}(z)w_n(z)z^k dz = \delta_{k,n-1} \text{ for } k = 0, ..., n-1.$$

We define $(p_n(z) = z^n + b_n z^{n-1} + \dots$ is monic polynomial)

$$\widetilde{h}_j := \oint p_n(z) p_n(z) w_n(z) \mathrm{d}z.$$

For $k \leq n-1$, we have

$$\int (p_n(z) - zp_{n-1}(z)) w_n(z) z^k dz$$
$$= \int p_n(z) w_n(z) z^k dz - \int p_{n-1}(z) w_{n-1}(z) z^k dz = -\widetilde{h}_{n-1} \delta_{k,n-1}$$

Therefore, we have $c_n = (b_{n-1} - b_n) / \tilde{h}_{n-1}$ and

$$Q_{n-1}(z) = \frac{z p_{n-1}(z) - p_n(z)}{\tilde{h}_{n-1}}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Similarly, consider the following polynomial of degree n-1 for $k \leq n-1$:

$$\int w_n(z) z^k \left(p_n(z) - c_{n+1}^{-1} Q_n(z) \right) dz$$

= $\int w_n(z) z^k p_n(z) dz - c_{n+1}^{-1} \int w_n(z) z^k Q_n(z) dz$
= $\frac{-1}{c_{n+1} \tilde{h}_n} \int w_n(z) z^k \left(z \, p_n(z) - p_{n+1}(z) \right) dz$
= $\frac{-1}{c_{n+1} \tilde{h}_n} \left(\int w_n(z) z^{k+1} p_n(z) dz - \int w_{n+1}(z) z^{k+1} p_{n+1}(z) dz \right)$
= $-c_{n+1}^{-1} \delta_{k,n-1}$

Therefore,

$$p_n(z) - c_{n+1}^{-1}Q_n(z) = -c_{n+1}^{-1}Q_{n-1}(z).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ つく⊙

24/27

Combined with the previous equation \Rightarrow Three-term recurrence.

DIFFERENTIAL RELATION

Note that $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\widetilde{p}_n(z)$ is not a linear combination of $\{\widetilde{p}_j\}$, but

$$\frac{1}{N}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\left(\widetilde{p}_n(z) - \frac{p_n(a)}{p_{n+1}(a)}\widetilde{p}_{n+1}(z)\right) \text{ is }$$

Even more, this is orthogonal to $\{\widetilde{p}_0,...,\widetilde{p}_{n-2}\}$ with respect to the area integral, hence

$$\frac{1}{N}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\left(\widetilde{p}_n(z) - \frac{p_n(a)}{p_{n+1}(a)}\widetilde{p}_{n+1}(z)\right) = \star \widetilde{p}_{n-1}(z) - \frac{p_n(a)}{p_{n+1}(a)}\left(c + \frac{n+1}{N}\right)\widetilde{p}_n(z).$$

 \star is obtained after some algebra to be

$$\star = \frac{P_n(a)}{P_{n-1}(a)} \frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}}$$

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

25/27

KERNEL

$$e^{-Nzw}\left(z-\frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dw}\right)\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\frac{\widetilde{p}_{j}(w)\widetilde{p}_{j}(z)}{h_{j}} = -\frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dw}\left(e^{-Nzw}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\frac{\widetilde{p}_{j}(w)\widetilde{p}_{j}(z)}{h_{j}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{e^{-Nzw}}{c_{n+1}h_{n}}\left(-\frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{dw}\widetilde{p}_{n}(w)\widetilde{Q}_{n-1}(z) + \star\widetilde{p}_{n-1}(w)\widetilde{Q}_{n}(z)\right).$$

The kernel is obtained by the antiderivative. Especially the density $\rho_n(z) = e^{-N|z|^2} K_n(z, z)$ can be obtained by integrating

$$\partial_z \rho_n(z) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-N|z|^2}}{c_{n+1}h_n} \left(-\frac{1}{N} \partial_z \widetilde{p}_n(z) \widetilde{Q}_{n-1}(\overline{z}) + \star \widetilde{p}_{n-1}(z) \widetilde{Q}_n(\overline{z}) \right).$$

26/27

This quantity converges to " $\partial_z \mathbf{1}_S$ ".

THANKS FOR THE ATTENTION