Kehe Zhu

SUNY at Albany

email: kzhu@math.albany.edu http://www.albany.edu/~kzhu

BIRS, April 2, 2019

Let $\mathbb C$ denote the complex plane, $\mathbb D$ denote the unit disk, and $\mathbb T=\partial\mathbb D$ denote the unit circle.

Let $\mathbb C$ denote the complex plane, $\mathbb D$ denote the unit disk, and $\mathbb T=\partial\mathbb D$ denote the unit circle.

▶ The Hardy space H^2 consists of functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, dt)$ such that $\widehat{f}_k = 0$ for k < 0.

Let $\mathbb C$ denote the complex plane, $\mathbb D$ denote the unit disk, and $\mathbb T=\partial\mathbb D$ denote the unit circle.

- ▶ The Hardy space H^2 consists of functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, dt)$ such that $\widehat{f}_k = 0$ for k < 0.
- ▶ The Bergman space A^2 consists of analytic functions in $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$: $A^2 = H(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$.

Let $\mathbb C$ denote the complex plane, $\mathbb D$ denote the unit disk, and $\mathbb T=\partial\mathbb D$ denote the unit circle.

- ▶ The Hardy space H^2 consists of functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, dt)$ such that $\widehat{f}_k = 0$ for k < 0.
- ▶ The Bergman space A^2 consists of analytic functions in $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$: $A^2 = H(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$.
- ► The Fock space F^2 consists of entire functions in $L^2(\mathbb{C}, d\lambda)$: $F^2 = H(\mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}, d\lambda)$, where

$$d\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}e^{-|z|^2} dA(z)$$

is the Gaussian measure.



Let $\mathbb C$ denote the complex plane, $\mathbb D$ denote the unit disk, and $\mathbb T=\partial\mathbb D$ denote the unit circle.

- ▶ The Hardy space H^2 consists of functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, dt)$ such that $\widehat{f}_k = 0$ for k < 0.
- ▶ The Bergman space A^2 consists of analytic functions in $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$: $A^2 = H(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$.
- ► The Fock space F^2 consists of entire functions in $L^2(\mathbb{C}, d\lambda)$: $F^2 = H(\mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}, d\lambda)$, where

$$d\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}e^{-|z|^2} dA(z)$$

is the Gaussian measure.



Hankel and Toeplitz operators

In each of the three cases above, we have an analytic subspace H of an L^2 space, so there is an orthogonal projection $P:L^2\to H$. If $\varphi\in L^\infty$, we can define a two linear operators T_φ and H_φ on H by $T_\varphi f=P(\varphi f)$ and $H_\varphi f=(I-P)(\varphi f)$. They are called the Toeplitz operator and Hankel operator induced by φ (or with symbol φ).

Hankel and Toeplitz operators

- In each of the three cases above, we have an analytic subspace H of an L^2 space, so there is an orthogonal projection $P:L^2\to H$. If $\varphi\in L^\infty$, we can define a two linear operators T_φ and H_φ on H by $T_\varphi f=P(\varphi f)$ and $H_\varphi f=(I-P)(\varphi f)$. They are called the Toeplitz operator and Hankel operator induced by φ (or with symbol φ).
- ▶ The projection *P* is an integral operator whose integral kernel is

$$K(z,w)=\frac{1}{1-z\overline{w}}, \qquad K(z,w)=\frac{1}{(1-z\overline{w})^2}, \qquad K(z,w)=e^{z\overline{w}},$$

depending on which case we are considering.

Hankel and Toeplitz operators

- In each of the three cases above, we have an analytic subspace H of an L^2 space, so there is an orthogonal projection $P:L^2\to H$. If $\varphi\in L^\infty$, we can define a two linear operators T_φ and H_φ on H by $T_\varphi f=P(\varphi f)$ and $H_\varphi f=(I-P)(\varphi f)$. They are called the Toeplitz operator and Hankel operator induced by φ (or with symbol φ).
- ▶ The projection *P* is an integral operator whose integral kernel is

$$K(z,w)=\frac{1}{1-z\overline{w}}, \qquad K(z,w)=\frac{1}{(1-z\overline{w})^2}, \qquad K(z,w)=e^{z\overline{w}},$$

depending on which case we are considering.

▶ This integral representation of *P* allows us to extend its domain to *L*¹, we we can define Ha-plitz operators with unbounded symbol functions.



In all three cases, there exist functions φ and ψ , not both bounded, such that the Toeplitz product $\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}\mathcal{T}_{\psi}$ is bounded.

- ▶ In all three cases, there exist functions φ and ψ , not both bounded, such that the Toeplitz product $\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}\mathcal{T}_{\psi}$ is bounded.
- Sarason's problem (initially for H^2 and A^2): characterize analytic functions φ and ψ such that $T_{\varphi}T_{\overline{\psi}}$ is bounded.

- ▶ In all three cases, there exist functions φ and ψ , not both bounded, such that the Toeplitz product $\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}\mathcal{T}_{\psi}$ is bounded.
- Sarason's problem (initially for H^2 and A^2): characterize analytic functions φ and ψ such that $T_{\varphi}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded.
- Reference: D. Sarason, Products of Toeplitz operators, in: V. P. Havin, N. K. Nikolski (Eds.), Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book 3, Part I, Lecture Notes in Math. 1573, Springer, Berlin, 1994, 318–319.

- ▶ In all three cases, there exist functions φ and ψ , not both bounded, such that the Toeplitz product $\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}\mathcal{T}_{\psi}$ is bounded.
- Sarason's problem (initially for H^2 and A^2): characterize analytic functions φ and ψ such that $T_{\varphi}T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded.
- Reference: D. Sarason, Products of Toeplitz operators, in: V. P. Havin, N. K. Nikolski (Eds.), Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book 3, Part I, Lecture Notes in Math. 1573, Springer, Berlin, 1994, 318–319.
- I came across this problem accidentally and did not know the original motivation. The problem is essentially still open for the Hardy space and the Bergman space.
- ▶ We will present a very nice solution for the Fock space!

Sarason also made a conjecture when he posed the Toeplitz product problem in 1994: $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded iff $|\widetilde{f}|^2 |\widetilde{g}|^2$ is bounded, where \widetilde{h} denotes the Berezin transform.

- Sarason also made a conjecture when he posed the Toeplitz product problem in 1994: $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded iff $|\widetilde{f}|^2 |\widetilde{g}|^2$ is bounded, where \widetilde{h} denotes the Berezin transform.
- Sarason also provided a proof for the "only if" direction for both the Hardy and Bergman spaces. The proof for this direction was not hard but not trivial either.

- Sarason also made a conjecture when he posed the Toeplitz product problem in 1994: $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded iff $|\widetilde{f}|^2 |\widetilde{g}|^2$ is bounded, where \widetilde{h} denotes the Berezin transform.
- Sarason also provided a proof for the "only if" direction for both the Hardy and Bergman spaces. The proof for this direction was not hard but not trivial either.
- Note that Sarason's proof for the necessity above does NOT work for the Fock space!
- Sarason's conjecture was disproved for the Hardy space by Nazarov in 1997.

- Sarason also made a conjecture when he posed the Toeplitz product problem in 1994: $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded iff $|\widetilde{f}|^2 |\widetilde{g}|^2$ is bounded, where \widetilde{h} denotes the Berezin transform.
- Sarason also provided a proof for the "only if" direction for both the Hardy and Bergman spaces. The proof for this direction was not hard but not trivial either.
- Note that Sarason's proof for the necessity above does NOT work for the Fock space!
- Sarason's conjecture was disproved for the Hardy space by Nazarov in 1997.
- ► The conjecture was disproved for the Bergman space by Aleman, Pott, and Reguera in 2013.



Sarason's problem is equivalent to certain two-weight estimates for the Riesz projection (the Hardy space case) and the Bergman projection (the Bergman space case). Apparently, this connection has been known to many people.

- Sarason's problem is equivalent to certain two-weight estimates for the Riesz projection (the Hardy space case) and the Bergman projection (the Bergman space case). Apparently, this connection has been known to many people.
- For example, in the Bergman space case, Sarason's problem is equivalent to the boundedness of the operator

$$P: L^2(\mathbb{D}, |g|^{-2}dA) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{D}, |f|^{-2}dA),$$

where P is the Bergman projection and dA is area measure.

- Sarason's problem is equivalent to certain two-weight estimates for the Riesz projection (the Hardy space case) and the Bergman projection (the Bergman space case). Apparently, this connection has been known to many people.
- For example, in the Bergman space case, Sarason's problem is equivalent to the boundedness of the operator

$$P: L^2(\mathbb{D}, |g|^{-2}dA) \to L^2(\mathbb{D}, |f|^{-2}dA),$$

where P is the Bergman projection and dA is area measure.

A similar formulation exists for the Hardy space and for the Fock space.

- Sarason's problem is equivalent to certain two-weight estimates for the Riesz projection (the Hardy space case) and the Bergman projection (the Bergman space case). Apparently, this connection has been known to many people.
- For example, in the Bergman space case, Sarason's problem is equivalent to the boundedness of the operator

$$P:L^2(\mathbb{D},|g|^{-2}dA)\to L^2(\mathbb{D},|f|^{-2}dA),$$

where P is the Bergman projection and dA is area measure.

- A similar formulation exists for the Hardy space and for the Fock space.
- ► However, such two-weight estimates are highly nontrivial and no simple condition exists for the boundedness of the operators above. The counterexamples for Sarason's conjectures (for H² and A²) were constructed with the help of this connection.



Prior partial results

 For the other direction of Sarason's original conjecture, there were some interesting partial results over the years. One very well-known result was that if

$$\widetilde{|f|^{2+\varepsilon}}\widetilde{|g|^{2+\varepsilon}}$$

is bounded for some $\varepsilon > 0$ that is greater than a certain "cut-off" value depending on the context (Hardy or Bergman), then the Toeplitz product $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded.

Prior partial results

 For the other direction of Sarason's original conjecture, there were some interesting partial results over the years. One very well-known result was that if

$$\widetilde{|f|^{2+\varepsilon}}\widetilde{|g|^{2+\varepsilon}}$$

is bounded for some $\varepsilon > 0$ that is greater than a certain "cut-off" value depending on the context (Hardy or Bergman), then the Toeplitz product $T_f T_{\overline{q}}$ is bounded.

- Since there are now counterexamples to Sarason's conjecture in both the Hardy and Bergman space settings, the gap above cannot be bridged in those two classical cases.
- Sarason's problem is still open for the Bergman/Hardy spaces (even for the unit disk).



The Toeplitz product problem for the Fock space

The situation is much different for the Fock space. We have Theorem (2014, Cho-Park-Zhu)

Let f and g be functions in F^2 , not identically zero. Then $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 if and only if $f = e^q$ and $g = ce^{-q}$, where c is a nonzero complex constant and q is a complex linear polynomial.

The Toeplitz product problem for the Fock space

The situation is much different for the Fock space. We have

Theorem (2014, Cho-Park-Zhu)

Let f and g be functions in F^2 , not identically zero. Then $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 if and only if $f = e^q$ and $g = ce^{-q}$, where c is a nonzero complex constant and q is a complex linear polynomial.

Corollary

The Fock space version of Sarason's conjecture is true: $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 iff

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}}|\widetilde{f}|^2(z)|\widetilde{g}|^2(z)<\infty.$$

The Toeplitz product problem for the Fock space

The situation is much different for the Fock space. We have

Theorem (2014, Cho-Park-Zhu)

Let f and g be functions in F^2 , not identically zero. Then $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 if and only if $f = e^q$ and $g = ce^{-q}$, where c is a nonzero complex constant and q is a complex linear polynomial.

Corollary

The Fock space version of Sarason's conjecture is true: $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 iff

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}}|\widetilde{f}|^2(z)|\widetilde{g}|^2(z)<\infty.$$

Two key ingredients in the proof of the theorem above: (1) there are no bounded functions in F^2 other than the constants, (2) the Weyl unitary representation of the Heisenberg group.



► In complex analysis of the unit disk, the Mobius group plays an important role.

- In complex analysis of the unit disk, the Mobius group plays an important role.
- ▶ The counterpart for the Fock space is the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, where the group action is defined by

$$(z,s)\oplus(w,t)=(z+w,s+t-\operatorname{Im}(z\overline{w})).$$

- In complex analysis of the unit disk, the Mobius group plays an important role.
- ▶ The counterpart for the Fock space is the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}$, where the group action is defined by

$$(z,s)\oplus(w,t)=(z+w,s+t-\operatorname{Im}(z\overline{w})).$$

▶ Recall that the Mobius group of the unit disk is $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{T}$, as every Mobius map can be decomposed into a composition of a rotation and a symmetry: $\varphi(z) = \varphi_a(e^{i\theta}z)$.

- In complex analysis of the unit disk, the Mobius group plays an important role.
- ▶ The counterpart for the Fock space is the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}$, where the group action is defined by

$$(z,s) \oplus (w,t) = (z+w,s+t-\operatorname{Im}(z\overline{w})).$$

- ▶ Recall that the Mobius group of the unit disk is $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{T}$, as every Mobius map can be decomposed into a composition of a rotation and a symmetry: $\varphi(z) = \varphi_a(e^{i\theta}z)$.
- In the case of the Fock space, the symmetries are translations $\tau_a(z) = z a$. They induce unitary operators on F^2 as follows: $W_a f(z) = f(z-a) k_a(z)$, where k_a is the normalized reproducing kernel at a. It is easy to check that each W_a is a unitary operator on F^2 . They are called Weyl operators in quantum physics.

The Weyl representation of \mathbb{H} .

The following result shows how to represent the Heisenberg group as unitaries on the Fock space.

Theorem

The mapping $(a, \theta) \mapsto e^{i\theta} W_a$ is a unitary representation of the Heisenberg group on the Fock space F^2 .

The Weyl representation of \mathbb{H} .

The following result shows how to represent the Heisenberg group as unitaries on the Fock space.

Theorem

The mapping $(a, \theta) \mapsto e^{i\theta} W_a$ is a unitary representation of the Heisenberg group on the Fock space F^2 .

There are other representations of the Heisenberg group on Hilbert spaces. For example, it is possible to represent the Heisenberg group as (unitary) pseudo-differential operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$, which is usually called the Schrodinger representation.

The Weyl representation of \mathbb{H} .

The following result shows how to represent the Heisenberg group as unitaries on the Fock space.

Theorem

The mapping $(a, \theta) \mapsto e^{i\theta} W_a$ is a unitary representation of the Heisenberg group on the Fock space F^2 .

There are other representations of the Heisenberg group on Hilbert spaces. For example, it is possible to represent the Heisenberg group as (unitary) pseudo-differential operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$, which is usually called the Schrodinger representation.

The Weyl operators are important for our analysis of Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the Fock space.

Sufficiency for the boundedness of $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$

The sufficiency of the Cho-Park-Zhu theorem follows from the following. The necessity is much more involved.

Lemma

Let
$$a \in \mathbb{C}$$
, $f(z) = e^{\overline{a}z}$, and $g(z) = e^{-\overline{a}z}$. We have

$$T_f T_{\overline{g}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}|a|^2} W_a.$$

In particular, $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 .

Sufficiency for the boundedness of $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$

The sufficiency of the Cho-Park-Zhu theorem follows from the following. The necessity is much more involved.

Lemma

Let
$$a\in\mathbb{C}$$
, $f(z)=e^{\overline{a}z}$, and $g(z)=e^{-\overline{a}z}$. We have
$$T_fT_{\overline{a}}=e^{\frac{1}{2}|a|^2}W_a.$$

In particular, $T_f T_{\overline{q}}$ is bounded on F^2 .

To prove the result, let $h \in F^2$. Then

$$T_{\overline{g}}h(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{g(w)} h(w)K(z,w) d\lambda(w)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}} h(w)e^{(z-a)\overline{w}} d\lambda(w)$$
$$= h(z-a).$$

Sufficiency for the boundedness of $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$

The sufficiency of the Cho-Park-Zhu theorem follows from the following. The necessity is much more involved.

Lemma

Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $f(z) = e^{\overline{a}z}$, and $g(z) = e^{-\overline{a}z}$. We have

$$T_f T_{\overline{g}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}|a|^2} W_a.$$

In particular, $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 .

To prove the result, let $h \in F^2$. Then

$$T_{\overline{g}}h(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{g(w)} h(w)K(z,w) d\lambda(w)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}} h(w)e^{(z-a)\overline{w}} d\lambda(w)$$
$$= h(z-a).$$

Therefore, the Toeplitz operator $T_{\overline{q}}$ is an operator of translation, and

$$T_f T_{\overline{g}} h(z) = e^{z\overline{a}} h(z-a) = e^{\frac{1}{2}|a|^2} W_a h(z).$$

▶ The above proof of the sufficiency tells more: when $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 , the product is actually a constant times a unitary operator, because each Weyl operator is a unitary.

- ▶ The above proof of the sufficiency tells more: when $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 , the product is actually a constant times a unitary operator, because each Weyl operator is a unitary.
- ▶ Consequently, the Toeplitz product $T_fT_{\overline{g}}$, where $f,g \in F^2$, can be bounded for unbounded f and g, but it cannot be compact, unless one of the two functions is identically zero.

- ▶ The above proof of the sufficiency tells more: when $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 , the product is actually a constant times a unitary operator, because each Weyl operator is a unitary.
- ▶ Consequently, the Toeplitz product $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$, where $f, g \in F^2$, can be bounded for unbounded f and g, but it cannot be compact, unless one of the two functions is identically zero.
- Let $f(z) = e^{az^2}$ and $g(z) = e^{-az^2}$, where |a| < 1/2. Then the operator $T = T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is densely defined on F^2 , it is unbounded, but its Berezin transform

$$\widetilde{T}(z) = \langle T_{\overline{g}}k_z, T_{\overline{f}}k_z \rangle = f(z)\overline{g(z)}$$

is bounded on \mathbb{C} .



It is natural to ask if Sarason's conjecture holds for other weighted Fock spaces. There are at least two cases where the answer is affirmative.

- It is natural to ask if Sarason's conjecture holds for other weighted Fock spaces. There are at least two cases where the answer is affirmative.
- Sarason's conjecture is true and a version of the Cho-Park-Zhu theorem holds for Fock spaces defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| f(z) e^{-\alpha |z|^2} \right|^{\rho} |z|^{N} dA(z) < \infty.$$

- It is natural to ask if Sarason's conjecture holds for other weighted Fock spaces. There are at least two cases where the answer is affirmative.
- Sarason's conjecture is true and a version of the Cho-Park-Zhu theorem holds for Fock spaces defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| f(z) e^{-\alpha |z|^2} \right|^{\rho} |z|^{N} dA(z) < \infty.$$

This was done by G. Cao and X. Wang in 2016.

- It is natural to ask if Sarason's conjecture holds for other weighted Fock spaces. There are at least two cases where the answer is affirmative.
- Sarason's conjecture is true and a version of the Cho-Park-Zhu theorem holds for Fock spaces defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| f(z) e^{-\alpha |z|^2} \right|^{\rho} |z|^{N} dA(z) < \infty.$$

This was done by G. Cao and X. Wang in 2016.

 Sarason's conjecture is true and a version of the Cho-Park-Zhu theorem holds for Fock spaces defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| f(z) e^{-\alpha |z|^{2m}} \right|^{p} dA(z) < \infty.$$



- It is natural to ask if Sarason's conjecture holds for other weighted Fock spaces. There are at least two cases where the answer is affirmative.
- Sarason's conjecture is true and a version of the Cho-Park-Zhu theorem holds for Fock spaces defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| f(z) e^{-\alpha |z|^2} \right|^{\rho} |z|^{N} dA(z) < \infty.$$

This was done by G. Cao and X. Wang in 2016.

 Sarason's conjecture is true and a version of the Cho-Park-Zhu theorem holds for Fock spaces defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}}\left|f(z)e^{-\alpha|z|^{2m}}\right|^{p}\,dA(z)<\infty.$$

This was done by H. Bommier, H. Youssfi, and K.Z. in 2017.



▶ There are two very natural companion problems to Sarason's Toeplitz product problem. Recall that Sarason's problem was to characterize analytic functions f and g such that $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2).

- ▶ There are two very natural companion problems to Sarason's Toeplitz product problem. Recall that Sarason's problem was to characterize analytic functions f and g such that $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2).
- ▶ One companion is the Hankel product problem: characterize analytic functions f and g such that the Hankel product $H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , F^2).

- ▶ There are two very natural companion problems to Sarason's Toeplitz product problem. Recall that Sarason's problem was to characterize analytic functions f and g such that $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2).
- ▶ One companion is the Hankel product problem: characterize analytic functions f and g such that the Hankel product $H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , F^2).
- ▶ The other companion problem is the mixed Hankel/Toeplitz product problem: characterize analytic functions f and g such that $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , or F^2).

- ▶ There are two very natural companion problems to Sarason's Toeplitz product problem. Recall that Sarason's problem was to characterize analytic functions f and g such that $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2).
- ▶ One companion is the Hankel product problem: characterize analytic functions f and g such that the Hankel product $H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , F^2).
- ▶ The other companion problem is the mixed Hankel/Toeplitz product problem: characterize analytic functions f and g such that $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , or F^2).
- There are some other related problems, but the two above are the most natural extensions of Sarason's Toeplitz product problem.

- ▶ There are two very natural companion problems to Sarason's Toeplitz product problem. Recall that Sarason's problem was to characterize analytic functions f and g such that $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2).
- ▶ One companion is the Hankel product problem: characterize analytic functions f and g such that the Hankel product $H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , F^2).
- ▶ The other companion problem is the mixed Hankel/Toeplitz product problem: characterize analytic functions f and g such that $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , or F^2).
- There are some other related problems, but the two above are the most natural extensions of Sarason's Toeplitz product problem.
- ▶ Once again, the problems are wide open for H^2 and A^2 . We will now present complete solutions for F^2 .



▶ In each of the three settings, there exist unbounded functions f and g such that $H_t^*H_q$ is bounded.

- ▶ In each of the three settings, there exist unbounded functions f and g such that $H_i^*H_q$ is bounded.
- ► The Hankel product problem was studied by Karel Stroethoff and Dechao Zheng in the 1990s in the context of Hardy and Bergman spaces. There were some other participants as well.

- In each of the three settings, there exist unbounded functions f and g such that H_f*H_g is bounded.
- ▶ The Hankel product problem was studied by Karel Stroethoff and Dechao Zheng in the 1990s in the context of Hardy and Bergman spaces. There were some other participants as well.
- In particular, Stroethoff-Zheng proved that if the Hankel product $H_{\bar{f}}^*H_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on H^2 or A^2 , then the function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2 - |\widetilde{f}|^2\right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2 - |\widetilde{g}|^2\right]$$

is bounded on the unit disk (or even on bounded symmetric domains).



- In each of the three settings, there exist unbounded functions f and g such that H_f*H_g is bounded.
- ► The Hankel product problem was studied by Karel Stroethoff and Dechao Zheng in the 1990s in the context of Hardy and Bergman spaces. There were some other participants as well.
- ▶ In particular, Stroethoff-Zheng proved that if the Hankel product $H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on H^2 or A^2 , then the function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2 - |\widetilde{f}|^2\right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2 - |\widetilde{g}|^2\right]$$

is bounded on the unit disk (or even on bounded symmetric domains).

The natural conjecture was that the above condition should be sufficient.



Sufficiency for H^2 and A^2

▶ Unlike the case of Sarason's Toeplitz product conjecture, the sufficiency for the boundedness of $H_{\bar{f}}^*H_{\bar{g}}$ on H^2 and A^2 remains mysterious as of now.

Sufficiency for H^2 and A^2

- ▶ Unlike the case of Sarason's Toeplitz product conjecture, the sufficiency for the boundedness of $H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}}$ on H^2 and A^2 remains mysterious as of now.
- ► There are no counterexamples and there are no meaningful partial results.

Sufficiency for H^2 and A^2

- ▶ Unlike the case of Sarason's Toeplitz product conjecture, the sufficiency for the boundedness of $H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}}$ on H^2 and A^2 remains mysterious as of now.
- There are no counterexamples and there are no meaningful partial results.
- Several people have worked on the problem for many years and no progress has been made.

▶ In light of the fact that Sarason's Toeplitz product problem does not have a satisfactory answer for the Hardy and Bergman space but it has a very nice answer for the Fock space, it is natural and temping to hope that the same situation may be true for the Hankel product problem.

- In light of the fact that Sarason's Toeplitz product problem does not have a satisfactory answer for the Hardy and Bergman space but it has a very nice answer for the Fock space, it is natural and temping to hope that the same situation may be true for the Hankel product problem.
- This was indeed what I had hoped for and several people had worked very hard toward this goal. Although I obtained some encouraging partial results several years ago, a full solution has been lacking. I thought it's only going to be a matter of time before somebody proves the conjecture.

- ▶ In light of the fact that Sarason's Toeplitz product problem does not have a satisfactory answer for the Hardy and Bergman space but it has a very nice answer for the Fock space, it is natural and temping to hope that the same situation may be true for the Hankel product problem.
- This was indeed what I had hoped for and several people had worked very hard toward this goal. Although I obtained some encouraging partial results several years ago, a full solution has been lacking. I thought it's only going to be a matter of time before somebody proves the conjecture.
- ▶ Then came surprise a few months ago.

Pan Ma, Fugang Yan (current PhD student jointly supervised by Dechao Zheng and myself), Dechao Zheng, and myself recently realized and proved the following:

▶ What was easy for the Hardy and Bergman spaces, namely, the fact that the boundedness of $H_{\bar{f}}^*H_{\bar{g}}$ on H^2 and A^2 implies the boundedness of

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2\right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2\right],$$

is actually false for the Fock space!

Pan Ma, Fugang Yan (current PhD student jointly supervised by Dechao Zheng and myself), Dechao Zheng, and myself recently realized and proved the following:

▶ What was easy for the Hardy and Bergman spaces, namely, the fact that the boundedness of $H_{\bar{f}}^*H_{\bar{g}}$ on H^2 and A^2 implies the boundedness of

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2\right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2\right],$$

is actually false for the Fock space!

▶ What was difficult for the Hardy and Bergman spaces (the sufficiency of the boundedness of H(z) for the boundedness of $H_{\overline{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}$ on H^2 or A^2), which remains open as of now, is actually true for the Fock space.

Pan Ma, Fugang Yan (current PhD student jointly supervised by Dechao Zheng and myself), Dechao Zheng, and myself recently realized and proved the following:

▶ What was easy for the Hardy and Bergman spaces, namely, the fact that the boundedness of $H_{\bar{f}}^*H_{\bar{g}}$ on H^2 and A^2 implies the boundedness of

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2 \right],$$

is actually false for the Fock space!

- ▶ What was difficult for the Hardy and Bergman spaces (the sufficiency of the boundedness of H(z) for the boundedness of $H_{\overline{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}$ on H^2 or A^2), which remains open as of now, is actually true for the Fock space.
- ➤ Thus the story for the Fock space is completely different. And there are more details that help us understand why.



For sufficiency in the Fock space setting, we actually proved the following.

Theorem (MYZZ, JFA 2019)

Let $f, g \in F^2$. Then the function

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2 \right]$$

is bounded on $\mathbb C$ if and only if f and g are of the following form: at least one of f and g is constant; or both f and g are linear polynomials; or there are constants g, g, g, g, and g such that

$$f(z) = A + e^{az+b}, \quad g(z) = B + e^{-az+c}.$$



For sufficiency in the Fock space setting, we actually proved the following.

Theorem (MYZZ, JFA 2019)

Let $f, g \in F^2$. Then the function

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2 \right]$$

is bounded on $\mathbb C$ if and only if f and g are of the following form: at least one of f and g is constant; or both f and g are linear polynomials; or there are constants g, g, g, g, and g such that

$$f(z) = A + e^{az+b}, \quad g(z) = B + e^{-az+c}.$$

Furthermore, it is easy to check that each of the cases above gives rise to a bounded Hankel product $H_{\overline{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}$.



For sufficiency in the Fock space setting, we actually proved the following.

Theorem (MYZZ, JFA 2019)

Let $f, g \in F^2$. Then the function

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2 \right]$$

is bounded on $\mathbb C$ if and only if f and g are of the following form: at least one of f and g is constant; or both f and g are linear polynomials; or there are constants g, g, g, and g such that

$$f(z) = A + e^{az+b}, \quad g(z) = B + e^{-az+c}.$$

Furthermore, it is easy to check that each of the cases above gives rise to a bounded Hankel product $H_{\bar{t}}^*H_{\bar{g}}$.

Thus we know that the boundedness of H(z) on \mathbb{C} is sufficient for the boundedness of the Hankel product $H_{\overline{t}}^*H_{\overline{g}}$ on F^2 .



For sufficiency in the Fock space setting, we actually proved the following.

Theorem (MYZZ, JFA 2019)

Let $f, g \in F^2$. Then the function

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2 \right]$$

is bounded on $\mathbb C$ if and only if f and g are of the following form: at least one of f and g is constant; or both f and g are linear polynomials; or there are constants g, g, g, and g such that

$$f(z) = A + e^{az+b}, \quad g(z) = B + e^{-az+c}.$$

Furthermore, it is easy to check that each of the cases above gives rise to a bounded Hankel product $H_{\overline{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}$.

Thus we know that the boundedness of H(z) on $\mathbb C$ is sufficient for the boundedness of the Hankel product $H^*_{\overline{I}}H_{\overline{g}}$ on F^2 . Again, this direction remains an open problem for the Hardy and Bergman space settings.



For necessity in the Fock space setting, we actually proved the following, which is in sharp contrast to the Hardy and Bergman space settings.

Theorem (MYZZ, JFA 2019)

The boundedness of the function

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2 \right]$$

is NOT necessary for the boundedness of $H_{\overline{f}}^* H_{\overline{g}}$ on F^2 , where $f,g \in F^2$.

For necessity in the Fock space setting, we actually proved the following, which is in sharp contrast to the Hardy and Bergman space settings.

Theorem (MYZZ, JFA 2019)

The boundedness of the function

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2 \right]$$

is NOT necessary for the boundedness of $H_{\overline{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}$ on F^2 , where $f,g\in F^2$. In fact, there exist nonzero functions f and g in F^2 such that H(z) is unbounded but $H_{\overline{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}=0$ on F^2 .

For necessity in the Fock space setting, we actually proved the following, which is in sharp contrast to the Hardy and Bergman space settings.

Theorem (MYZZ, JFA 2019)

The boundedness of the function

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2 \right]$$

is NOT necessary for the boundedness of $H^*_{\bar{f}}H_{\overline{g}}$ on F^2 , where $f,g\in F^2$.In fact, there exist nonzero functions f and g in F^2 such that H(z) is unbounded but $H^*_{\bar{f}}H_{\overline{g}}=0$ on F^2 .

Furthermore, we are able to determine exactly when $H_{\overline{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}=0$ on F^2 .



For necessity in the Fock space setting, we actually proved the following, which is in sharp contrast to the Hardy and Bergman space settings.

Theorem (MYZZ, JFA 2019)

The boundedness of the function

$$H(z) = \left[|\widetilde{f}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[|\widetilde{g}|^2(z) - |\widetilde{g}(z)|^2 \right]$$

is NOT necessary for the boundedness of $H_{\bar{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}$ on F^2 , where $f,g\in F^2$.In fact, there exist nonzero functions f and g in F^2 such that H(z) is unbounded but $H_{\bar{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}=0$ on F^2 .

Furthermore, we are able to determine exactly when $H_{\overline{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}=0$ on F^2 . We also discovered that, on the Fock space, it is possible for $H_{\overline{f}}^*H_{\overline{g}}$ to be compact and non-zero.



Mixed Hankel/Toeplitz products

▶ Recall that the mixed Hankel/Toeplitz product problem asks for a characterization of analytic functions f and g such that $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2 .

Mixed Hankel/Toeplitz products

- ▶ Recall that the mixed Hankel/Toeplitz product problem asks for a characterization of analytic functions f and g such that $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2 .
- Again, the problem is wide open for the Hardy and Bergman space cases.

Mixed Hankel/Toeplitz products

- ▶ Recall that the mixed Hankel/Toeplitz product problem asks for a characterization of analytic functions f and g such that $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2 .
- Again, the problem is wide open for the Hardy and Bergman space cases.
- ▶ The natural conjecture is the following: the mixed product $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , or F^2) if and only if the function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2-|\widetilde{f}|^2\right]\,\widetilde{|g|^2}$$

is bounded.



Mixed Hankel/Toeplitz products

- ▶ Recall that the mixed Hankel/Toeplitz product problem asks for a characterization of analytic functions f and g such that $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2 .
- Again, the problem is wide open for the Hardy and Bergman space cases.
- ▶ The natural conjecture is the following: the mixed product $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , or F^2) if and only if the function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2-|\widetilde{f}|^2\right]\,\widetilde{|g|^2}$$

is bounded.

▶ For H^2 and A^2 , it has been known that the "only if" part is true.



Mixed Hankel/Toeplitz products

- ▶ Recall that the mixed Hankel/Toeplitz product problem asks for a characterization of analytic functions f and g such that $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on H^2 , or A^2 , or F^2 .
- Again, the problem is wide open for the Hardy and Bergman space cases.
- ▶ The natural conjecture is the following: the mixed product $H_{\bar{I}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded (on H^2 , A^2 , or F^2) if and only if the function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2 - |\widetilde{f}|^2\right] |\widetilde{g}|^2$$

is bounded.

- ▶ For H^2 and A^2 , it has been known that the "only if" part is true.
- Based on the results about Toeplitz and Hankel products on the Fock space, what is the guess for the mixed product problem for the Fock space?



It turns out the behavior of the mixed product is more like the behaviour of the Toeplitz product than that of the Hankel product.

- ▶ It turns out the behavior of the mixed product is more like the behaviour of the Toeplitz product than that of the Hankel product.
- It was proved recently by Pan Ma, Fugang Yan, Dechao Zheng, and KZ that for f and g in the Fock space, H_fT_g is bounded on F² iff the function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2 - |\widetilde{f}|^2\right] |\widetilde{g}|^2$$

is bounded on the complex plane.

- ▶ It turns out the behavior of the mixed product is more like the behaviour of the Toeplitz product than that of the Hankel product.
- It was proved recently by Pan Ma, Fugang Yan, Dechao Zheng, and KZ that for f and g in the Fock space, $H_{\bar{f}}T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 iff the function

 $\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2 - |\widetilde{f}|^2\right] |\widetilde{g}|^2$

is bounded on the complex plane.

Furthermore, we have a complete description of which functions satisfy the above condition.

Theorem (MYZZ, 2018)

Suppose f and g are functions in the Fock space F^2 . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

▶ $H_{\overline{f}}T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 .

Theorem (MYZZ, 2018)

Suppose f and g are functions in the Fock space F^2 . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- ▶ $H_{\overline{f}}T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 .
- ▶ The function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2 - |\widetilde{f}|^2\right] |\widetilde{g}|^2$$

is bounded on \mathbb{C} .

Theorem (MYZZ, 2018)

Suppose f and g are functions in the Fock space F^2 . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- ▶ $H_{\overline{f}}T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 .
- ► The function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2 - |\widetilde{f}|^2\right] |\widetilde{g}|^2$$

is bounded on \mathbb{C} .

► The function above is constant on C.

Theorem (MYZZ, 2018)

Suppose f and g are functions in the Fock space F^2 . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- ▶ $H_{\overline{f}}T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 .
- ▶ The function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2 - |\widetilde{f}|^2\right] |\widetilde{g}|^2$$

is bounded on \mathbb{C} .

- ► The function above is constant on C.
- At least one of the following holds:
 - (a) f is constant.
 - (b) g is identically zero.
 - (c) f is a linear polynomial and g is a nonzero constant.
 - (d) There are constants a, b, c, and A such that

$$f(z) = e^{az+b} + A,$$
 $g(z) = e^{-az+c}.$



Theorem (MYZZ, 2018)

Suppose f and g are functions in the Fock space F^2 . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- ▶ $H_{\overline{f}}T_{\overline{g}}$ is bounded on F^2 .
- ▶ The function

$$\left[|\widetilde{f}|^2 - |\widetilde{f}|^2\right] |\widetilde{g}|^2$$

is bounded on \mathbb{C} .

- ► The function above is constant on C.
- At least one of the following holds:
 - (a) f is constant.
 - (b) g is identically zero.
 - (c) f is a linear polynomial and g is a nonzero constant.
 - (d) There are constants a, b, c, and A such that

$$f(z) = e^{az+b} + A,$$
 $g(z) = e^{-az+c}.$

As a consequence, we see that the mixed product $H_{\overline{f}}T_{\overline{g}}$ cannot be compact unless it is equal to 0.

Thank You

Thank You!

