

# THE STEINBERG SYMBOL AND MODULAR SYMBOLS

CECILIA BUSUIOC (WITH GLENN STEVENS)

Inspiration/motivation: conjecture of R. Sharifi (and McCallum).

## 1. SHARIFI'S CONJECTURE

The *Milnor  $K_n$ -group* associated to a commutative ring  $R$  is

$$K_n^M(R) := (R^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R^\times) / I$$

where  $I$  is generated by  $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$  such that  $a_i + a_j = 1$  for some  $i \neq j$ .

The *Steinberg symbol* is the map

$$\begin{aligned} R^\times \times \cdots \times R^\times &\rightarrow K_n^M(R) \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) &\mapsto a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \bmod I =: \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $(p, k)$  is an irregular pair; i.e.,  $p$  divides  $B_k/k$ , where  $B_k$  is the  $k$ -th Bernoulli number. Let  $R_n = \mathbb{Z}[\mu_p, 1/p]$ . Let  $E = R^\times / R^{\times p}$ . Decompose  $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} E^{(1-i)}$  where  $E_{(1-i)}$  is the subgroup of  $E$  on which  $G := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})$  acts via  $\omega^{1-i}$ , where  $\omega: G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ . We have  $E^{(1)} \simeq \mu_p$ , and  $E^{(1-i)} = 0$  if  $i$  is even. Assume that  $i$  is odd from now on. Let  $\zeta_i$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of 1. We have  $R^\times \rightarrow E^{(1-i)}$  sending  $1 - \zeta_p$  to  $\eta_i$ . Let  $\epsilon_i = \{\eta_{k-i}, \eta_i\} \in (K_2^M(R)/p)^{(2-k)}$ .

Let  $f$  be a normalized cuspidal eigenform of level  $\ell$  and weight  $k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime above  $p$ . Suppose  $f \equiv G_k \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then  $f$  gives rise to a modular symbol  $\phi_f \in \text{Symb}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2})$ , namely

$$\phi_f((r) - (s)) = \int_s^r f(x)(zX + Y)^{k-2} dz$$

for  $r, s \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ .

The universal  $L$ -value of  $\phi_f$  is

$$\Lambda(\phi_f) := \phi_f((\infty) - (0)).$$

Define  $L(\phi_f, i)$  by

$$\Lambda(\phi_f) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \binom{k-2}{i} X^i Y^{k-2-i} L(\phi_f, i+1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Symb}_\Gamma(M) &\rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, M) \\ \phi &\mapsto (\gamma \mapsto \phi(\gamma(r) - (r))) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\psi_f$  be the image of  $\phi_f$ . We get an exact sequence defining the boundary symbols

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Bound}_\Gamma(M) \rightarrow \text{Symb}_\Gamma(M) \rightarrow H_{\text{par}}^1(\Gamma, M) \rightarrow 0.$$

---

*Date:* June 7, 2007.

Then

$$L(\psi_f, i + 1) = L(\phi_f, i + 1)$$

for  $2 \leq i < k - 2$ .

**Conjecture 1.1.** Assume Vandiver's conjecture. Then there exist  $\rho: (K_2^M(R)/p)^{(2-k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p(\omega^{2-k})$  and  $\Omega^+$  such that  $\frac{L(\psi_f^+, i)}{\Omega^+} \equiv \rho(\epsilon_i)$  for all odd  $i$ .

We have

$$\rho: K_2^M(R)/p \rightarrow K_2(R)/p \simeq \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p))/p \otimes \mu_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p(\omega^{2-k}).$$

McCallum-Sharifi conjecture that if Vandiver's conjecture holds, then  $K_2^M(R)/p \rightarrow K_2(R)/p$  is surjective.

Vandiver's conjecture comes in since

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_{\text{Eis}, \ell \neq p}^1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p))/p).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume  $k < p$ . There exists  $\psi \in (H_{\text{par}}^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{F}_p[X, Y]_{k-2}))^+$  such that given any  $\rho$ ,

- (1)  $L(\psi, i) = \rho(\{\epsilon_i\})$  for  $i$  odd,  $3 \leq i \leq k - 3$ , and
- (2)  $\psi|_{T_q} = (1 + q^{k-1})\psi$  for  $q = 2, 3$ .

We sketch the proof. Let  $X_n = (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^2 = \{(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^2 : (x, y, p) = 1\}$ . A *Manin symbol* is a symbol on  $X_n$  with values in some module  $M$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** There exists  $e_n \in \text{Manin}_{\Gamma_0(p^n)}(K_2(R_n)/2)$ , and  $e_n|_{T_q} - (q + \omega(q)) \in \text{Bound}_{\Gamma_0(p^n)}(M)$ .

Let

$$(x, y) = \begin{cases} \{1 - \zeta^x, 1 - \zeta^y\}, & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $xy \neq 0$  and  $x + y \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{\zeta^y(1 - \zeta^x)}{1 - \zeta^{x+y}} + \frac{1 - \zeta^y}{1 - \zeta^{x+y}} = 1.$$

Therefore  $e(x, y) - e(x, x + y) - e(x + y, y) = 0$ . (This is the 2-nd Manin relation.)

The action of  $T_2$  is given as follows:

$$e_n|_{T_2}(x, y) = e_n(x, 2y) + e_n(2x, y) + e_n(x + y, 2y) + e_n(2x, x + y).$$

If  $xy \neq 0$  and  $x + y \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{(1 - \zeta^{x+y})(1 - \zeta^x)}{1 - \zeta^{2x}} + \zeta^x \frac{(1 - \zeta^{2y})(1 - \zeta^x)}{(1 - \zeta^{2x})(1 - \zeta^{2y})} = 1.$$

Stevens generalized this by defining

$$\phi \in \text{Symb}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})}(\text{Dist}(\mathbb{Q}^2, \mathcal{K}_n(R))).$$