

# Optimal principal eigenfunction for elliptic operators with large drift

Luca Rossi

CAMS, CNRS - EHESS Paris

Joint work with F. Hamel, E. Russ

# Plan of the talk

- Isoperimetric problem
  - Faber-Krahn inequality
  - Adding a drift

# Plan of the talk

- Isoperimetric problem
  - Faber-Krahn inequality
  - Adding a drift
- Optimization in a fixed domain
  - Optimal drift
  - Principal eigenvalue for nonlinear operators

# Plan of the talk

- Isoperimetric problem
  - Faber-Krahn inequality
  - Adding a drift
- Optimization in a fixed domain
  - Optimal drift
  - Principal eigenvalue for nonlinear operators
- Asymptotics of the optimal principal eigenfunction
  - The conjectures
  - The (partial) answers

# Isoperimetric problem for the principal eigenvalue

Let  $\lambda_\Omega$  denote the principal eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in a bounded domain  $\Omega$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda_\Omega\varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

# Isoperimetric problem for the principal eigenvalue

Let  $\lambda_\Omega$  denote the principal eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in a bounded domain  $\Omega$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda_\Omega\varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Question (Rayleigh ~1890)

Which  $\Omega$  minimizes  $\lambda_\Omega$  under the constraint  $|\Omega| = 1$  ?

## Isoperimetric problem for the principal eigenvalue

Let  $\lambda_\Omega$  denote the principal eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in a bounded domain  $\Omega$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda_\Omega\varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Question (Rayleigh  $\sim$ 1890)

Which  $\Omega$  minimizes  $\lambda_\Omega$  under the constraint  $|\Omega| = 1$  ?

Answer (Faber, Krahn 1920s):  $\Omega =$  the ball.

Tool: Schwarz symmetrization.

Adding a **drift**  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Let  $\lambda_{\Omega,v}$  denote the principal eigenvalue:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi - v \cdot \nabla\varphi = \lambda_{\Omega,v}\varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Adding a **drift**  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Let  $\lambda_{\Omega,v}$  denote the principal eigenvalue:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi - v \cdot \nabla\varphi = \lambda_{\Omega,v}\varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

### Question

Which  $\Omega$  and  $v$  minimize  $\lambda_{\Omega,v}$  under the constraints  $|\Omega| = 1$ ,  $\|v\|_\infty \leq \tau$  ?

Adding a **drift**  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Let  $\lambda_{\Omega,v}$  denote the principal eigenvalue:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi - v \cdot \nabla\varphi = \lambda_{\Omega,v}\varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

### Question

Which  $\Omega$  and  $v$  minimize  $\lambda_{\Omega,v}$  under the constraints  $|\Omega| = 1$ ,  $\|v\|_\infty \leq \tau$  ?

Answer (Hamel-Nadirashvili-Russ, *Ann. of Math.* 2011):

$$\Omega = \text{the ball}, \quad v(x) = -\tau \frac{x}{|x|}.$$

Tool: new type of symmetrization.

# Optimization in a fixed domain

Let  $\Omega$  be a given bounded smooth domain.

For  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , let  $\lambda_v$  be the principal eigenvalue:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi - v \cdot \nabla\varphi = \lambda_v\varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

## Optimization in a fixed domain

Let  $\Omega$  be a given bounded smooth domain.

For  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , let  $\lambda_v$  be the principal eigenvalue:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi - v \cdot \nabla\varphi = \lambda_v\varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

$$\forall \tau \geq 0, \quad \lambda(\tau) := \inf\{\lambda_v : \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \tau\}.$$

## Optimization in a fixed domain

Let  $\Omega$  be a given bounded smooth domain.

For  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , let  $\lambda_v$  be the principal eigenvalue:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi - v \cdot \nabla\varphi = \lambda_v\varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

$$\forall \tau \geq 0, \quad \lambda(\tau) := \inf\{\lambda_v : \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \tau\}.$$

### Theorem (Hamel-Nadirashvili-Russ)

The infimum is achieved by a unique  $\underline{v}$ . Furthermore

$$\underline{v} = \tau \frac{\nabla\varphi_\tau}{|\nabla\varphi_\tau|} \quad \text{whenever } \nabla\varphi_\tau \neq 0,$$

where  $\varphi_\tau$  is the associated principal eigenfunction.

# Principal eigenvalue for nonlinear operators

$\varphi_\tau \in C^2(\Omega)$  satisfies the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi_\tau - \tau|\nabla\varphi_\tau| = \lambda(\tau)\varphi_\tau & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi_\tau = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi_\tau > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

# Principal eigenvalue for nonlinear operators

$\varphi_\tau \in C^2(\Omega)$  satisfies the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi_\tau - \tau|\nabla\varphi_\tau| = \lambda(\tau)\varphi_\tau & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi_\tau = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi_\tau > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Can we say that  $\lambda(\tau)$  is THE principal eigenvalue?

Difficulty: nonlinear  $\implies$  Krein-Rutman theory does not directly apply.

# Principal eigenvalue for nonlinear operators

$\varphi_\tau \in C^2(\Omega)$  satisfies the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi_\tau - \tau|\nabla\varphi_\tau| = \lambda(\tau)\varphi_\tau & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi_\tau = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \varphi_\tau > 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Can we say that  $\lambda(\tau)$  is THE principal eigenvalue?

Difficulty: nonlinear  $\implies$  Krein-Rutman theory does not directly apply.

1-homogeneous  $\implies$  Berestycki-Nirenberg-Varadhan approach:

$$\lambda_{gen} = \sup\{\lambda : (-\Delta - \tau|\nabla| - \lambda I) \text{ admits a positive supersolution}\}.$$

- Pucci (Felmer-Quaas)
- 1-homogeneous (Quaas-Sirakov)
- $p$  and  $\infty$  Laplacian (Kawohl-Lindqvist, Birindelli-Demengel, Juutinen)
- Truncated Laplacian (Birindelli-Galise-Ishii)
- Arbitrary degenerate ellipticity (Berestycki-Capuzzo Dolcetta-Porretta-R.)

- Pucci (Felmer-Quaas)
- 1-homogeneous (Quaas-Sirakov)
- $p$  and  $\infty$  Laplacian (Kawohl-Lindqvist, Birindelli-Demengel, Juutinen)
- Truncated Laplacian (Birindelli-Galise-Ishii)
- Arbitrary degenerate ellipticity (Berestycki-Capuzzo Dolcetta-Porretta-R.)

### Proposition

$$\lambda(\tau) = \max\{\lambda : \exists \varphi > 0, -\Delta\varphi - \tau|\nabla\varphi| \geq \lambda\varphi \text{ in } \Omega\}$$

- Pucci (Felmer-Quaas)
- 1-homogeneous (Quaas-Sirakov)
- $p$  and  $\infty$  Laplacian (Kawohl-Lindqvist, Birindelli-Demengel, Juutinen)
- Truncated Laplacian (Birindelli-Galise-Ishii)
- Arbitrary degenerate ellipticity (Berestycki-Capuzzo Dolcetta-Porretta-R.)

### Proposition

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\tau) &= \max\{\lambda : \exists \varphi > 0, -\Delta\varphi - \tau|\nabla\varphi| \geq \lambda\varphi \text{ in } \Omega\} \\ &= \min\{\lambda : \exists \varphi > 0, -\Delta\varphi - \tau|\nabla\varphi| \leq \lambda\varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Furthermore, the above extrema are attained only by (a multiple of)  $\varphi_\tau$ .*

Asymptotics as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ 

Theorem (Hamel-Nadirashvili-Russ)

$$e^{-R\tau} \leq \lambda(\tau) \leq e^{-r\tau}, \quad B_r(x_1) \subset \Omega \subset B_R(x_2).$$

Asymptotics as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ 

## Theorem (Hamel-Nadirashvili-Russ)

$$e^{-R\tau} \leq \lambda(\tau) \leq e^{-r\tau}, \quad B_r(x_1) \subset \Omega \subset B_R(x_2).$$

$d(\cdot) := \text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega)$

$\mathcal{C} := \text{cut locus} = \{\text{points where } d \text{ is not differentiable}\}$

Asymptotics as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ 

## Theorem (Hamel-Nadirashvili-Russ)

$$e^{-R\tau} \leq \lambda(\tau) \leq e^{-r\tau}, \quad B_r(x_1) \subset \Omega \subset B_R(x_2).$$

$d(\cdot) := \text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega)$

$\mathcal{C} := \text{cut locus} = \{\text{points where } d \text{ is not differentiable}\}$

## Conjecture 1 (—)

Let  $(x_\tau)_\tau$  be maximal points for  $(\varphi_\tau)_\tau$ . Then

$$d(x_\tau) \rightarrow \max_{\bar{\Omega}} d \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Asymptotics as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ 

## Theorem (Hamel-Nadirashvili-Russ)

$$e^{-R\tau} \leq \lambda(\tau) \leq e^{-r\tau}, \quad B_r(x_1) \subset \Omega \subset B_R(x_2).$$

$d(\cdot) := \text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega)$

$\mathcal{C} := \text{cut locus} = \{\text{points where } d \text{ is not differentiable}\}$

## Conjecture 1 (—)

Let  $(x_\tau)_\tau$  be maximal points for  $(\varphi_\tau)_\tau$ . Then

$$d(x_\tau) \rightarrow \max_{\bar{\Omega}} d \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

## Conjecture 2 (—)

$$\forall x \notin \mathcal{C}, \quad \frac{\nabla \varphi_\tau(x)}{|\nabla \varphi_\tau(x)|} \rightarrow \nabla d(x) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

# Answers

## Theorem (Hamel-R.-Russ)

Let  $(x_\tau)_\tau$  be maximal points for  $(\varphi_\tau)_\tau$ . Then

$$d(x_\tau) \rightarrow \max_{\bar{\Omega}} d \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

# Answers

## Theorem (Hamel-R.-Russ)

Let  $(x_\tau)_\tau$  be maximal points for  $(\varphi_\tau)_\tau$ . Then

$$d(x_\tau) \rightarrow \max_{\bar{\Omega}} d \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

## Theorem (—)

For any  $M > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{d < M/\tau} \left| \frac{\nabla \varphi_\tau}{|\nabla \varphi_\tau|} - \nabla d \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

# Answers

## Theorem (Hamel-R.-Russ)

Let  $(x_\tau)_\tau$  be maximal points for  $(\varphi_\tau)_\tau$ . Then

$$d(x_\tau) \rightarrow \max_{\bar{\Omega}} d \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

## Theorem (—)

For any  $M > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{d < M/\tau} \left| \frac{\nabla \varphi_\tau}{|\nabla \varphi_\tau|} - \nabla d \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

## Theorem (—)

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi_\tau(x)}{\|\varphi_\tau\|_\infty (1 - e^{-\tau d(x)})} = 1, \quad \text{uniformly w.r.t. } x \in \Omega.$$

## Strategy of the proofs

Proof of [Theorem 1](#).

Key ingredient: **barriers** (positive supersolutions) increasing w.r.t.  $d$ .

## Strategy of the proofs

Proof of [Theorem 1](#).

Key ingredient: **barriers** (positive supersolutions) increasing w.r.t.  $d$ .

$\forall r < \max_{\bar{\Omega}} d$ ,  $\tau \gg 1$ ,  $\exists \Psi \in C^2((0, r)) \cap C^0([0, r])$  positive increasing,

$$-\Psi'' - (\tau + \varepsilon)\Psi' \geq \lambda(\tau)\Psi \quad \text{in } (0, r)$$

with  $\varepsilon > 0$  independent of  $\tau$  (using  $\lambda(\tau) \leq e^{-r\tau}$  because  $B_r(x_1) \subset \Omega$ ).

## Strategy of the proofs

Proof of [Theorem 1](#).

Key ingredient: **barriers** (positive supersolutions) increasing w.r.t.  $d$ .

$\forall r < \max_{\bar{\Omega}} d$ ,  $\tau \gg 1$ ,  $\exists \Psi \in C^2((0, r)) \cap C^0([0, r])$  positive increasing,

$$-\Psi'' - (\tau + \varepsilon)\Psi' \geq \lambda(\tau)\Psi \quad \text{in } (0, r)$$

with  $\varepsilon > 0$  independent of  $\tau$  (using  $\lambda(\tau) \leq e^{-r\tau}$  because  $B_r(x_1) \subset \Omega$ ).

$$\psi := \Psi \circ d$$

$$-\Delta\psi - \tau|\nabla\psi| \geq \lambda(\tau)\psi + (\varepsilon\tau - \Delta d)|\nabla\psi| \quad \text{for } d < r.$$

## Strategy of the proofs

Proof of [Theorem 1](#).

Key ingredient: **barriers** (positive supersolutions) increasing w.r.t.  $d$ .

$\forall r < \max_{\bar{\Omega}} d$ ,  $\tau \gg 1$ ,  $\exists \Psi \in C^2((0, r)) \cap C^0([0, r])$  positive increasing,

$$-\Psi'' - (\tau + \varepsilon)\Psi' \geq \lambda(\tau)\Psi \quad \text{in } (0, r)$$

with  $\varepsilon > 0$  independent of  $\tau$  (using  $\lambda(\tau) \leq e^{-r\tau}$  because  $B_r(x_1) \subset \Omega$ ).

$$\psi := \Psi \circ d$$

$$-\Delta\psi - \tau|\nabla\psi| \geq \lambda(\tau)\psi + \underbrace{(\varepsilon\tau - \Delta d)}_{>0?} |\nabla\psi| \quad \text{for } d < r.$$

## Strategy of the proofs

Proof of [Theorem 1](#).

Key ingredient: **barriers** (positive supersolutions) increasing w.r.t.  $d$ .

$\forall r < \max_{\Omega} d$ ,  $\tau \gg 1$ ,  $\exists \Psi \in C^2((0, r)) \cap C^0([0, r])$  positive increasing,

$$-\Psi'' - (\tau + \varepsilon)\Psi' \geq \lambda(\tau)\Psi \quad \text{in } (0, r)$$

with  $\varepsilon > 0$  independent of  $\tau$  (using  $\lambda(\tau) \leq e^{-r\tau}$  because  $B_r(x_1) \subset \Omega$ ).

$$\psi := \Psi \circ d$$

$$-\Delta\psi - \tau|\nabla\psi| \geq \lambda(\tau)\psi + \underbrace{(\varepsilon\tau - \Delta d)}_{>0?} |\nabla\psi| \quad \text{for } d < r.$$

Lemma

$$\sup_{\Omega} \Delta d < \infty.$$

Proof of **Theorem 3**. Using “sharp” **super** and **sub** barriers.

Proof of [Theorem 3](#). Using “sharp” **super** and **sub** barriers.  
Difficulty: not possible to build the sub barrier close to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Proof of [Theorem 3](#). Using “sharp” **super** and **sub** barriers.

Difficulty: not possible to build the sub barrier close to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Solution: prove that  $\varphi_\tau \rightarrow 1 = \|\varphi_\tau\|_\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  locally uniformly in  $\Omega$ .

Proof of [Theorem 3](#). Using “sharp” **super** and **sub** barriers.

Difficulty: not possible to build the sub barrier close to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Solution: prove that  $\varphi_\tau \rightarrow 1 = \|\varphi_\tau\|_\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  locally uniformly in  $\Omega$ .

**Blow-up** around  $x_\tau$ :

$$\psi_\tau(x) := \varphi_\tau \left( x_\tau + \frac{x}{\tau} \right), \quad -\Delta\psi_\tau - |\nabla\psi_\tau| = \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{\tau^2}\psi_\tau.$$

Proof of [Theorem 3](#). Using “sharp” **super** and **sub** barriers.

Difficulty: not possible to build the sub barrier close to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Solution: prove that  $\varphi_\tau \rightarrow 1 = \|\varphi_\tau\|_\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  locally uniformly in  $\Omega$ .

**Blow-up** around  $x_\tau$ :

$$\psi_\tau(x) := \varphi_\tau \left( x_\tau + \frac{x}{\tau} \right), \quad -\Delta\psi_\tau - |\nabla\psi_\tau| = \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{\tau^2}\psi_\tau.$$

$$\psi_\tau \rightarrow \psi_\infty \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\begin{cases} \max \psi_\infty = \psi_\infty(0) = 1 \\ -\Delta\psi_\infty - |\nabla\psi_\infty| = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ (by Theorem 1)} \end{cases} \implies \psi_\infty \equiv 1.$$

Proof of [Theorem 3](#). Using “sharp” **super** and **sub** barriers.

Difficulty: not possible to build the sub barrier close to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Solution: prove that  $\varphi_\tau \rightarrow 1 = \|\varphi_\tau\|_\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  locally uniformly in  $\Omega$ .

**Blow-up** around  $x_\tau$ :

$$\psi_\tau(x) := \varphi_\tau\left(x_\tau + \frac{x}{\tau}\right), \quad -\Delta\psi_\tau - |\nabla\psi_\tau| = \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{\tau^2}\psi_\tau.$$

$$\psi_\tau \rightarrow \psi_\infty \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\begin{cases} \max \psi_\infty = \psi_\infty(0) = 1 \\ -\Delta\psi_\infty - |\nabla\psi_\infty| = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ (by Theorem 1)} \end{cases} \implies \psi_\infty \equiv 1.$$

### Lemma

For  $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\tau$  large enough,

$$\forall 2\frac{N-1}{\tau} \leq r \leq r' \leq R, \quad \min_{\partial B_{r'}(x_0)} \varphi_\tau \geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon r'}{1 - \varepsilon r} \min_{\partial B_r(x_0)} \varphi_\tau.$$

Proof of [Theorem 3](#). Using “sharp” **super** and **sub** barriers.

Difficulty: not possible to build the sub barrier close to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Solution: prove that  $\varphi_\tau \rightarrow 1 = \|\varphi_\tau\|_\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  locally uniformly in  $\Omega$ .

**Blow-up** around  $x_\tau$ :

$$\psi_\tau(x) := \varphi_\tau\left(x_\tau + \frac{x}{\tau}\right), \quad -\Delta\psi_\tau - |\nabla\psi_\tau| = \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{\tau^2}\psi_\tau.$$

$$\psi_\tau \rightarrow \psi_\infty \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\begin{cases} \max \psi_\infty = \psi_\infty(0) = 1 \\ -\Delta\psi_\infty - |\nabla\psi_\infty| = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ (by Theorem 1)} \end{cases} \implies \psi_\infty \equiv 1.$$

### Lemma

For  $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\tau$  large enough,

$$\forall 2\frac{N-1}{\tau} \leq r \leq r' \leq R, \quad \min_{\partial B_{r'}(x_0)} \varphi_\tau \geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon r'}{1 - \varepsilon r} \min_{\partial B_r(x_0)} \varphi_\tau.$$

**Covering** argument.